2014 CUMULATIVE POCKET SUPPLEMENT

IDAHO CODE

Compiled Under the Supervision of the Idaho Code Commission

R. DANIEL BOWEN
JEREMY P. PISCA ANDREW P. DOMAN
COMMISSIONERS

CONSTITUTIONS, FEDERAL LAWS, HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS, TABLES

Place this supplement in the pocket of the corresponding volume of the set

MICHIE

701 East Water Street Charlottesville, VA 22902

www.lexisnexis.com

Customer Service: 1-800-833-9844

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks, and MICHIE is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

© 2014 State of Idaho All rights reserved.

5034131

ISBN 978-0-672-83888-0 (Set) ISBN 978-0-327-04326-3

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

Amendments to laws and new laws enacted since the publication of the bound volume down to and including the 2014 regular session are compiled in this supplement and will be found under their appropriate section numbers.

This publication contains annotations taken from decisions of the Idaho Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals and the appropriate federal courts. These cases will be printed in the following reports:

Idaho Reports
Pacific Reporter, 3rd Series
Federal Supplement, 2nd Series
Federal Reporter, 3rd Series
United States Supreme Court Re

United States Supreme Court Reports, Lawyers' Edition, 2nd Series

Title and chapter analyses, in these supplements, carry only laws that have been amended or new laws. Old sections that have nothing but annotations are not included in the analyses.

Following is an explanation of the abbreviations of the Court Rules used throughout the Idaho Code.

Idaho R. Civ. P. Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure Idaho Evidence Rule Idaho Rules of Evidence Idaho R. Crim. P. Idaho Criminal Rules Idaho Misdemeanor Crim, Rule Misdemeanor Criminal Rules I.I.R. **Idaho Infraction Rules** LJ.R. Idaho Juvenile Rules I.C.A.R. Idaho Court Administrative Rules Idaho App. R. Idaho Appellate Rules

If you have any questions or suggestions concerning the Idaho Code, please write or call toll free 1-800-833-9844, fax toll free at 1-800-643-1280, or email us at customer.support@bender.com.

Visit our website at http://www.lexisnexis.com for an online bookstore, technical support, customer service, and other company information.

LexisNexis Attn: Customer Service 1275 Broadway Albany, NY 12204-2694

ALWAYS CONSULT THE LATEST SUPPLEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERMANENT VOLUME

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2014

USER'S GUIDE

To assist the legal profession and the layperson in obtaining the maximum benefit from the Idaho Code, a User's Guide has been included in the first, bound volume of this set.



ADJOURNMENT DATES OF SESSIONS OF LEGISLATURE

Year	Adjournment Date
2005	April 6, 2005
2006	
2006 (E.S.)	August 25, 2006
2007	March 30, 2007
2008	April 2, 2008
2009	May 8, 2009
2010	March 29, 2010
2011	April 7, 2011
2012	
2013	April 4, 2013
2014	March 20, 2014



CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

ARTICLE.

I. DECLARATION OF RIGHTS.

III. LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

VII. FINANCE AND REVENUE.

ARTICLE.
VIII. PUBLIC INDEBTEDNESS AND SUBSIDIES.
IX. EDUCATION AND SCHOOL LANDS.
X. PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS.

Article I

DECLARATION OF RIGHTS

SECTION.

23. The rights to hunt, fish and trap.

§ 1. Inalienable rights of man.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Constitutionality.
Sale of intoxicating liquor.
Workers' compensation.

Constitutionality.

The immunity provision found at former § 22-4803A(6) [now see §§ 39-114 and 52-108] does not effect a taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution or Idaho Const., Art. I, § 14. It also does not violate this section or the prohibition against local or special laws found in Idaho Const., Art. III, § 19. The statute is constitutional. Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004), cert. denied, 543 U.S. 1146, 125 S. Ct. 1299, 161 L. Ed. 2d 106 (2005).

House Bill 403 of the 2003 Idaho legislative session, as it amended § 6-2214, violated this section because it gave the judiciary the power to tax by providing that the district court would impose an educational necessity levy on local school districts if necessary.

Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004).

Sale of Intoxicating Liquor.

Section 23-808(4)(b) does not violate the equal protection guarantees of the United States or Idaho Constitutions because there are conceivable facts that would support the legislative classification under the rational basis test. Mc Lean v. Maverik Country Stores, Inc., 142 Idaho 810, 135 P.3d 756 (2006).

Workers' Compensation.

Denial of an employee's claim for psychological reaction, without an accompanying physical injury, did not violate her rights under Idaho Const., Art. I, §§ 2 and 18. Luttrell v. Clearwater County Sheriff's Office, 140 Idaho 581, 97 P.3d 448 (2004).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Way out West: A Comment Surveying Idaho State's Legal Protection of Transgender and Gender Non-Conforming Individuals, Comment. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 587 (2013).

A.L.R. — Federal and state constitutional provisions and state statutes as prohibiting employment discrimination based on heterosexual conduct or relationship. 123 A.L.R.5th 411.

§ 2. Political power inherent in the people.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Equal rights and equal protection. Worker's compensation.

Equal Rights and Equal Protection.

There is at a minimum, a rational basis for § 72-223's grant of immunity because the quid pro quo under the Idaho Worker's Compensation Act still exists; the fact that the primary employer may keep § 72-223's grant of immunity even though the direct employer has paid benefits and fulfilled its obligations under the law does not render the section unconstitutional. Venters v. Sorrento Del., Inc., 141 Idaho 245, 108 P.3d 392 (2005).

Equal protection claim was improperly dismissed in a dispute regarding an alleged regulatory taking because the elements of a "class of one" claim were not analyzed. The fact that this claim was previously determined when a corporation was not a party to the action was no basis for the decision since no res judicata or collateral estoppel arguments were raised. City of Coeur d'Alene v. Simpson, 142 Idaho 839, 136 P.3d 310 (2006).

Requirement that an employee suffering an accident had to timely notify the employer, even if that employee was unaware of the extent of the personal injury caused by the accident, did not violate the equal protection clause of this section because the statute applied to all persons and subject matters in a like situation. Arel v. T & L Enters., 146 Idaho 29, 189 P.3d 1149 (2008).

Worker's Compensation.

Denial of an employee's claim for psychological reaction, without an accompanying physical injury, did not violate her rights under Idaho Const., Art. I, § 18 and this section. Luttrell v. Clearwater County Sheriff's Office, 140 Idaho 581, 97 P.3d 448 (2004).

Rational basis exists for the grant of immunity in § 72-223, even if the statutory employer has not had to pay benefits because the direct employer has. Kolar v. Cassia County Idaho, 142 Idaho 346, 127 P.3d 962 (2005).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Way out West: A Comment Surveying Idaho State's Legal Protection of Transgender and Gender Non-Conforming Individuals, Comment. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 587 (2013).

A.L.R. — Federal and state constitutional provisions and state statutes as prohibiting employment discrimination based on heterosexual conduct or relationship. 123 A.L.R.5th 411.

Application of class-of-one theory of equal

protection to public employment. 32 A.L.R.6th 457.

Class-of-one equal protection claims based upon real estate development, zoning, and planning. 68 A.L.R.6th 229.

Construction and application of constitutional rule of *Batson v. Kentucky*, 476 U.S. 79, 106 S. Ct. 1712, 90 L. Ed. 2d 69 (1986) — United States supreme court cases. 8 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 547.

§ 3. State inseparable part of Union.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Sound and Fury, Signifying Nothing: Nullification and the Question of Gubernatorial Executive Power in Idaho, Comment. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 659 (2013).

§ 4. Guaranty of religious liberty.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Controlled Substances.

This section does not protect against prosecution for conduct that violates a neutral criminal statute of general applicability, such as possession of marijuana with the intent to deliver, simply because such conduct may be engaged in for religious reasons. State v. Fluewelling, 150 Idaho 576, 249 P.3d 375 (2011).

Prisoners.

By prohibiting the government from impos-

ing any substantial burden on an inmate's religious exercise unless the burden is justified by a compelling, and not just a legitimate, governmental interest, the Religious Exercises in Land Use and by Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C.S. § 2000cc, accords greater protection to an inmate than the Free Exercise Clause of both the Idaho and federal constitutions. Hyde v. Fisher, 146 Idaho 782, 203 P.3d 712 (Ct. App. 2009).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Landlord's refusal to rent to unmarried couple as protected by landlord's religious beliefs. 10 A.L.R.6th 513.

Wearing of religious symbols in courtroom as protected by first amendment. 18 A.L.R.6th 775.

State constitutional challenges to the display of religious symbols on public property. 26 A.L.R.6th 145.

Constitutionality of legislative prayer practices. 30 A.L.R.6th 459.

Application of first amendment's "ministerial exception" or "ecclesiastical exception" to state civil rights claims. 53 A.L.R.6th 569.

Prohibition of federal agency's keeping of records on methods of individual exercise of first amendment rights, under Privacy Act of 1974 (5 USCS § 552a(e)(7)). 20 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 437.

§ 5. Right of habeas corpus.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Claim for monetary compensation.
Sentencing.
—By jury.

Claim for Monetary Compensation.

Despite the district court's error in dismissing the inmate's entire petition for habeas corpus relief because it contained a claim for monetary compensation, the inmate's motion to amend was properly denied because he was not entitled to any other relief claimed. Hoots v. Craven, 146 Idaho 271, 192 P.3d 1095 (Ct. App. 2008).

had no right under either the state or federal constitutions to have a jury find the existence of an aggravating circumstance; because there was no error, habeas corpus did not provide a remedy. Porter v. State, 140 Idaho 780, 102 P.3d 1099 (2004), cert. denied, 545 U.S. 1143, 125 S. Ct. 2967, 162 L. Ed. 2d 894 (2005).

Sentencing.

-By Jury.

At the time defendant was sentenced, he

§ 6. Right to bail — Cruel and unusual punishments prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Cruel and unusual punishment. Habeas corpus.
—Standard of review.
Length of sentence.
Sentence of juvenile.

Sex offender registration.

Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

Appellate court conducted a proportionality analysis comparing the sentence to those imposed on other defendants for similar offenses, and the burden of demonstrating that a sentence was cruel and unusual was on the person asserting the constitutional violation; therefore, defendant had not shown an inference of gross disproportionality, including the additional time he spent in custody due to the forfeiture of the 314 days, such that defendant failed to demonstrate that his sentences constituted cruel and unusual punishment. Gibson v. Bennett, 141 Idaho 270, 108 P.3d 417 (Ct. App. 2005).

Defendant's sentences after being convicted of three counts of the attempted procurement of prostitution and two counts of the procurement of prostitution were proper because she was sentenced to a determinate term of two years to run concurrently with eight years indeterminate on each conviction of procurement of prostitution. That was not a grossly disproportionate sentence on the facts in the case and did not shock the conscience of reasonable people. State v. Grazian, 144 Idaho 510, 164 P.3d 790 (2007), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

Unified life sentence with a 60-year minimum term after defendant was convicted of second-degree murder was appropriate because the offense was very grave and the sentence imposed was not grossly disproportionate to the crime and did not shock the conscience of reasonable people. Additionally, there was no provocation or threat coming from the victim and continuous rehabilitative efforts in the juvenile justice system had proven ineffective for defendant in the past. State v. Wright, 147 Idaho 150, 206 P.3d 856 (Ct. App. 2009).

Driver was not denied substantive due process because his lifetime commercial driver's license disqualification was rationally related to the legitimate legislative objective of protecting public safety. The disqualification served a remedial purpose and was not grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the driver's two DUIs and, thus, did not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. Williams v. State (In re Driver's License Suspension of Williams), 153 Idaho 380, 283 P.3d 127 (Ct. App. 2012).

Habeas Corpus.

Dismissal of the petitioner's writ of habeas corpus petition was proper, because the petitioner was not prejudiced by the disallowance of cross-examination at the dispositional hearing, when both of the witnesses who testified at the dispositional hearing had pre-

viously testified at the factual parole violation hearing before the hearing officer, at which time the petitioner was afforded the opportunity to cross-examine them. Matthews v. Jones, 147 Idaho 224, 207 P.3d 200 (Ct. App. 2009).

-Standard of Review.

The essence of habeas corpus is an attack upon the legality of a person's detention for the purpose of securing release where custody is illegal. It may be used to challenge the revocation of parole or the violation of a parolee's constitutional rights during the course of parole revocation proceedings. Matthews v. Jones, 147 Idaho 224, 207 P.3d 200 (Ct. App. 2009).

Length of Sentence.

A challenge to the length of a sentence on cruel and unusual punishment grounds in post-conviction proceedings is barred by the doctrine of res judicata when the applicant argued on direct appeal that the sentence was excessive under state law reasonableness standards. Knutsen v. State, 144 Idaho 433, 163 P.3d 222 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant's life sentence for first-degree murder did not constitute cruel and unusual punishment where defendant conspired, carefully planned, and executed the cold-blooded stabbing death of his fellow high school student based solely on his desire to achieve fame as a serial killer. Defendant's fixed life sentence fell within the sentencing parameters of § 18-4004. State v. Adamcik, 152 Idaho 445, 272 P.3d 417, cert. denied, — U.S. —, 133 S. Ct. 141, 184 L. Ed. 2d 68 (2012).

Sentence of Juvenile.

Defendant's fixed-life sentence for first-degree murder, which was committed when defendant was 16 years old, was not cruel and unusual: defendant failed to show that there was a national consensus against fixed life sentences for juveniles convicted of homicide crimes. State v. Draper, 151 Idaho 576, 261 P.3d 853 (2011).

Sex Offender Registration.

Sex offender registration requirement does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the constitutions of the State of Idaho and the United States because the requirement that sexual offenders register does not impose punishment; the purpose of Idaho's registration statute is not punitive, but remedial. It provides an essential regulatory purpose that assists law enforcement and parents in protecting children and communities. State v. Joslin, 145 Idaho 75, 175 P.3d 764 (2007).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Application of constitutional rule of *Atkins v. Virginia*, 536 U.S. 304, 122 S. Ct. 2242, 153 L. Ed. 2d 335 (2002), that execution of mentally retarded persons constitutes "cruel and unusual punishment" in violation of Eighth Amendment. 122 A.L.R.5th 145.

When does forfeiture of real property violate excessive fines clause of Eighth Amend-

ment or state constitutions — State cases. 124 A.L.R.5th 509.

Constitutional right of prisoners to abortion services and facilities. 28 A.L.R.6th 485.

Prison inmate's eighth amendment rights to treatment for sleep disorders. 68 A.L.R.6th 389.

§ 7. Right to trial by jury.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Criminal cases.
Death sentence.
Eminent domain.
Jury instructions.
Sale of intoxicating liquor.
Selection of jurors.
Waiver of rights.

Criminal Cases.

Defendant was not entitled to a jury trial to determine the amount of restitution. First, if the restitution was rooted in equity, no right to a jury trial applied, and second, §§ 19-2601 and 19-5304 both allow a court to award restitution without a jury trial. Idaho Const., Art. I, § 22, preserving a criminal victim's statutory rights, including the restitution right, does not explicitly provide for a right to a jury trial. State v. Straub, 153 Idaho 882, 292 P.3d 273 (2013).

Death Sentence.

Where defendant was found guilty of murder, the appellate court declined to apply a harmless error analysis and remand the case for resentencing with directions to the trial court to exclude victim impact statements calling for the death penalty, or other information that did not comply with *Booth*, and *Payne*, in order not to violate the Eighth Amendment. State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

Eminent Domain.

Property owner was not entitled to a jury trial on the Ada County Highway District's ejectment claim as a suit for quiet title and ejectment was equitable in nature and, therefore, that there was no entitlement to a jury trial on the ejectment claim. Ada County Highway Dist. v. Total Success Invs., LLC, 145 Idaho 360, 179 P.3d 323 (2008).

Jury Instructions.

A trial court is required to instruct the jury that it must unanimously agree on the defendant's guilt in order to convict the defendant of a crime. An instruction that the jury must unanimously agree on the facts giving rise to the offense, however, is generally not required. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

An instruction informing the jury that it must unanimously agree on the specific occurrence giving rise to the offense is necessary, when the defendant commits several acts, each of which would independently support a conviction for the crime charged. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

Sale of Intoxicating Liquor.

Because parents of a minor passenger injured in a accident caused by a drunk driver had no cause of action against a store under the prohibition of § 23-808(4)(b), their constitutional right to a jury trial was not violated. Mc Lean v. Maverik Country Stores, Inc., 142 Idaho 810, 135 P.3d 756 (2006).

Selection of Jurors.

Trial court improperly denied defendant's challenge for cause of juror who stated during voir dire that he was biased towards believing the testimony of a police officer over that of a defendant, and who could make no unequivocal assurance that he would set aside this bias and render an impartial verdict based solely on the facts of the case. State v. Hauser, 143 Idaho 603, 150 P.3d 296 (Ct. App. 2006).

Waiver of Rights.

Trial court properly denied as untimely workers' compensation policyholders' motions for a jury trial under Idaho R. Civ. P. 38(b) or 39(b) in their action against the state insur-

ance fund; the policyholders' waiver of a jury trial was not revoked by the state's intervention in the proceeding or by an amendment of a pleading, and the policyholders gave no reason for their failure to make a timely demand. Hayden Lake Fire Prot. Dist. v. Alcorn, 141 Idaho 388, 111 P.3d 73 (2005), overruled on other grounds, Farber v. Idaho State Ins. Fund, 152 Idaho 495, 272 P.3d 467 (2012) and City of Osburn v. Randel, 152 Idaho 906, 277 P.3d 353 (2012).

Trial court did not err in enforcing a clause in a commercial lease that waived the right to

a jury trial in an action to enforce the lease; demand for a jury trial could not be enforced by either of the parties without an effectual modification of the lease, and the fact that each party demanded a jury trial in its respective pleadings does not create an agreed upon modification. Watkins Co., LLC v. Storms, 152 Idaho 531, 272 P.3d 503 (2012).

Cited in: State v. Stover, 140 Idaho 927, 104 P.3d 969 (2005); State v. Hadden, 152 Idaho 371, 271 P.3d 1227 (Ct. App. 2012).

§ 8. Prosecutions only by indictment or information.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Aiding and abetting.
Grand jury or preliminary hearing.
Indictment or information.
—Amendments.
Preliminary examination.
—Waiver.
Sufficiency of information.

Aiding and Abetting.

Jury instruction on aiding and abetting did not constitute an impermissible variance or a constructive amendment of the charging document because Idaho has abolished the distinction between principals and aiders and abettors, and it is well established in Idaho that it is unnecessary to charge a defendant with aiding and abetting. State v. Johnson, 145 Idaho 970, 188 P.3d 912, cert. denied, 555 U.S. 1053, 129 S. Ct. 638, 172 L. Ed. 2d 623 (2008).

Grand Jury or Preliminary Hearing.

Where a grand jury that indicted defendant was acting without authority because its term had expired, the district court erred in denying defendant's Idaho R. Crim. P. 35 motion for correction of an illegal sentence, and his conviction was accordingly vacated. Because the grand jury's term had expired, no valid indictment or information was returned. State v. Lute, 150 Idaho 837, 252 P.3d 1255 (2011).

Indictment or Information.

Informations are of equal dignity with indictments, subject to the limitations that a defendant may only be accused by information after commitment by a magistrate and that an information cannot be issued if the charge has been previously brought before, and ignored by, a grand jury. Warren v. Craven, 152 Idaho 327, 271 P.3d 725 (Ct. App. 2012).

-Amendments.

Indictment charging defendant with murdering his wife by giving her an overdose of drugs her was not impermissibly amended to allege murder by overdose or suffocation because the amendment did not charge defendant with a new offense, but merely alleged an alternative way that defendant might have committed the crime. Further, the amendment did not prejudice defendant's substantial rights because it was made nearly one whole year before trial and, thus, gave defendant more than adequate time to prepare his defense relating to the allegation of murder by suffocation. The amendment did not subject defendant to double jeopardy because, if the jury had convicted or acquitted defendant under the original indictment, he could not later be tried on the amended indictment. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

Defendant's conviction for sexual abuse of a child under 16 years of age was void, because the prosecuting attorney had no authority to issue an amended indictment for a crime that was not charged in the original indictment and that was not an included offense of the originally-charged crime of lewd conduct with a child under 16 years of age; the amended indictment was a nullity, as the new charge required a new indictment from the grand jury. State v. Flegel, 151 Idaho 525, 261 P.3d 519 (2011).

Preliminary Examination.

-Waiver.

Where a complaint alleges that the defendant has committed a felony, he has a right to a preliminary hearing. The purpose of the preliminary hearing is to determine whether there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the felony. A defendant who waives the right to a preliminary hearing waives the right to a probable cause determination regarding the charged felony. State v. Stewart, 149 Idaho 383, 234 P.3d 707 (2010).

Sufficiency of Information.

When four officers arrived at defendant's

apartment seeking her husband, who was wanted for felony probation violations, she told them that her husband did not have a gun; when they attempted to take the husband into custody, he shot and injured three officers; the information alleging these facts was sufficient to charge defendant with harboring and protecting a felon in violation of § 18-205. State v. Lampien, 148 Idaho 367, 223 P.3d 750 (2009).

Cited in: State v. Pierce, 150 Idaho 1, 244 P.3d 145 (2010); State v. Miller, 151 Idaho 828, 264 P.3d 935 (2011); State v. Adamcik, 152 Idaho 445, 272 P.3d 417 (2012).

§ 9. Freedom of speech.

OPINIONS OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Directive Initiatives.

Provisions of local initiatives pertaining to the use of marijuana for medicinal purposes and the growth and cultivation of industrial hemp, that instruct city officers to advocate for changes to state law to support the goals and implementation of the ordinances are unconstitutional because compelling this advocacy is clearly an infringement upon the free speech rights of city officers. OAG 07-02.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — First Amendment protection afforded to comic books, comic strips, and cartoons. 118 A.L.R.5th 213.

Construction and application of federal and state constitutional and statutory speech or debate provisions. 24 A.L.R.6th 255.

First amendment protection afforded to web site operators, 30 A.L.R.6th 299.

First amendment protection afforded to blogs and bloggers. 35 A.L.R.6th 407.

Validity of restrictions imposed during national political conventions impinging upon rights to freedom of speech and assembly under first amendment. 46 A.L.R.6th 465.

Restrictive covenants or homeowners' association regulations restricting or prohibiting flags, signage, or the like on homeowner's property as restraint on free speech. 51 A.L.R.6th 533.

When does use of pepper spray, mace, or other similar chemical irritants constitute

violation of constitutional rights. 65 A.L.R.6th

Constitutionality of restricting public speech in street, sidewalk, park, or other public forum — Characteristics of forum. 70 A.L.R.6th 513.

Constitutionality of restricting public speech in street, sidewalk, park, or other public forum — Manner of restriction. 71 A.L.R.6th 471.

Constitutional challenges to compelled speech — General principles. 72 A.L.R.6th 513.

Constitutional challenges to compelled speech — Particular situations or circumstances. 73 A.L.R.6th 281.

Construction and application of establishment clause off first amendment — U.S. supreme court cases. 15 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 573.

Application of first amendment in school context — Supreme court cases. 57 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

§ 10. Right of assembly.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Validity of restrictions imposed during national political conventions impinging upon rights to freedom of speech and assembly under first amendment. 46 A.L.R.6th 465.

When does use of pepper spray, mace, or other similar chemical irritants constitute violation of constitutional rights. 65 A.L.R.6th

§ 11. Right to keep and bear arms.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Construction and application of United States supreme court holdings in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570, 128 S. Ct. 2783, 171 L. Ed. 2d 637 (2008) and *McDonald v. City of Chicago, Ill.*, 130 S. Ct. 3020, 177 L. Ed. 2d 894 (2010) respecting second amendment right to keep and bear arms, to state or local laws regulating firearms or other weapons. 64 A.L.R.6th 131.

Construction and application of United States supreme court holding in *District of Columbia v. Heller,* 554 U.S. 570, 128 S. Ct. 2783, 171 L. Ed. 2d 637 (2008), that second amendment confers individual right to keep and bear arms to federal statutes regulating firearms and other weapons or devices. 56 A.L.R. Fed 2d 1.

§ 13. Guaranties in criminal actions and due process of law.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Alcohol concentration test. Agricultural land use. Constitutionality of legislation. Double jeopardy. Due process of law.

—Appeal from administrative body.

—Attorney fee regulation.

-Building permit.

—Instructions.

—Jury selection.

—Post-sentencing hearing.

—Prisoners.

-Probation hearing.

—Sentencing hearing.

—Statements of prosecutor.

Equal protection of laws.

Exculpatory evidence.

Inadequacy of counsel.

—Conflict of interest.

Ineffective assistance of counsel.

Miranda rights.

Notice.

Persistent violator enhancement statute.

Post-conviction relief.

Prosecutorial misconduct.

Right to counsel.

-Post-conviction proceedings.

—Waiver.

Self-incrimination.

Self-representation.

Speedy trial.

Alcohol Concentration Test.

Although police had no duty to make a telephone available to defendant to arrange for independent blood-alcohol testing when defendant did not request it, defendant's assertion of his right to obtain such a test after his release triggered a police duty not to unreasonably delay defendant's booking process and release after his arrest for driving under the influence so as to prevent a viola-

tion of defendant's due process rights. State v. Hedges, 143 Idaho 884, 154 P.3d 1074 (Ct. App. 2007).

Agricultural Land Use.

Where a dairymen's association and a cattle association filed a complaint challenging the constitutionality of Gooding County, Idaho, Ordinance No. 90, which regulated water quality at confined animal feeding operations

(CAFOs), the supreme court of Idaho held that the limitation on the number of animal units per acre did not violate the substantive due process rights of CAFO operators under this section; the facts illustrated the relationship between the cap on animal units per acre and the county's objective of encouraging the retention of productive agricultural lands and the protection of the aquifer by encouraging good waste management plans. Idaho Dairymen's Ass'n v. Gooding County, 148 Idaho 653, 227 P.3d 907 (2010).

Constitutionality of Legislation.

Defendant's conviction for failing to register as a sex offender under former § 18-8304(1)(b) was reversed as the provision was unconstitutional; the state's interest in apprehending re-offending sex offenders was not rationally advanced by a classification that differentiated between offenders based solely upon their date of entry into the state. Further, the disparity in the statute's treatment of in-state offenders versus those who were convicted elsewhere and subsequently moved to Idaho violated the constitutional right to travel. State v. Dickerson, 142 Idaho 514, 129 P.3d 1263 (Ct. App. 2006).

Idaho's abolition of the insanity defense did not violate defendant's due process rights; evidence of mental illness is expressly allowed, and can be used to rebut the element of intent. State v. Delling, 152 Idaho 122, 267 P.3d 709 (2011), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 133 S. Ct. 504, 184 L. Ed. 2d 480 (2012).

Double Jeopardy.

Double jeopardy protection was not implicated and was not a bar to resentencing defendant pursuant to the procedures set forth in the revised death penalty statutes, §§ 18-8004 and 19-2515(3)(b). State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

District court's finding of manifest necessity for a mistrial grounded on alleged physical and emotional deficiencies of defense attorney, together with a determination that defense attorney was ineffective was in error because the district court did not adequately consider alternatives or offer defendant an opportunity to be heard; defendant's motion to dismiss with prejudice should have been granted, as further prosecution of defendant for this crime is barred by the constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy. State v. Manley, 142 Idaho 338, 127 P.3d 954 (2005).

Defendant was not subjected to double jeopardy by being incited and convicted of both having sexual contact with a minor and solicting sexual contact with a minor, since the two counts contemplated proof of completely different elements, touching and solic-

itation. State v. Hussain, 143 Idaho 175, 139 P.3d 777 (Ct. App. 2006).

Where the district court dismissed a felony DUI enhancement upon determining that the state had failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant was convicted of two DUIs within the preceding ten years and the dismissal was based on an incorrect interpretation of Idaho law, the defendant cannot be tried again without violating this section prohibition of double jeopardy. State v. Howard, 150 Idaho 471, 248 P.3d 722 (2011).

One-year commercial driver's license (CDL) disqualification under § 49-335 was civil in nature and did not rise to the level of a criminal punishment for double jeopardy purposes; the driver was not denied due process because the CDL disqualification statute was not ambiguous as to the date the driver's CDL disqualification was to begin, and estoppel disqualification was to begin, and estoppel Idaho department of transportation in this case. Buell v. Idaho DOT (In re Driver's License Suspension of Buell), 151 Idaho 257, 254 P.3d 1253 (Ct. App. 2011).

Due Process of Law.

Defendant's due process challenges to the information were waived because he did not raise them before the commencement of trial as required by Idaho Crim. R. 12(b)(2). State v. Quintero, 141 Idaho 619, 115 P.3d 710 (2005).

Due process was not violated where the newspaper article about the county commissioner's statements, before he was elected, was insufficient to support a finding that the commissioner was impermissibly biased in considering an appeal from the county planning and zoning commission's decision. The claim that the other county commissioner was required to recuse herself by reason of improper ex parte contacts was reviewed and properly rejected by the district court as the record was lacking facts or sufficient inferences to establish that a bias would compel recusal. Davisco Foods Int'l, Inc. v. Gooding County, 141 Idaho 784, 118 P.3d 116 (2005).

Punishment of persons 18 to 21 years of age for possession of alcohol, including suspension of driver's license, is not violative of either equal protection or due process rights, since the state has a legitimate interest in the prevention of underage drinking; suspension of a driver's license is a form of deterrence, and the fact that the suspension is applicable to adults between eighteen and twenty-one does not render it unconstitutional, since they are still subject to restrictions on drinking. State v. Bennett, 142 Idaho 166, 125 P.3d 522 (2005).

In a case based on an alleged regulatory taking, a due process claim was properly dismissed because there was no showing that a city's shoreline regulations did not serve a reasonably conceivable legitimate state interest. Moreover, due process and taking claims were different. City of Coeur d'Alene v. Simpson, 142 Idaho 839, 136 P.3d 310 (2006).

Where claimant failed to report the weekly earnings she received as a server at a brewery pub, the industrial commission of the state of determined that she underreported her weekly income while receiving unemployment benefits. Claimant was not denied due process of law during the appeal from the claims examiner to the industrial commission; claimant was given an opportunity to present evidence to the claims examiner and did not request a hearing before the industrial commission to present additional evidence. Cox v. Hollow Leg Pub & Brewery, 144 Idaho 154, 158 P.3d 930 (2007).

Where the industrial commission of the state of Idaho determined that claimant she willfully underreported her weekly income while receiving unemployment benefits, she failed to prove that the written notice of the hearing before the appeals examiner failed to give her due process notice of the issues. The written notice indicated that the hearing was to determine whether claimant willfully made a false statement or representation or willfully failed to report a material fact in order to obtain unemployment insurance benefits, according to § 72-1366(12) of the Idaho Employment Security Law. Cox v. Hollow Leg Pub & Brewery, 144 Idaho 154, 158 P.3d 930 (2007).

Defendant's due process rights were not violated when audio recordings of his confession in a drug case were lost because there was no showing of bad faith on the part of the state since the loss of the recordings was unintentional; therefore, suppression of the evidence was improper. State v. Lewis, 144 Idaho 64, 156 P.3d 565 (2007).

Due process under the United States or Idaho Constitutions was not violated by the denial of a motion to replace substitute counsel; under the three-prong test, defendant had no constitutional right to counsel in such a proceeding, his presence was not necessary since the grounds were presented in a written motion, and the state had an interest in completing the case since it was the second petition. Rios-Lopez v. State, 144 Idaho 340, 160 P.3d 1275 (Ct. App. 2007).

Appointment of counsel in a habeas corpus proceeding was not warranted because the Sixth Amendment did not apply to civil cases; moreover, the facts were not such that the Due Process Clause required the appointment of counsel either. The case in question only involved the interpretation of a single statute, so it was not complex in nature. Dopp v. Idaho Comm'n of Pardons & Parole, 144 Idaho 402, 162 P.3d 781 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant's allegation that his trial interpreter gave advice that was her own opinion and not the result of translating advice from counsel did not support a claim that he was deprived of his due process right to participate in his own defense because he did not show prejudice resulting from an inability to communicate with counsel. Murillo v. State, 144 Idaho 449, 163 P.3d 238, review denied, 2007 Ida. App. LEXIS 76 (Ct. App. 2007).

Although the neighbors claimed a number of due process violations, development approval process followed by the Valley County board of commissioners did not rise to the level of a due process violation justifying reversal; there were four public hearings on the developer's application, and the neighbors were heard and participated in each hearing. Neighbors for a Healthy Gold Fork v. Valley County, 145 Idaho 121, 176 P.3d 126 (2007).

Driver was not denied substantive due process because his lifetime commercial driver's license disqualification was rationally related to the legitimate legislative objective of protecting public safety. The disqualification served a remedial purpose and was not grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the driver's two DUIs and, thus, did not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. Williams v. State (In re Driver's License Suspension of Williams), 153 Idaho 380, 283 P.3d 127 (Ct. App. 2012).

-Appeal from Administrative Body.

Physician had not been denied due process by the medical board's failure to promulgate regulations setting forth clearly defined standards with respect to the use of injectable hormones. One of the purposes of the Medical Practice Act, and particularly § 54-1814, is to assure the public health, safety and welfare, and, consistent with that purpose the board could discipline a physician for providing health care that failed to meet the applicable standard of care, even if it could not prove that his patients had suffered any physical harm. Haw v. Idaho State Bd. of Med., 140 Idaho 152, 90 P.3d 902 (2004).

-Attorney Fee Regulation.

The medical board's award of costs and attorney fees against a physician must be vacated where the physician was denied an opportunity to be heard regarding the amount of costs and fees to be assessed by the board. Haw v. Idaho State Bd. of Med., 140 Idaho 152, 90 P.3d 902 (2004).

-Building Permit.

A city and its director of planning and development services did not violate procedural due process when they mistakenly determined that a developer's building permit had expired. After that decision, the developer received notice and a proper hearing when it

appealed to the city council, and any deprivation was cured when the permit was reinstated. Boise Tower Assocs., LLC v. Hogland, 147 Idaho 774, 215 P.3d 494 (2009).

-Instructions.

Defendant's contention that the district court erred in refusing his proposed instruction on credibility, which was based on the pattern credibility instruction in the Idaho Civil Jury Instructions (IDJI), because the Idaho Criminal Jury Instructions (ICJI), which was the instruction used by the court, gave the jury insufficient guidance for the determination of witness credibility and that discrimination was violative of his right to equal protection was without merit because, although the IDJI credibility instruction is longer and more detailed than the ICJI instruction, in substance the two are alike. State v. Jones, 140 Idaho 41, 89 P.3d 881 (Ct. App. 2003).

-Jury Selection.

Trial court improperly denied defendant's challenge for cause of juror who stated during voir dire that he was biased towards believing the testimony of a police officer over that of a defendant, and who could make no unequivocal assurance that he would set aside this bias and render an impartial verdict based solely on the facts of the case. State v. Hauser, 143 Idaho 603, 150 P.3d 296 (Ct. App. 2006).

-Post-Sentencing Hearing.

Defendant, who was convicted of sexual battery of a minor and sentenced, did not have a due process right to a post-sentencing hearing on his eligibility for probation prior to the district court's loss of jurisdiction due to the expiration of a 180-day retained jurisdiction period. Taylor v. State, 145 Idaho 866, 187 P.3d 1241 (Ct. App. 2008).

-Prisoners.

Inmate failed to show that his protected liberty or property interests under U.S. Const. amend. XIV and this section were violated by the failure of a warden and prison personnel to return the inmate's personal property, despite the fact that he was entitled to the property while in administrative segregation, because the denial was not an atypical or significant hardship when compared to normal prison life. Briggs v. Kempf, 146 Idaho 172, 191 P.3d 250 (Ct. App. 2008).

-Probation Hearing.

District court did not err in reinstating and amending defendant's probation where it did not deprive defendant of his right to due process by applying the doctrine of collateral estoppel to find that he violated the terms of his probation by failing to attend and successfully complete the sex offender treatment required by his probation officer. State v.

Dempsey, 146 Idaho 327, 193 P.3d 874 (Ct. App. 2008).

-Sentencing Hearing.

Trial court did not err when it denied defendant's motion for a separate restitution hearing after defendant was convicted of grand theft: neither defendant's constitutional due process rights nor § 19-5304(6) was violated as defendant was fully afforded the opportunity and did present evidence relevant to the issue of restitution at both her trial and her sentencing hearing. State v. Blair, 149 Idaho 720, 239 P.3d 825 (Ct. App. 2010).

-Statements of Prosecutor.

Defendant received *Miranda* warnings prior to an interview at the jail which made the prosecutor's question on cross-examination of defendant, commenting that defendant had not previously spoken of an assault with a gun by the victim, an impermissible use of defendant's post-*Miranda* silence; prosecutor's questioning of a detective about defendant's failure to give his version of the events during his jail interview and the prosecution's comments in closing argument in regard to same, were also improper. State v. Lopez, 141 Idaho 575, 114 P.3d 133 (Ct. App. 2005).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's statement that the victim was speaking from her grave was somewhat inflammatory because it was likely designed to appeal to the sympathies and passions of the jury. However, the comment did not rise to the level of fundamental error, because the statement was simply referring to the victim's body providing evidence about the circumstances surrounding her death, not to her calling out for defendant's conviction. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's reference to the victim's family - the fact that she was a mother, a daughter, and a sister whose life had purpose and meaning - while arguably improper, did not constitute fundamental error. The statements were not dwelled upon or made in support of an argument that defendant should receive a harsher punishment; instead, the statements merely reiterated evidence that had been produced at trial, and the trial court instructed the jury on several occasions that the prosecutor's comments were not to be regarded as evidence. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's comments that defendant was "screwing" a "21year-old tramp" were inflammatory and, therefore, improper; but the statements did not result in prejudice, however, given the weight of the evidence against defendant and the numerous limiting instructions issued by the jury. Further, defendant's extra-marital affair was established at trial, and the fact that the prosecutor used crude words to describe the affair did not result in prejudice. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's statement, which indicated to the jury that the case was a circumstantial one because only defendant and the victim had been present at the time of the victim's death and that no one who knew what happened had testified, was not an impermissible comment on defendant's silence because, although the statement could be interpreted as a reference to defendant's failure to testify, it could also be accorded other meanings; for example, the comment could have been a reference to the medical examiner's inability to conclusively establish the cause of death. Nothing in the statement explicitly called for the jury to infer that defendant was guilty because of his silence or to convict him on that basis, and the statement was a single, isolated comment made during the course of 17-day trial. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

Equal Protection of Laws.

Suspension or revocation of driving privileges do not limit the right to travel, merely the means; suspension of driving privileges may make travel less convenient but there is no constitutional infringement. State v. Bennett, 142 Idaho 166, 125 P.3d 522 (2005).

Exculpatory Evidence.

Defendants charged with purchase, consumption, or possession of alcohol while under 21 years of age were not deprived of due process when the arresting officers dumped out the contents of, and then threw away, the can and bottles containing the alcoholic beverages, where there was no showing or evidence to support an inference that the destroyed evidence would have been exculpatory and defendants did not show that the destruction of the containers and alcohol was prejudicial or done in bad faith. State v. Bennett, 142 Idaho 166, 125 P.3d 522 (2005).

Inadequacy of Counsel.

District court's finding of manifest necessity for a mistrial grounded on alleged physical and emotional deficiencies of defense attorney, together with a determination that defense attorney was ineffective was in error because the district court did not adequately consider alternatives or offer defendant an opportunity to be heard; defendant's motion to dismiss with prejudice should have been granted, as further prosecution of defendant for this crime is barred by the constitutional

prohibition against double jeopardy. State v. Manley, 142 Idaho 338, 127 P.3d 954 (2005).

-Conflict of Interest.

No conflict existed that required the disqualification of the entire county public defender's office where defendant was represented by a public defender on a charge of murdering his wife and a new attorney with the public defender's office had previously represented defendant's mother-in-law in civil litigation directly related to his wife's death. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

Ineffective Assistance of Counsel.

Defendant's guilty plea was not voluntarily made where it was based on incorrect advice from his counsel, resulting from counsel's clerical error. McKeeth v. State, 140 Idaho 847, 103 P.3d 460 (2004).

Trial counsel rendered a deficient performance by failing to seek a continuance when, on the day of trial, the state's pathologist, in defendant's first degree murder trial, changed his opinion as to the victim's cause of death, from indeterminate to homicide. Murphy v. State, 143 Idaho 139, 139 P.3d 741 (Ct. App. 2006).

In a drug possession case, counsel was not ineffective for concluding that the petitioner was required to admit committing the elements of possession as a prerequisite to claiming that he was entrapped because, regardless of whether inconsistent defenses could have reasonably been argued, it was not professionally unreasonable to conclude that, in the absence of definitive authority, Idaho applied the general rule that the petitioner must necessarily admit committing an offense before he could claim entrapment. Suits v. State, 143 Idaho 160, 139 P.3d 762 (Ct. App. 2006).

Post-conviction court erred in summarily dismissing appellant's claim that counsel was ineffective in probation revocation proceedings for failing to challenge the terms of appellant's probation and failing to present mitigating evidence. Counsel's failure to present testimony from appellant's grandmother that would have contradicted the probation officer's testimony and counsel's failure to present evidence of appellant's untreated mental health problem raised a material question regarding the vigor and competence of his counsel's representation. Knutsen v. State, 144 Idaho 433, 163 P.3d 222 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant failed to raise any material issues of fact warranting an evidentiary hearing with regard to whether his trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by depriving him of his right to testify, because he failed to demonstrate a reasonable probability that had he testified, the jury's verdict would have

been different. Kuehl v. State, 145 Idaho 607, 181 P.3d 533 (Ct. App. 2008).

Although defendant argued that his lawyer provided ineffective assistance by failing to argue in support of a motion for judgment of acquittal that the State impermissibly relied on the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice — a confidential informant, the confidential informant was not an accomplice to defendant, and the rule prohibiting an accomplice's uncorroborated testimony did not apply. Because the argument would have failed if counsel had presented it, defendant's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel failed. State v. Chacon, 145 Idaho 814, 186 P.3d 670 (Ct. App. 2008).

Denial of the inmate's petition for postconviction relief was appropriate because he failed to establish that there existed admissible medical evidence of his alleged consistent impotence. Therefore, he failed to show that his counsel rendered deficient performance in not presenting the evidence in question. Curless v. State, 146 Idaho 95, 190 P.3d 914 (Ct. App. 2008).

Inmate's claim that his counsel had been ineffective due to trial court's exclusion of evidence his counsel had failed to disclose was without merit. The testimony about the two victims engaging in sexual acts with one another would have been just as likely to corroborate the victims' claims of abuse as it would have been to exonerate the inmate. Curless v. State, 146 Idaho 95, 190 P.3d 914 (Ct. App. 2008).

Defendant failed to meet his burden of showing that his counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to be present at the psychosexual evaluation (PSE), failing to move to suppress the PSE, failing to ensure that defendant was read his Miranda rights prior to the presentence investigative report, and failing to secure an independent psychiatric evaluation; defendant failed to meet his burden of showing prejudice resulting from his counsel's ineffective assistance in failing to advise him of his rights prior to the PSE, and the district court's order summarily dismissing defendant's application of post-conviction relief was affirmed. Hughes v. State, 148 Idaho 448, 224 P.3d 515 (Ct. App. 2009).

Before deciding whether to plead guilty, a defendant is entitled to the effective assistance of competent counsel. Defendant who entered a plea of guilty to first-degree murder was entitled to post-conviction relief where his counsel gave him erroneous advice that he would receive a fixed life sentence if he went to trial and only 10 years if he pleaded guilty: but, in fact, the district court sentenced defendant to an indeterminate life sentence with thirty years fixed. Booth v. State, 151 Idaho 612, 262 P.3d 255 (2011).

Miranda Rights.

By testifying on direct and cross-examination regarding the circumstances surrounding his arrest, defendant opened the door for the state to rebut the impression created by that testimony; because the comments elicited by the state did not exceed the scope of defendant's testimony, his right to due process was not violated when the detective testified about defendant's post-*Miranda* silence. State v. Dougherty, 142 Idaho 1, 121 P.3d 416 (Ct. App. 2005).

Notice.

Magistrate's order that defendant juvenile's mother reimburse county for the costs of defendant's detention was reversed on appeal, because meaningful, constitutional notice was not provided to defendant's mother. While it appeared that defendant's mother had notice of her son's disposition hearing, nothing in the record indicated that she had prior notice that detention costs could be imposed upon her at that hearing. In re Doe, 147 Idaho 542, 211 P.3d 787 (Ct. App. 2009).

Procedural due process protects the minimum guarantees of notice and a hearing where deprivation of a property interest may occur. The opportunity to be heard must occur at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner. Boise Tower Assocs., LLC v. Hogland, 147 Idaho 774, 215 P.3d 494 (2009).

A hearing prior to the deprivation of property is not required in all cases. Interim suspensions of licenses and temporary seizures of property may be undertaken without a pre-deprivation hearing, provided there is sufficient factual basis for the action and that prompt administrative or judicial review of the merits of the decision is available. Boise Tower Assocs., LLC v. Hogland, 147 Idaho 774, 215 P.3d 494 (2009).

Persistent Violator Enhancement Statute.

Persistent violator enhancement statute did not violate the equal protection clause, because there was no discernible classification of persons convicted of grand theft, when grand theft did not provide for alternative sentencing; defendant had a prior conviction for grand theft and a grand theft conviction was always a felony, therefore, all persons convicted of grand theft in Idaho would have acquired one felony for enhancement purposes. State v. Haggard, 146 Idaho 37, 190 P.3d 193 (Ct. App. 2008).

Post-conviction Relief.

In defendant's motion for post-conviction relief after being convicted of marijuana trafficking, trial court improperly refused to either appoint counsel, or give notice as to why the claim was frivolous. Although the allegations in defendant's claim for relief were insufficient to state a claim, a subsequent letter to the court raised a valid issue regarding trial counsel's failure to seek suppression of evidence based on a violation of the knock and announce rule, and under the lenient standard which should have been applied, this was sufficient to raise the possibility of a valid claim. Plant v. State, 143 Idaho 758, 152 P.3d 629 (Ct. App. 2006).

In a capital case, the heightened burden of proof in § 19-2719, requiring that petitioner show that the claims in his fourth petition for post-conviction relief were not known and could not have reasonably been known within 42 days of judgment, does not violate petitioners' due process rights. Stuart v. State, 149 Idaho 35, 232 P.3d 813 (2010), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 131 S. Ct. 1472, 179 L. Ed. 2d 313 (2011).

Prosecutorial Misconduct.

A defendant did not suffer a violation of her due process rights on the ground that there were inconsistencies in the prosecution of three co-defendants' separate trials, because the prosecution did not advance a different theory or inconsistent evidence and the State maintained throughout each trial that the defendant and her three co-defendants were all culpable as assailants in the same attack. State v. Pearce, 146 Idaho 241, 192 P.3d 1065 (2008).

When an objection to alleged prosecutorial misconduct was raised at trial, on review, an appellate court must use a two-part test to determine whether the misconduct requires reversal. First, the court asks whether the prosecutor's challenged action was improper. If it was not, then there was no prosecutorial misconduct. If the conduct was improper, the court then considers whether the misconduct prejudiced the defendant's right to a fair trial or whether it was harmless. The defendant carries the burden of proving prejudice. When a defendant is unable to demonstrate prejudice, the misconduct will be regarded as harmless error. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

A prosecutor's comment on a defendant's failure to call a witness does not shift the burden of proof, and is, therefore, permissible, so long as the prosecutor does not violate the defendant's Fifth Amendment rights by commenting on the defendant's failure to testify. State v. Mendoza, 151 Idaho 623, 262 P.3d 266 (Ct. App. 2011).

Right to Counsel.

In prosecution for sexual abuse charges, defendant's decision to discharge his counsel and re-open case to present dubious hypnosis defense was knowing and voluntary. Although court did not issue complete warnings at time of waiver, defendant had been fully warned prior to trial of the risks of proceeding pro se,

had no mental illness, had not been threatened or advised to proceed without a lawyer, and possessed sufficient education to understand the consequences of his decision. Although his decision may not have been wise, it was knowing and voluntary. State v. Dalrymple, 144 Idaho 628, 167 P.3d 765 (2007).

Appellant filed a pro se petition for post-conviction relief alleging ineffective assistance of counsel, but, after years of neglect by his appointed attorneys, his petition for post-conviction relief was dismissed for inactivity pursuant to Idaho R. Civ. P. 40(c). The supreme court held appellant was permitted to seek relief from the judgment of dismissal under Idaho R. Civ. P. 60(b). However, appellant was not entitled to appointment of new counsel, upon remand, because there is no constitutional right to an attorney in state post-conviction proceedings. Eby v. State, 148 Idaho 731, 228 P.3d 998 (2010).

Where the district court provided defendant an opportunity to express grounds for his dissatisfaction with counsel, but found his reasons not compelling, the appellate court did not find that defendant's substantial rights were prejudiced by the district court's decision or that the district court abused its discretion by denying his motion for a continuance to obtain alternate counsel. State v. Dewitt, 153 Idaho 658, 289 P.3d 60 (Ct. App. 2012).

-Post-conviction Proceedings.

Trial court erred in summarily dismissing pro se inmate's application for post-conviction relief without first giving notice of perceived deficiencies in the pleading and appointing counsel to assist the inmate in developing the claims to present a viable basis for relief. Newman v. State, 140 Idaho 491, 95 P.3d 642 (Ct. App. 2004).

-Waiver.

On motion to suppress evidence obtained as a result of interrogation, district court improperly ended its analysis with the fact that defendant had instigated contact with investigators. Since defendant had been formally charged and counsel appointed, court should have considered whether his waiver was knowing, intelligent and voluntary. State v. Contreras-Gonzales, 146 Idaho 41, 190 P.3d 197 (Ct. App. 2008).

Self-Incrimination.

In an injury to a child case, defendant's right to remain silent was not violated by the prosecutor's comment on defendant's silence because the testimony and comments did not explicitly state that defendant had declined to answer questions about how the child received the bruises or directly ask the jury to infer defendant's guilt from his silence. Addi-

tionally, other evidence overwhelmingly demonstrated defendant's guilt. State v. Timmons, 145 Idaho 279, 178 P.3d 644 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant was charged with lewd conduct with a child. When he chose to represent himself, he had the right to cross-examine the victim/child directly, rather than by providing written questions to be asked by standby counsel through closed circuit television. State v. Folk, 151 Idaho 327, 256 P.3d 735 (2011).

Self-Representation.

Defendant's waiver of counsel was valid, and the district court's decision to deny him an investigator, was not error where the district judge advised defendant of the dangers of self-representation and recommended against going to trial without the assistance of counsel, where the defendant had been declared not only competent but also of above average intelligence and defendant was provided with an attorney advisor. State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

Speedy Trial.

Denial of defendant's motion to dismiss his criminal case after he was charged with three felonies for the alleged violation of his constitutional speedy trial rights was appropriate because defendant contributed in some measure to the delay, in part by indicating that he would waive his speedy trial rights at an early point in the proceedings and by failing to demand a prompt trial. State v. Lopez, 144 Idaho 349, 160 P.3d 1284 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant's rights to a speedy trial were not violated by a delay of a little over seven months from the date when defendant was charged. The delay was minimal and there was no cause of delay that was heavily chargeable to the state. Defendant did not demand a speedy trial until late in the proceedings, and anxiety experienced by the defendant was insufficient to support a claim of a speedy trial violation. State v. Crockett, 151 Idaho 674, 263 P.3d 139 (Ct. App. 2011).

Defendant's right to a speedy trial was not violated; the state demonstrated good cause for the delay in bringing defendant to trial because witnesses, who were active duty military personnel assigned to temporary duty outside the state, were unavailable. It also was reasonable for the state to wait for the conclusion of the Air Force investigation of the incident. State v. Ciccone, 154 Idaho 330, 297 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2012).

Defendant failed to show his constitutional or statutory speedy trial rights were violated, because defendant stipulated multiple times to delays, there was no issue of oppressive pretrial incarceration, and it was defendant's choice to leave the state while charges were pending. State v. Risdon, 154 Idaho 244, 296

P.3d 1091 (Ct. App. 2012).

Cited in: Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004); State v. Veloquio, 141 Idaho 154, 106 P.3d 480 (Ct. App. 2005); State v. Lippert, 152 Idaho 884, 276 P.3d 756 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Schwab, 153 Idaho 325, 281 P.3d 1103 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Jacobson, 153 Idaho 377, 283 P.3d 124 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Thumm, 153 Idaho 533, 285 P.3d 348 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Morgan, 153 Idaho 618, 288 P.3d 835 (Ct. App. 2012); Schultz v. State, 153 Idaho 791, 291 P.3d 474 (Ct. App. 2012); Seiniger Law Offices, P.A. v. State Ex Rel. Indus. Comm'n, 154 Idaho 461, 299 P.3d 773 (2013); Doe v. Idaho Dep't of Health & Welfare (In re Doe), -Idaho —, 304 P.3d 1202 (2013).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Denial of accused's request for initial contact with attorney in cases involving offenses other than drunk driving — Cases focusing on presence of inculpatory statements. 124 A.L.R.5th 1.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose existence of plea bargain or other deals with witness as violating due process. 12 A.L.R.6th 267.

Adoption and application of "tainted" approach or "dual motivation" analysis in determining whether existence of single discriminatory reason for peremptory strike results in automatic Batson violation when neutral reasons also have been articulated. 15 A.L.R.6th 319.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding guilty pleas — Coercion or duress. 19 A.L.R.6th 411. Voluntary nature of confession as affected by appeal to religious beliefs. 20 A.L.R.6th 479

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory tape recorded evidence as violating due process. 24 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" at hospital by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Suspect injured or taken ill. 25 A.L.R.6th 379.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" of juvenile by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police station or sheriff's office. 26 A.L.R.6th 451.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation"

by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation—At suspect's or third party's residence. 28 A.L.R.6th 505.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" of adult by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police station or sheriff's office, where defendant voluntarily appears or appears at request of law enforcement personnel, or where unspecified as to circumstances upon which defendant is present. 29 A.L.R.6th 1.

Comment note: Construction and application of supreme court's ruling in *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36, 124 S. Ct. 1354, 158 L. Ed. 2d 177, 63 Fed. R. Evid. Serv. 1077 (2004), with respect to confrontation clause challenges to admissibility of hearsay statement by declarant whom defendant had no opportunity to cross-examine. 30 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" at hospital by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Suspect hospital patient. 30 A.L.R.6th 103.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding guilty pleas — Probation, parole, or pardon possibilities. 31 A.L.R.6th 49.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" at hospital by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Suspect hospital visitor, not patient. 31 A.L.R.6th 465.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" of adult by police officer within rule of Miranda v. Arizona requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police station or sheriff's office, where defendant is escorted or accompanied by law enforcement personnel, or is otherwise at station or office involuntarily. 32 A.L.R.6th 1.

Determination of request for exclusion of public from state criminal trial in order to preserve safety, confidentiality, or well-being of witness who is not undercover police officer— Issues of proof, consideration of alternatives, and scope of closure. 32 A.L.R.6th 171.

Basis for exclusion of public from state criminal trial in order to preserve safety, confidentiality, or well-being of witness who is not undercover police officer. 33 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police vehicle,

where defendant outside, but in immediate vicinity. 34 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of Miranda v. Arizona requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police vehicle, where defendant in moving vehicle, or where unspecified as to whether vehicle moving or stationary. 35 A.L.R.6th 127.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — In jail or prison. 38 A.L.R.6th 97.

Application of stigma-plus due process claims to education context. 41 A.L.R.6th 391.

Propriety of using otherwise inadmissible statement, taken in violation of *Miranda* rule, to impeach criminal defendant's credibility — State cases. 42 A.L.R.6th 237.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding entrapment defense — State cases. 43 A.L.R.6th 475.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Upon hotel property. 45 A.L.R.6th 337.

Suppression of statements made during police interview of non-english-speaking defendant. 49 A.L.R.6th 343.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of requiring that suspect be informed of his federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Private security guards, detectives, or police. 51 A.L.R.6th 219.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory physical evidence as violating due process — Weapons. 53 A.L.R.6th 81.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory physical evidence as violating due process — Personal items other than weapons. 55 A.L.R.6th 391.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At nonpolice vehicle for traffic stop, where defendant outside, but in immediate vicinity of vehicle, or where unspecified as to whether inside or outside of nonpolice vehicle. 55 A.L.R.6th 513.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory physical evidence as violating due process — Evidence other than weapons or personal items. 56 A.L.R.6th 185.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before

custodial interrogation — In nonpolice vehicle for traffic stop. 56 A.L.R.6th 323.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At nonpolice vehicle for other than traffic stop. 57 A.L.R.6th 83.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Where unspecified as to precise location of roadside questioning by law enforcement officers. 58 A.L.R.6th 215.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At suspect's place of employment or business. 58 A.L.R.6th 439.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At school. 59 A.L.R.6th 393.

When does use of pepper spray, mace, or other similar chemical irritants constitute violation of constitutional rights. 65 A.L.R.6th 93.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At border or functional equivalent of border. 68 A.L.R.6th 607.

Criminal defendant's right to electronic recordation of interrogations and confessions. 69 A.L.R.6th 579.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where no warrant involved. 71 A.L.R.6th 1.

Propriety and prejudicial effect of requiring defendant to wear stun belt or shock belt during course of state criminal trial. 71 A.L.R.6th 625.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where warrant was involved. 72 A.L.R.6th 1.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying homicide and assault offenses. 72 A.L.R.6th 437.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying drug offenses. 73 A.L.R.6th 49.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying sexual offenses. 74 A.L.R.6th 69.

Construction and application by state courts of supreme court's ruling in *Padilla v. Kentucky*, 130 S. Ct. 1473, 176 L. Ed. 2d 284 (2010), that defense counsel has obligation to advise defendant that entering guilty plea could result in deportation. 74 A.L.R.6th 373.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying weapons offenses. 75 A.L.R.6th 443.

Due process afforded in drug court proceedings. 78 A.L.R.6th 1.

Construction and application of *Illinois v. Lidster*, 540 U.S. 419, 124 S. Ct. 885, 157 L. Ed. 2d 843 (2004), governing validity of police roadblock, checkpoint, or other detention of vehicle for gathering of information. 78 A.L.R.6th 213.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying vehicular offenses. 79 A.L.R.6th 325.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying theft and burglary offenses. 80 A.L.R.6th 239

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying miscellaneous offenses. 81 A.L.R.6th 257.

Construction and application of booking question exception to *Miranda*. 81 A.L.R.6th 505.

Construction and application of constitutional rule of *Miranda* — Supreme court cases. 17 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 465.

Construction and application of Speedy Trial Act, 18 USCS §§ 3161 to 3174 — United States supreme court cases. 46 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 129.

Comment note: Ineffective assistance of counsel in removal proceedings — Legal bases of entitlement to representation and requisites to establish prima facie case of ineffectiveness. 58 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 363.

Comment note: Ineffective assistance of counsel in removal proceedings — Particular acts. 59 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 151.

Comment note: Ineffective assistance of counsel in removal proceedings — Particular omissions or failures. 60 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 59.

§ 14. Right of eminent domain.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Access to property.
Constitutionality.
Federal action.
Inverse condemnation.
Liberal construction.
Loss of visibility.
Pipelines.
Private use or gain.
Procedure.
Unauthorized fees and charges.

Access to Property.

Relocation of an access road was permitted under § 55-313, and did not require the consent of the neighboring dominant estate holders; the relocation did not constitute a taking, and there was no genuine issue of material fact as to whether the neighbors sustained an injury. Statewide Constr., Inc. v. Pietri, 150 Idaho 423, 247 P.3d 650, overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

State action that merely results in a change in traffic flow requiring traffic to reach property by a more circuitous route does not amount to a taking as a matter of law. It is only where a previously existing access right is destroyed, or at least substantially impaired, leaving no reasonable alternative, that Idaho courts have recognized a compensable taking of access. State v. HI Boise, LLC, 153 Idaho 334, 282 P.3d 595 (2012).

Constitutionality.

The immunity provision found at former § 22-4803A(6) [now see §§ 39-114 and 52-108] does not effect a taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution or this section. It also does not violate Idaho Const., Art. I, § 1 or the prohibition against local or special laws found in Idaho Const., Art. III, § 19. The statute is constitutional. Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004), cert. denied, 543 U.S. 1146, 125 S. Ct. 1299, 161 L. Ed. 2d 106 (2005).

Federal Action.

A federal challenge to an alleged regulatory taking, under the Fifth Amendment, is not ripe unless two conditions are satisfied. First, the action alleged to constitute the taking must be a final decision regarding how the owner will be allowed to develop its property. Second, a plaintiff must have sought compensation for the alleged taking through avail-

able state procedures. Hehr v. City of McCall, — Idaho —, 305 P.3d 536 (2013).

Inverse Condemnation.

Subdivision developers who entered into a road development agreement requiring them to contribute to road improvement costs could not prevail on an inverse condemnation claim, because they agreed to pay; hence, there was no taking. Buckskin Props. v. Valley County, 154 Idaho 486, 300 P.3d 18 (2013).

Liberal Construction.

If a person or corporation vested with the power of eminent domain enters upon the land of another without lawful authority, the person or corporation may, by proper proceedings, still condemn the property wrongfully entered upon. Telford Lands LLC v. Cain, 154 Idaho 981, 303 P.3d 1237 (2013).

Loss of Visibility.

Loss of visibility is not a compensable property right for a business in and of itself, unless some of the improvements alleged to obstruct visibility are located on land taken from the business through condemnation. State v. HI Boise, LLC, 153 Idaho 334, 282 P.3d 595 (2012).

Pipelines.

Condemnation of an easement to construct a pipeline for irrigation purposes, a beneficial use, was authorized and reasonably necessary to reduce conveyance losses. Telford Lands LLC v. Cain, 154 Idaho 981, 303 P.3d 1237 (2013).

Private Use or Gain.

Private individuals may not take the property of other private individuals in order to enhance their purely private enjoyment of their own property; the proposed use need not be strictly public, but it must benefit the public welfare or the economy of the state. Backman v. Lawrence, 147 Idaho 390, 210 P.3d 75 (2009).

Procedure.

If a private person, who is entitled under this section to condemn an easement across the property of another, enters onto the property without first obtaining an order of condemnation and paying just compensation, the owner of the property may maintain his action to oust and eject the trespasser, or he may enjoin him from using and occupying the land, or he may waive both such remedies and sue upon an implied contract to pay reasonable compensation for the property Telford Lands LLC v. Cain, 154 Idaho 981, 303 P.3d 1237 (2013).

Unauthorized Fees and Charges.

City's exaction of a liquor license transfer fee could constitute the taking of property without just compensation where the city had no authority to charge a transfer fee. BHA Invs., Inc. v. City of Boise, 141 Idaho 168, 108 P.3d 315 (2005).

Cited in: Alliance v. City of Idaho Falls, 742 F.3d 1100 (9th Cir. Dec. 31, 2013).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Application of *Kelo v. City of New London*, 545 U.S. 469, 125 S. Ct. 2655, 162 L. Ed. 2d 439 (2005), to "public use" restrictions in federal and state constitutions takings clauses and eminent domain statutes. 21 A.L.R.6th 261.

Elements and measure of compensation in eminent domain proceeding for temporary taking of property. 49 A.L.R.6th 205.

Zoning scheme, plan, or ordinance as temporary taking. 55 A.L.R.6th 635.

Construction and application of "public use" restriction in Fifth Amendment's takings slause — United States supreme court cases. 10 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 407.

§ 15. Imprisonment for debt prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Theft.

Theft statute could not be interpreted to include mere nonpayment of debt, as it would run afoul of this section, which specifies that

there shall be no imprisonment for debt in this state, except in cases of fraud. State v. Culbreth, 146 Idaho 322, 193 P.3d 869 (Ct. App. 2008).

§ 16. Bills of attainder, etc., prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Bills of attainder.
Ex post facto laws.
Impairing obligations of contract.
Persistent violator enhancement statute.

Bills of Attainder.

Post-conviction relief was properly denied because defendant's counsel was not ineffective for failing to argue that § 18-3316 was unconstitutional as a bill of attainder and an ex post facto law. Counsel was not required to raise a nonmeritorious issue in the district court. Zivkovic v. State, 150 Idaho 783, 251 P.3d 611 (Ct. App.), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 132 S. Ct. 555, 181 L. Ed. 2d 401 (2011).

Ex Post Facto Laws.

Idaho's Sexual Offender Registration Notification and Community Right-to-Know Act, § 18-8301 et seq., is not punitive and, there-

fore, is not an impermissible ex post facto law. State v. Gragg, 143 Idaho 74, 137 P.3d 461 (Ct. App. 2005).

Aggravated DUI defendant was not being prosecuted for any offense which he committed before the 2006 amendment to § 18-8005(5), and his exposure to prosecution for the present offense had not even arisen, let alone expired, when the statute was amended; defendant was not being punished in the present case for the offenses he committed in 2001 and 2003, and he was prosecuted only for the DUI that he committed in 2007, about a year after the Idaho legislature

amended the statute. State v. Lamb, 147 Idaho 133, 206 P.3d 497 (Ct. App. 2009).

The Family Law License Suspensions Act, § 7-1401 et seq., does not violate the ex post facto prohibition of this section or U.S. Const., Art. I, § 9, cl. 3, because the act does not invoke criminal jurisprudence. Wheeler v. Idaho Dep't of Health & Welfare, 147 Idaho 257, 207 P.3d 988 (2009).

Impairing Obligations of Contract.

Because neither the Constitution of Idaho, the nature of the state, nor long-standing precedent demonstrate that the protection provided by the Idaho Constitution is greater than the protection provided by the United States Constitution, challenges based upon Idaho Const., Art. I, § 16 should be evaluated under the federal framework and rules and under U.S. Const., Art. I, § 10, cl. 1. CDA Dairy Queen, Inc. v. State Ins. Fund, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2013 Ida. LEXIS 111 (Apr. 9, 2013).

The federal framework for determining whether a legislative act violates the contracts clause is a three-step analysis. The first step is to determine whether the challenged legislative enactment has operated as a substantial impairment of a contractual relationship. This threshold inquiry also has three parts: 1) whether a contractual relationship exists, 2) whether the challenged legislative enactment impairs that relationship, and 3) whether that impairment is substantial. When considering whether a contractual relationship exists, the test is not merely whether the parties have some contractual relationship, but whether there was a contractual agreement regarding the specific terms allegedly at issue. Therefore, a legislative act does not violate the contracts clause unless there is a contractual relationship between the parties regarding the specific terms at issue, the challenged act impairs an obligation under that contract, and that impairment is substantial. If the challenged legislative action is found to substantially impair a contract, the analysis then proceeds to the remaining two steps: whether the act serves an important public purpose, and whether the act is reasonable and necessary to advance that purpose. First, substantial impairment may be permissible where there is a significant and legitimate public purpose behind the regulation, such as the remedying of a broad and general social or economic problem. However, even if a legitimate public purpose exists, the court must still determine whether the act is based upon reasonable conditions and is of a character appropriate to the public purpose justifying its adoption. Thus, even substantial impairment may be permissible, but only where it is reasonable and necessary to advance a legitimate public purpose. CDA Dairy Queen, Inc. v. State Ins. Fund, — Idaho -, - P.3d -, 2013 Ida. LEXIS 111 (Apr. 9, 2013).

The retroactive appeal of § 72-915 by S.L. 2009, ch. 294 substantially impaired the policyholder's contract rights, because the dividend paid out under that section was part of the consideration of the contract. Repealing the statute that provided for the possibility of a premium refund reduced the maximum value of the contract to the policyholder. CDA Dairy Queen, Inc. v. State Ins. Fund, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2013 Ida. LEXIS 111 (Apr. 9, 2013).

Persistent Violator Enhancement Statute.

Persistent violator enhancement statute was not an illegal bill of attainder because it did not single out a specific group or individual, it did not impose punishment, and judicial protections were a required prerequisite to the imposition of an enhanced sentence pursuant to its terms. State v. Haggard, 146 Idaho 37, 190 P.3d 193 (Ct. App. 2008).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Construction and application of U.S. Const., Art. I, § 9, cl. 3, proscribing federal bills of attainder. 62 A.L.R.6th 517.

Construction and application of U.S.

Const., Art. I, § 10, cl. 1, and state constitutional provisions proscribing state bills of attainder. 63 A.L.R.6th 1.

§ 17. Unreasonable searches and seizures prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Arrest.
—Probable cause.
Blood alcohol test.
Blood draw.
Consent.

Construction.

-Affidavit.

Control of premises.

Exception to warrant requirement.

Exclusion of evidence.

Exigent circumstances.

Expectation of privacy.

Field sobriety tests.

Freedom to leave.

Inventory search.

Investigative stop.

Issuance of warrant. Knock and announce.

Motion to suppress.

Parolee.

Plain view.

Probable cause.

- -Affidavit.
- -Detention.
- -Reasonable suspicion.

Probationers.

Protective frisk.

Protective sweeps.

Rental vehicle.

Search.

- -Automobile.
- —Exceeding scope of warrant.

-Pat-down.

Search incident to arrest.

Search warrants.

- —Cell phones.
- -In general.
- —Probable cause.

Seizure.

Standing.

Statements.

Telephonic warrant.

Traffic stop.

Arrest.

-Probable Cause.

A temporarily opened attached garage is a place in which a person would have a reasonable expectation of privacy. Nevertheless, police officers who activated their overhead lights as defendant pulled into his driveway, and then followed him into his garage, had probable cause to arrest defendant for battery based on victim's specific identification of him as her attacker and their observation that he and his vehicle matched the description provided by the victim. State v. Jenkins, 143 Idaho 918, 155 P.3d 1157 (2007).

Officer had probable cause to make a warrantless arrest for DUI, where officer observed open beer cans in the vehicle where defendant sat in the driver's seat, defendant had slightly glazed eyes and slurred speech, there was an odor of alcohol present, defendant admitted to consuming alcohol, and defendant drove his vehicle across the parking lot immediately after the officers advised him not to. State v. Martinez-Gonzalez, 152 Idaho 775, 275 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2012).

Blood Alcohol Test.

Although a driver impiedly consents to a blood-alcohol test, when there is reasonable suspicion, by operating a motor vehicle on state highways, the fourth amendment and this section require police to perform the test in a medically acceptable manner and with the use of only reasonable force. Miller v. Idaho State Patrol, 150 Idaho 856, 252 P.3d 1274 (2011).

Blood Draw.

Where DUI defendant ultimately refused to submit to breathalyzer, police officer's transporting him to a hospital and requesting a blood draw over defendant's objection was not a violation of defendant's constitutional rights, as defendant's implied consent to search fell within the well-recognized consent exception to warrantless searches, and the actions of police were reasonable under the circumstances. State v. Diaz, 144 Idaho 300, 160 P.3d 739 (2007).

Consent.

Where police officers had observed defen-

dant smoking a marijuana cigarette, their statement that defendant would be subject to arrest if he did not turn over what drugs he had did not render defendant's subsequent consent to search his truck involuntary, as it merely informed defendant of their intention to do something that was within their authority based on the circumstances. State v. Garcia, 143 Idaho 774, 152 P.3d 645 (Ct. App. 2006).

Where a homeowner's guardian authorized the police to search the homeowner's house, the warrantless entry into defendant's locked room was unreasonable and required suppression of evidence because (1) defendant had an expectation of privacy, (2) the guardian lacked actual authority to consent to the police search due to a lack of common authority, and (3) there was no apparent authority to consent. State v. Fancher, 145 Idaho 832, 186 P.3d 688 (Ct. App. 2008).

Although time lapse of forty minutes and the fact that the officers did not know which apartment defendant had entered rendered initial entry into defendant's apartment unjustified by the hot pursuit exception, defendant's wife's subsequent consents were voluntarily given, and she signed a written voluntary consent form that contained language informing her of her constitutional right to refuse consent. State v. Ballou, 145 Idaho 840, 186 P.3d 696 (Ct. App. 2008).

Police officers reasonably believed a probationer, who lived in an RV on defendant's property, had authority to consent to a search of the common areas of defendant's home. Without basic amenities in his RV, it appeared that the probationer was not merely a guest, but rather used defendant's house as an extension of his own home. State v. Hansen, 151 Idaho 342, 256 P.3d 750 (2011).

Construction.

Although the wording of this section and the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution is similar, the supreme court of Idaho, at times, construes the provisions of the Idaho Constitution to grant greater protection than that afforded under the United States supreme court's interpretation of the federal Constitution. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

Although defendant argued that both constitutions were violated, he provided no cogent reason why this section was to be applied differently than the Fourth Amendment in this case, and thus the court relied on judicial interpretation of the Fourth Amendment in its analysis of defendant's claims. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

-Affidavit.

Word "affidavit," as used in this section, is broad enough to include the recording of sworn testimony. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

Control of Premises.

Summary dismissal of inmate's petition for postconviction relief on the basis of ineffective counsel was improper. Inmate has been convicted of manufacturing methamphetamine in a rented shed which had been searched pursuant to consent by property resident. Defense counsel's failure to challenge search was prejudicial. Lint v. State, 145 Idaho 472, 180 P.3d 511 (Ct. App. 2008).

Exception to Warrant Requirement.

Because no evidence of regular and largescale drug trafficking by defendant was presented to the magistrate, the state's claim that an exception to the warrant requirement allowed a finding of probable cause to search a drug dealer's home where there was evidence showing that the homeowner was engaged in regular and large-scale drug trafficking was without merit. State v. Belden, 148 Idaho 277, 220 P.3d 1096 (Ct. App. 2009).

Exclusion of Evidence.

While police officer's initial detention of defendant was without reasonable suspicion, it ended when defendant jumped up from picnic table where officer was questioning him and ran. Thus, methamphetamine dropped by defendant when he was tackled was not suppressible under the fruit of the poisonous tree theory. Had defendant remained at table, however, evidence would have been suppressible. State v. Zuniga, 143 Idaho 431, 146 P.3d 697 (Ct. App. 2006).

Issue of whether police officers' entry of defendant's home was unlawful was immaterial, because officers did not exploit the intrusion to obtain any evidence. Even though officers acquired evidence of defendant's violent actions in close temporal proximity to their allegedly unlawful intrusion, any causal connection between the entry and the acquisition of evidence was broken by defendant's illegal acts of shooting at the police officers. State v. Deisz, 145 Idaho 826, 186 P.3d 682 (Ct. App. 2008).

Where a homeowner's guardian authorized the police to search the homeowner's house and the warrantless entry into defendant's locked room was unreasonable, evidence seized inside the room and defendant's statements to police immediately after the search had to be suppressed; however, evidence obtained as a result of interviews with other residents was not suppressed because their identities were not discovered as a result of the illegal search and the officers already had a motive to question the residents about drugs before they found drugs and paraphernalia in defendant's room. State v. Fancher, 145 Idaho 832, 186 P.3d 688 (Ct. App. 2008).

Methamphetamine discovered during a search following an arrest pursuant to an invalid warrant of attachment is not admissible into evidence, as the search is violative of this section. No "good faith" exception is applicable. State v. Koivu, 152 Idaho 511, 272 P.3d 483 (2012).

Exigent Circumstances.

Warrantless entry into a residence to preserve evidence of the felony crime of trafficking in marijuana was not invalid because it was done before the search warrant hearing to preserve evidence of a nonviolent crime. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

United States supreme court holds that where officers, having probable cause, enter premises, and with probable cause, arrest the occupants who have legitimate possessory interests in its contents and take them into custody and, for no more than the period here involved, secure the premises from within to preserve the status quo while others, in good faith, are in the process of obtaining a warrant, they do not violate the Fourth Amendment's proscription against unreasonable seizures. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

In prosecution for possession and trafficking of methamphetamine, trial court erred in denying defendants motion to suppress evidence obtained as a result of police officer's entry, along with firefighters, into defendant's garage after a fire had been put out. There was no exigency that would allow police to follow emergency personnel into the garage without a search warrant, because the firefighters did not find any contraband or evidence of a crime while investigating the fire's cause and they did not request the assistance of a police officer. State v. Bunting, 142 Idaho 908, 136 P.3d 379 (Ct. App. 2006).

In prosecution for trafficking in marijuana, trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence obtained as a result of firefighters' entry into his warehouse to investigate the cause of a nearby grass fire. The possibility of electrical problem in the building caused a need to investigate, and the late hour and absence of anyone to provide access to the building compelled a need to act, and there was no time to get a search warrant or consent. The heightened privacy expectation for a private home was absent, and the firefighters were not seeking crime evidence. State v. O'Keefe, 143 Idaho 278, 141 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2006).

Exigent circumstances existed so as to permit officers to enter home of DUI suspect and make a warrantless arrest, where they were speaking with her at the threshold of the door while she was four feet inside the home, she smelled of alcohol and slurred her speech, she

had admitted to drinking and driving, which was corroborated by witnesses, and where there was a risk of imminent destruction of evidence through the dissipation of her blood alcohol content. State v. Robinson, 144 Idaho 496, 163 P.3d 1208 (Ct. App. 2007).

The distinction between felony and misdemeanor for purposes of the exigent circumstances doctrine no longer has a valid basis in state or federal law. The logical implication from the state and federal cases is that jailable misdemeanors are encompassed within the exigent circumstances exception allowing warrantless entry to preserve evidence. State v. Robinson, 144 Idaho 496, 163 P.3d 1208 (Ct. App. 2007).

Blood draw of unconscious DUI suspect was permissible under the exigent circumstances exception to a warrant and also pursuant to defendant's implied consent. State v. Dewitt, 145 Idaho 709, 184 P.3d 215 (Ct. App. 2008).

Warrantless entry into a residence was permissible under the exigent circumstances exception to the warrant requirement where the officers had a legitimate concern for the safety of the individuals inside the residence. An officer had seen a man attempting to enter or leave the premises via a window, the identity of the man could not be confirmed, family members of the house's resident did not know the man, and repeated attempts to communicate with those inside the house went unanswered. State v. Araiza, 147 Idaho 371, 209 P.3d 668 (Ct. App. 2009).

Expectation of Privacy.

Where a driver fails to produce a valid driver's license, proof of insurance, and registration, there is no reason to prohibit an officer from checking both the dashboard and doorjamb vehicle identification numbers (VIN) as a precaution to ensure that the vehicle is not stolen; therefore, a motion to suppress evidence was properly denied where an officer saw marijuana seeds on the floorboard of vehicle as he opened a driver's door to find a VIN. Defendant had no recognized privacy interest in the VIN, the officer's invasion was minimal, the officer was permitted to open the vehicle door, and glancing around the vehicle was not a search. State v. Metzger, 144 Idaho 397, 162 P.3d 776 (Ct. App. 2007).

Warrantless search of temporary structure built on public forest lands violated defendant's reasonable expectation of privacy, because, even though he was a squatter, defendant had not been asked to leave by department of lands. Search was not incident to arrest, as it began 45 minutes afterwards. Search could also not be justified under plain view doctrine, as items lawfully viewed from outside of hooch could have been used as basis for telephonic search warrant instead. State v. Pruss, 145 Idaho 623, 181 P.3d 1231 (2008).

Where a homeowner's guardian authorized the police to search the homeowner's house, the warrantless entry into defendant's locked room was unreasonable and required suppression of evidence because, inter alia, defendant had an expectation of privacy since defendant was a resident and took specific steps to maintain defendant's privacy within the house by locking the door. State v. Fancher, 145 Idaho 832, 186 P.3d 688 (Ct. App. 2008).

In trial for transferring body fluid that might contain human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) after engaging in oral sex with woman without advising her of his HIV status, trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress documents released by health department to prosecutor's office. Defendant had submitted laboratory reports documenting his HIV status to health department in order to obtain HIV-related services, and he, thereby, assumed risk that the documents would be further disclosed. State v. Mubita, 145 Idaho 925, 188 P.3d 867 (2008), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

If, as Idaho case law has established, there is a privacy interest, protected by this section, in a telephone contact log, by logical extension there also must be a protected privacy interest in the content of text messages — for messages disclose far more intimate and private information than a mere list of numbers dialed. State v. Branigh, — Idaho —, 313 P.3d 732 (Ct. App. 2013).

Field Sobriety Tests.

Defendant's consent to perform field sobriety tests was not required. Defendant acknowledged that the initial detention for investigation of DUI was based on reasonable suspicion and, therefore, was permissible. Trial court did not err in denying defendant's motion to suppress evidence of defendant's performance on field sobriety tests. State v. Buell, 145 Idaho 54, 175 P.3d 216 (Ct. App. 2008).

Freedom to Leave.

Trial court improperly suppressed cocaine found in defendant's car on the ground that a police officer's use of a spotlight to illuminate defendant's car amounted to a display of authority sufficient to create a detention under this section and the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution. The use of a spotlight alone, without the police's emergency lights, would not lead a reasonable person to believe that he was not free to leave, although it could be considered under the totality of the circumstances. State v. Baker, 141 Idaho 163, 107 P.3d 1214 (2004).

Where officers told defendant that "he needed to come speak to them" without any

reasonable suspicion he had engaged in illegal activity, an illegal seizure had occurred, as the officers' language was sufficiently coercive as to make defendant feel he was not free to leave. Consequently, physical evidence obtained in a subsequent consent search was suppressed. State v. Cardenas, 143 Idaho 903, 155 P.3d 704 (Ct. App. 2006).

Inventory Search.

Trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to suppress evidence of marijuana and heroin found in a locked safe recovered from his apartment while officers were removing items pursuant to a writ of execution; any suspicion the officers had that the safe contained contraband did not alleviate the necessity for the sheriff's department to either get a warrant or to establish, and its officers to follow, a standardized criteria for dealing with locked containers discovered during an inventory search. State v. Owen, 143 Idaho 274, 141 P.3d 1143 (Ct. App. 2006).

Investigative Stop.

During a traffic stop, defendant was not unreasonably detained when one officer requested identification of the driver of the vehicle and another officer requested identification of the rear passengers. State v. Roe, 140 Idaho 176, 90 P.3d 926 (Ct. App. 2004).

Second officer's visual inspection of vehicle interior with flashlight during and briefly after issuance of a speeding citation to the drivers by first officer did not constitute an unreasonable search and seizure. State v. Gomez, 144 Idaho 865, 172 P.3d 1140 (Ct. App.), review denied, 2007 Ida. LEXIS 232 (2007).

Based on a response to a possible burglary, an officer was justified in conducting a *Terry* frisk that led the officer to the lawful discovery of contraband cigarettes in juvenile defendant's pocket. Upon defendant's admission that he also possessed marijuana, the officer was permitted to reach into defendant's pocket and remove the marijuana. State v. Doe (In re Doe), 145 Idaho 980, 188 P.3d 922 (Ct. App. 2008).

The length and scope of an initial investigatory detention may be lawfully expanded, if there exist objective and specific articulable facts that justify suspicion that the detained person is, has been, or is about to be engaged in criminal activity. State v. Grantham, 146 Idaho 490, 198 P.3d 128 (Ct. App. 2008).

Issuance of Warrant.

Defendant's constitutional right to particularity in a search warrant was not violated where the warrant described Sprint head-quarters as being in Overland Park, Texas, instead of Overland Park, Kansas. The necessary particularity was supplied by the name of the corporate office with control of the

records and its fax number, as the warrant was served by fax and no one actually had to travel to the phone company to acquire its records. State v. Branigh, — Idaho —, 313 P.3d 732 (Ct. App. 2013).

Knock and Announce.

District court erred in denying suppression motion of defendant charged with possession of a controlled substance with intent to deliver; the five seconds the police waited after a knock and announce was not a reasonable length of time to allow an occupant of defendant's home to answer the door in the early morning, when no exigency existed or arose and the alleged volume of drugs in the home was itself insufficient to create reasonable suspicion of an exigency allowing the police to almost immediately enter the home after knocking and announcing. State v. Ramos, 142 Idaho 628, 130 P.3d 1166 (Ct. App. 2005).

In defendant's motion for post-conviction relief after being convicted of marijuana trafficking, trial court improperly refused to either appoint counsel, or give notice as to why the claim was frivolous. Although the allegations in defendant's claim for relief were insufficient to state a claim, a subsequent letter to the court raised a valid issue regarding trial counsel's failure to seek suppression of evidence based on a violation of the knock and announce rule, and under the lenient standard which should have been applied, this was sufficient to raise the possibility of a valid claim. Plant v. State, 143 Idaho 758, 152 P.3d 629 (Ct. App. 2006).

Motion to Suppress.

Because a stop was made under reasonable suspicion and the detention of defendant was related to the purpose of the stop, the court affirmed the denial of defendant's motion to suppress. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

Parolee.

Parolee is entitled to no greater Fourth Amendment protections in another's apartment than he has received or can assert with respect to his own home, and the Idaho Constitution offers no greater protection; therefore, a motion to suppress evidence should have been denied in a case where a parole officer, after receiving a tip, conducted a search of a residence where defendant may have been residing to determine if he was complying with the conditions of parole. State v. Cruz, 144 Idaho 906, 174 P.3d 876 (Ct. App. 2007).

Suppression was not required of evidence discovered after a warrantless entry into defendant's apartment, because defendant's parole agreement contained a Fourth Amendment waiver, which was not terminated by his arrest and incarceration. Further, there was

reasonable suspicion to believe that the residence contained evidence of a crime. State v. Ellis, — Idaho —, 314 P.3d 639 (Ct. App. 2013).

Plain View.

Multiple searches of defendant's home and barns all stemmed from police officers' initial observation of a stolen utility trailer's serial number. As the trial court made no factual findings as to whether this serial number was in open view from a legal vantage point, the case was remanded for this issue to be determined. State v. Tietsort, 145 Idaho 112, 175 P.3d 801 (Ct. App. 2007).

Probable Cause.

Detective indicated in an affidavit that he was informed by pharmacy employees that defendant and his co-actors were purchasing large amounts of pseudoephedrine that they obtained from the pharmacies and that the detective had personally observed the names of defendant and the co-actors in the pharmacies' written pseudoephedrine logs; thus, under the Fourth Amendment, this section, and Idaho R. Crim. P. 4(e), the requisite probable cause established that defendant was involved in illegal drug activity and that there was a fair probability that contraband or evidence of that activity would be found at defendant's residence. State v. Harper, 152 Idaho 93, 266 P.3d 1198 (Ct. App. 2011).

-Affidavit.

Trial court did not err in dismissing defendant's motion for postconviction relief, because counsel was not ineffective for failing to file a motion to suppress; the information in an affidavit from an officer who conducted the undercover operation was sufficient to provide probable cause for the issuance of a warrant. Wolf v. State, 152 Idaho 64, 266 P.3d 1169 (Ct. App. 2011).

—Detention.

Border patrol agent had not finally confirmed defendant's immigration status as a United States citizen until they arrived at the jail, after marijuana had been discovered; thus, the detention was not extended by the use of a drug dog, as the purpose of the stop for an immigration check had not been concluded. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

-Reasonable Suspicion.

In prosecution for driving under the influence, trial court erred in suppressing evidence obtained after police officers approached stopped vehicle which they had just observed driving without headlights and with its passenger door open and, after observing defendant curled up on the floor behind the front seats, opened door and ordered defendant to exit vehicle, because at that point, the officers

possessed a reasonable suspicion to detain defendant driver for the traffic violations they had witnessed. State v. Irwin, 143 Idaho 102, 137 P.3d 1024 (Ct. App. 2006).

In a methamphetamine trafficking case, evidence seized from defendant should have been suppressed as fruit of an illegal detention as the officers did not have a reasonable and articulable suspicion that defendant was involved in criminal activity prior to detaining him based on vague anonymous phone call to police dispatch. State v. Zapata-Reyes, 144 Idaho 703, 169 P.3d 291 (Ct. App. 2007).

In his challenge to the finding of reasonable suspicion, defendant argued that a border patrol agent did not verify that the vehicle he was stopping was the same one that had crossed the border that morning; however, the trial court's finding that the vehicle observed by the agent matched the vehicle described to him by an inspector was supported was substantial evidence. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

Probationers.

Where defendant had signed consent to search form as condition of probation, had probation revoked, and then reinstated without signing consent form, he was deemed not to have consented to warrantless search by probation officer. However, even without consent, the search was not in violation of defendant's rights, as his expectation of privacy was reduced as a probationer, and as long as there were reasonable grounds, probation officer was justified in conducting warrantless search. State v. Klingler, 143 Idaho 494, 148 P.3d 1240 (2006).

Where defendant delivered methamphetamine to an informant while he was serving probation, the search of his girlfriend's vehicle while he was riding as a passenger did not violate his rights under this section. The government's substantial interest in supervising probationers outweighed his diminished expectation of privacy in his girlfriend's car; defendant was not entitled to suppress evidence of \$2,910 and 50.8 grams of methamphetamine found in the vehicle. State v. Adams, 146 Idaho 162, 191 P.3d 240 (Ct. App. 2008).

Protective Frisk.

During a traffic stop of a vehicle driven by defendant, a police officer found a number of unused syringes in defendant's pocket and a small amount of methamphetamine, another syringe, and other paraphernalia in the vehicle; defendant was not permitted to suppress the evidence as the frisk was justified by officer safety. State v. Martin, 146 Idaho 357, 195 P.3d 716 (Ct. App. 2008).

Protective Sweeps.

Where the police were executing a search of defendant's trailer and noticed two other men

exiting a "rock building" on the premises, the ensuing protective sweep of the rock house was lawful because they had a reasonable, articulable suspicion that the building might harbor individuals posing a danger because the people present were suspected of drug activity, persons involved in drug activity often carried guns, the rock house was proximate to the place to be searched, and the police saw multiple vehicles on the premises, suggesting that more people were somewhere on the property. State v. Rojas-Tapia, 151 Idaho 479, 259 P.3d 625 (Ct. App. 2011).

Rental Vehicle.

Because he was not an authorized user under the rental agreement, defendant lacked standing to challenge an inventory search of rental vehicle from which he had fled after being stopped for speeding. The totality of the circumstances did not indicate that defendant had any reasonable expectation of privacy in the vehicle. State v. Cutler, 144 Idaho 272, 159 P.3d 909 (Ct. App. 2007).

Search.

-Automobile.

Defendant was not entitled to suppress evidence of a controlled substance seized during a traffic stop of a vehicle in which he was a passenger. The officer was justified in stopping the vehicle when he observed a violation of Idaho's seatbelt law. State v. Roe, 140 Idaho 176, 90 P.3d 926 (Ct. App. 2004).

Valid detention of defendant became a consensual encounter at the point when defendant was told that he could leave. When defendant's driver's license and other documents were returned to him, he was told at least twice that he was free to leave, after defendant had returned to his car to depart the officer asked politely for permission to ask defendant a question, and it followed that the subsequent consent to a search occurred during a consensual encounter and was not the product of an unlawful detention, as the officer did not command defendant to stay, nor preface his request with any other comments that would suggest a continuing exercise of authority. State v. Roark, 140 Idaho 868, 103 P.3d 481 (Ct. App. 2004).

Search of defendant's purse, which was voluntarily left in a vehicle, did not violate her rights to be free from unlawful searches and seizures because a lawful custodial arrest justified the infringement of any privacy interest she had in the purse. State v. Watts, 142 Idaho 230, 127 P.3d 133 (2005).

Warrantless vehicle search did not violate this section, because the officer had reasonable suspicion that the man and woman riding in the vehicle were associated with a large quantity of drugs found outside a truck stop's bathrooms, the man had an outstanding felony drug warrant, and the woman matched the description of the only customer in the store between the time that the employee cleaned the store and when she discovered drugs on the floor. State v. Johnson, 152 Idaho 56, 266 P.3d 1161 (Ct. App. 2011).

Subsequent failed alert by a drug dog did not negate a prior positive alert, and it was not necessary to suppress evidence from a vehicle search, where there were significant additional circumstances supporting probable cause, including that defendant was driving erratically in an unregistered vehicle with fictitious plates and engaged in furtive movements during the stop. State v. Anderson, 154 Idaho 703, 302 P.3d 328 (2012).

-Exceeding Scope of Warrant.

Officers did not exceed the scope of the warrant by searching defendant's apartment where (1) the officer's affidavit presented sufficient evidence to give rise to a belief that evidence of the theft would be located either on defendant or inside his apartment; (2) both the affidavit and the search warrant listed the address of defendant's apartment and described its appearance and location; (3) the officer's affidavit requested a warrant to search defendant's apartment and made no references to a car other than an unknown vehicle; (4) commanding a search of an unknown vehicle contradicted the rest of the warrant; and (5) use of the word "vehicle" instead of "residence" in the warrant was obviously a technical error, which would not automatically invalidate the warrant. State v. Teal, 145 Idaho 985, 188 P.3d 927 (Ct. App. 2008).

-Pat-down.

Police officer did not act outside the scope of a *Terry* stop and frisk by shaking the waistband of defendant's pants following defendant's attempt to conceal some item on his person where the officer's decision was a measured protective response to the facts available to him, and the officer used the least intrusive means available, shaking defendant's waistband, to ensure his and another officer's safety. State v. Greene, 140 Idaho 605, 97 P.3d 472 (Ct. App. 2004).

Defendant appealed from his conviction for possession of a controlled substance. Specifically, defendant challenged the district court's order denying his motion to suppress evidence. The appellate court stated that the officer did not violate defendant's constitutional right to be protected against unreasonable searches and seizures by conducting a pat-down search for weapons. However, because the officer intentionally removed items that could not have been weapons when it was unnecessary to do so in order to remove a toothpaste container, he acted unreasonably and not in a minimally intrusive fashion.

Thus, the officer exceeded the scope of a pat-down search for weapons when he emptied defendant's pocket and defendant's motion to suppress should have been granted. The baggy of methamphetamine that the officer removed from defendant's pocket was therefore the fruit of an unreasonable search and should have been suppressed. State v. Watson, 143 Idaho 840, 153 P.3d 1186 (Ct. App. 2007).

In the absence of furtive or aggressive behavior or suspicious circumstances, defendant's act of returning his hands to his pockets on a cold night despite a police officer's contrary instruction did not create reasonable suspicion that defendant was armed and dangerous. Therefore, the officer's pat-down search of defendant was unlawful, and the evidence that it yielded had to be suppressed. State v. Davenport, 144 Idaho 99, 156 P.3d 1197 (Ct. App. 2007).

Search Incident to Arrest.

Motion to suppress evidence of drugs found during search incident to arrest for violation of local open container ordinance was properly denied, since police had probable cause to make the arrest. State v. Schmitt, 144 Idaho 768, 171 P.3d 259 (Ct. App. 2007).

Evidence of drug paraphernalia was not suppressible on the ground that the seizing officer illegally entered defendant's home because the officer did not derive this evidence from any exploitation of the unlawful entry; rather, it was found in a search incident to an arrest for battery of the officer and, thus, was not "fruit of the poisonous tree." State v. Lusby, 146 Idaho 506, 198 P.3d 735 (Ct. App. 2008).

No warrant was required for an officer pursuing defendant, who the officer knew was driving on a suspended license and who entered a convenience store restroom and locked the door, to effect the arrest of defendant by obtaining the restroom key from the store clerk. Items in defendant's jacket, which was on the floor, were admissible under the search incident to the lawful arrest exception. State v. Dycus, 154 Idaho 456, 299 P.3d 263 (Ct. App. 2013).

Search Warrants.

When a search warrant was issued for defendant's commercial building, if the address stated in the warrant was erroneous, the warrant was not invalid because it otherwise particularly described the building, so there was no risk that an officer executing the warrant, who was familiar with the building, would search the wrong property. State v. O'Keefe, 143 Idaho 278, 141 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2006).

When a search of defendant's commercial building revealed evidence of a large-scale marijuana-growing operation, and the building was linked to defendant by the fact that he had rented it, owned several vehicles on the premises, and had utilities service to the building in his name, a magistrate could reasonably infer that further evidence of defendant's drug trafficking would be found at his residence, so there was sufficient probable cause for a search warrant for that residence. State v. O'Keefe, 143 Idaho 278, 141 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2006).

When there is a technical error or inadvertent error in a search warrant, a court analyzes whether the search exceeds the scope of the warrant based on an objective assessment of the circumstances surrounding the issuance of the warrant, the contents of the search warrant, and the circumstances of the search; however, the subjective state of mind of the officer executing the warrant is not material to the inquiry. A technical error will not automatically invalidate the warrant. State v. Teal, 145 Idaho 985, 188 P.3d 927 (Ct. App. 2008).

-Cell Phones.

Where police detained and frisked the defendant directly outside of his apartment. while searching the apartment under a warrant which specifically listed a "cellular phone" and "any media capable of storing photo files" as items to be seized, once the police identified an object in the defendant's pocket as a cell phone, a commonsense reading of the scope of the search warrant allowed them to retain the cell phone and search its contents. State v. Russo, — Idaho —, — P.3d -, 2013 Ida. App. LEXIS 25 (Ct. App. Mar. 4, 2013).

-In General.

Procedure followed in the case to obtain the search warrant did not violate this section where there was no authority supporting defendants' proposition that it required that an affidavit submitted in connection with an application for a search warrant had to be signed in the presence of the person issuing the warrant, and had the framers intended to exclude affidavits signed before a notary public from being used to obtain a search warrant, they would have drafted the constitution to do so. State v. Bicknell, 140 Idaho 201, 91 P.3d 1105 (2004).

-Probable Cause.

Where a controlled drug transaction took place at one location in a mobile home park, but a search of that location failed to find any marijuana, and the officer determined that the suspect lived in an adjoining space and obtained a search warrant for that space based solely on the residence of the suspect, the search of the adjoining space was invalid for lack of a nexus between the place to be searched and the item to be seized, and the evidence should have been suppressed. State v. Belden, 148 Idaho 277, 220 P.3d 1096 (Ct. App. 2009).

Seizure.

Under the Fourth Amendment and Idaho Const., Art. I, § 17, the district court's order granting defendant's motion to suppress was proper because a seizure, without a warrant or any exigent circumstances, occurred when a police officer opened the passenger door of the defendant's car and stood in the open doorway, preventing defendant from driving away. State v. Liechty, 152 Idaho 163, 267 P.3d 1278 (Ct. App. 2011).

Officer did not seize defendant: officer's conduct in parking behind defendant's vehicle, leaving the patrol car's headlights on, and approaching defendant's vehicle and knocking on the window did not communicate to a reasonable person that he was not at liberty to ignore the officer's presence and go about his business. State v. Randle, 152 Idaho 860,

276 P.3d 732 (Ct. App. 2012).

A seizure initiated through a show of authority requires words or actions, or both, by a law enforcement officer that would convey to a reasonable person that the officer was ordering him or her to restrict his or her movement. State v. Ray, 153 Idaho 564, 286 P.3d 1114 (2012).

Standing.

State waived its argument that defendant lacked standing to contest an automobile search where its initial position was that defendant had consented to the search, which was inconsistent with its appellate position that defendant was not authorized to control the automobile. State v. Cardenas, 143 Idaho 903, 155 P.3d 704 (Ct. App. 2006).

Statements.

Statements made by a properly Mirandized defendant were admissible despite the fact that he had been arrested as the result of an illegal stop, because the three hour time lapse between the stop and the statements was sufficient to break their connection with the illegal stop. State v. Cardenas, 143 Idaho 903, 155 P.3d 704 (Ct. App. 2006).

Telephonic Warrant.

Where the officer applied for a search warrant by telephone and testified to information provided by confidential informants that defendant kept and used methamphetamines in her home and was involved in an organized theft operation, a telephonic warrant was issued by the magistrate and signed by the prosecuting attorney at the direction of a magistrate judge. The fact that the judge did not sign the warrant was procedural defect that did not rise to the level of a constitutional violation; the defect did not call into question the finding of probable cause to justify issuance of the warrant. State v. Zueger, 143 Idaho 647, 152 P.3d 8 (2006).

Traffic Stop.

Officer had reasonable suspicion to stop defendant's vehicle when he saw the taillights emitting white light, when red light was required by law, and defendant was not entitled to suppress the evidence obtained subsequent to the stop. State v. Patterson, 140 Idaho 612, 97 P.3d 479 (Ct. App. 2004).

Trial court erred in denying defendant's

motion to suppress evidence of contraband where the trial court based its determination that a police officer was justified in initiating the weapons search during a traffic stop upon a subjective feeling attributed to the officer rather than a determination as to whether a reasonably prudent man in the circumstances would be warranted in the belief that his safety or that of others was in danger. State v. Henage, 143 Idaho 655, 152 P.3d 16 (2007).

Cited in: State v. Jones, 151 Idaho 943, 265 P.3d 1155 (Ct. App. 2011).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Validity of warrantless search of motor vehicle driver based on odor of marijuana — State cases. 123 A.L.R.5th 179.

Validity of search conducted pursuant to parole warrant. 123 A.L.R.5th 221.

Validity of warrantless search of motor vehicle passenger based on odor of marijuana. 1 A.L.R.6th 371.

Application of Leon good faith exception to exclusionary rule where police fail to comply with knock and announce requirement during execution of search warrant. 2 A.L.R.6th 169.

Application in state narcotics cases of collective knowledge doctrine or fellow officers' rule under Fourth Amendment — Cocaine cases. 4 A.L.R.6th 599.

Application in state narcotics cases of collective knowledge doctrine or fellow officers' rule under Fourth Amendment — Drugs other than marijuana and cocaine and unidentified drugs. 12 A.L.R.6th 553.

Construction and application of rule permitting knock and talk visits under Fourth Amendment and state constitutions. 15 A.L.R.6th 515.

A.L.R.oth 515.

When is warrantless entry of house or other building justified under "hot pursuit" doctrine. 17 A.L.R.6th 327.

Employee's expectation of privacy in workplace. 18 A.L.R.6th 1.

Expectation of privacy in text transmissions to or from pager, cellular telephone, or other wireless personal communications device. 25 A.L.R.6th 201.

Timeliness of execution of search warrant. 27 A.L.R.6th 491.

Hospital as within constitutional provision forbidding unreasonable searches and seizures. 28 A.L.R.6th 245.

Application in state narcotics cases of collective knowledge doctrine or fellow officers' rule under Fourth Amendment — Marijuana cases. 35 A.L.R.6th 497.

Validity of search of cruise ship cabin. 43 A.L.R.6th 355.

Validity of search and reasonable expectation of privacy as affected by no trespassing or similar signage. 45 A.L.R.6th 643.

Construction and application of "automatic companion rule" or person's "mere propinquity" to arrestee to determine propriety of search of person for weapons or firearms. 47 A.L.R.6th 423.

Construction and application of consentonce-removed doctrine, permitting warrantless entry into residence by law enforcement officers for purposes of effectuating arrest or search where confidential informant or undercover officer enters with consent and observes criminal activity or contraband in plain view. 50 A.L.R.6th 1.

Sufficiency of showing to support no-knock search warrant — Cases decided after *Richards v. Wisconsin*, 520 U.S. 385, 117 S. Ct. 1416, 137 L. Ed. 2d 615 (1997). 50 A.L.R.6th 455.

Construction and application of supreme court's holding in *Arizona v. Gant*, 129 S. Ct. 1710, 173 L. Ed. 2d 485, 47 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 657 (2009), that police may search vehicle incident to recent occupant's arrest only if arrestee is within reaching distance of passenger compartment at time of search or it is reasonable to believe vehicle contains evidence of offense — Substantive traffic offenses. 55 A.L.R.6th 1.

Construction and application of supreme court's holding in *Arizona v. Gant*, 129 S. Ct. 1710, 173 L. Ed. 2d 485, 47 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 657 (2009), that police may search vehicle incident to recent occupant's arrest only if arrestee is within reaching distance of passenger compartment at time of search or it is reasonable to believe vehicle contains evidence of offense — Pretextual traffic offenses and other criminal investigations. 56 A.L.R.6th 1.

Necessity of rendering medical assistance as circumstance permitting warrantless entry or search of building or premises. 58 A.L.R.6th 499.

Propriety of execution of no-knock search warrant. 59 A.L.R.6th 311.

Validity of search of wireless communication devices. 62 A.L.R.6th 161.

Search and seizure: reasonable expectation of privacy in backyards. 62 A.L.R.6th 413.

Search and seizure: Reasonable expectation of privacy in outbuildings. 67 A.L.R.6th 531.

Search and seizure: reasonable expectation of privacy in side yards. 69 A.L.R.6th 275.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where no warrant involved. 71 A.L.R.6th 1.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where warrant was involved. 72 A.L.R.6th 1.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying homicide and assault offenses. 72 A.L.R.6th 437.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Motions other than for suppression. 73 A.L.R.6th 1.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying drug offenses. 73 A.L.R.6th 49.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying sexual offenses. 74 A.L.R.6th 69.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying weapons offenses. 75 A.L.R.6th 443.

Construction and application of *Illinois v. Lidster*, 540 U.S. 419, 124 S. Ct. 885, 157 L. Ed. 2d 843 (2004), governing validity of police roadblock, checkpoint, or other detention of vehicle for gathering of information. 78 A.L.R.6th 213.

Construction and application by state courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of house for dangerous persons. 78 A.L.R.6th 297.

Permissibility under Fourth Amendment of *Terry* stop to investigate completed misdemeanor. 78 A.L.R.6th 599.

Construction and application by state courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of apartment or other non-house dwelling for dangerous persons. 79 A.L.R.6th

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying vehicular offenses. 79 A.L.R.6th 325.

Validity of "reach-in" searches. 79 A.L.R.6th 631.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying theft and burglary offenses. 80 A.L.R.6th 239.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying miscellaneous offenses. 81 A.L.R.6th 257.

Sufficiency of information provided by confidential informant, whose identity is known to police, to provide probable cause for federal search warrant where there was indication that informant provided reliable information to police in past — Cases decided after *Illinois v. Gates*, 462 U.S. 213, 103 S. Ct. 2317, 76 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1983). 196 A.L.R. Fed. 1.

Sufficiency of information provided by confidential informant, whose identity is known to police, to provide probable cause for federal search warrant where there was no indication that informant provided reliable information to police in past — Cases decided after *Illinois v. Gates*, 462 U.S. 213, 103 S. Ct. 2317, 76 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1983). 9 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

When are facts offered in support of search warrant for evidence of federal drug offense so untimely as to be stale. 13 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

Allowable use of federal pen register and trap and trace device to trace cell phones and internet use. 15 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 537.

First amendment protection for members of military subjected to discharge, transfer, or discipline because of speech. 40 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 229.

Application of first amendment's "ministerial exception" or "ecclesiastical exception" to federal civil rights claims. 41 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 445.

Claims of ineffective assistance of counsel in death penalty proceedings — United States supreme court cases. 31 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

Construction and application of sixth amendment right to counsel — Supreme court cases. 33 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding entrapment defense — Federal cases. 42 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 145

Validity and application of anticipatory search warrant — Federal cases. 31 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 123.

Unconstitutional search or seizure as warranting suppression of evidence in removal proceeding. 40 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 489.

Border search or seizure of traveler's laptop computer, or other personal electronic or digital storage device. 45 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

Application of fourth amendment to automobile searches — Supreme court cases. 47 A.L.R. Fed 2d 197.

Construction and application by federal courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of house for dangerous persons. 67 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 159.

Construction and application of Fourth Amendment exclusionary rule — Supreme court cases. 68 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 303.

Construction and application by federal courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of apartment or other nonhouse dwelling for dangerous persons. 69 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 241.

§ 18. Justice to be freely and speedily administered.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Court access.
Summary judgment continuance.

Court Access.

Denial of an employee's claim for psychological reaction without an accompanying physical injury, did not violate her rights under Idaho Const., Art. 1, §§ 2 and 18. Luttrell v. Clearwater County Sheriff's Office, 140 Idaho 581, 97 P.3d 448 (2004).

Court's refusal to hear a motion and the dismissal of a motion due to the contemnor's failure to purge contempt that arose from a failure to pay child support violated the constitutional right to access to the courts and, to the extent that there was an inconsistency in their holdings, the court overruled Lusty v. Lusty, 70 Idaho 382, 219 P.2d 280 (1950); Hoagland v. Hoagland, 67 Idaho 67, 170 P.2d 609 (1946); and Brown v. Brown, 66 Idaho 625, 165 P.2d 886 (1946). State Dep't of

Health & Welfare v. Slane, — Idaho —, 311 P.3d 286 (2013).

Summary Judgment Continuance.

Parties have a significant interest in the timely and economical resolution of legal disputes, and the courts are required to provide a speedy remedy to aggrieved parties and to administer justice without delay. The legal standard governing the exercise of discretion when deciding a Idaho R. Civ. P. 56(f) motion permits consideration of the moving party's previous lack of diligence in pursuing discovery. Boise Mode, LLC v. Donahoe Pace & Partners Ltd., 154 Idaho 99, 294 P.3d 1111 (2013).

Cited in: Venters v. Sorrento Del., Inc., 141 Idaho 245, 108 P.3d 392 (2005).

§ 22. Rights of crime victims.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Restitution.
Right to address court.
Rights of defendant.
Victim impact statement.

Restitution.

This provision, setting forth a criminal victim's statutory rights, including the restitution right, does not explicitly provide for a right to a jury trial. This does not conflict with Idaho Const., Art I, § 7. Idaho Const., Art. I, § 7 protects the right to a jury trial as that right existed when the Idaho constitution was adopted; however, Idaho Const., Art. I, § 22 codified rights that post-date the adoption of

the Idaho constitution. State v. Straub, 153 Idaho 882, 292 P.3d 273 (2013).

Right to Address Court.

Because the record did not support the conclusion that the victim's mother was presenting testimony at sentencing at the initiative of or on behalf of the state, the court was unable to conclude that the prosecutor acted contrary to the provisions of the plea agree-

ment where defendant pleaded guilty to aggravated assault in violation of §§ 18-901 and 18-905. Under subsection (6) of this section and § 19-5306(1)(e), crime victims were guaranteed the right to be heard upon request at sentencing hearings, and the state had informed the trial court that the mother wanted to address the court on behalf of the victim, and the trial court allowed the statement as a victim impact statement, and the issue of whether the trial court erred in allowing the mother to give such a statement was not preserved for review. State v. Jones, 141 Idaho 673, 115 P.3d 764 (Ct. App. 2005).

Rights of Defendant.

Where defendant was found guilty of murder, the appellate court declined to apply a harmless error analysis and remand the case for resentencing with directions to the trial court to exclude victim impact statements calling for the death penalty, or other information that did not comply with *Booth*, and *Payne*, in order not to violate the Eighth Amendment. State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

Subsequent to the decision in *Payne*, this section was added to Idaho Const., Art. I guaranteeing rights to crime victims, and subsection (6) provides that a crime victim has the right "to be heard, upon request, at all criminal justice proceedings considering a plea of guilty, sentencing, incarceration or release of the defendant, unless manifest injustice would result," language identical to

that found in § 19-5306(1)(e). State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

Victim Impact Statement.

DVD presentation containing photographic and video images of the victim and her family at the sentencing hearing was proper as it was a valid exercise of the victim's right to be heard and did not result in a manifest injustice. State v. Leon, 142 Idaho 705, 132 P.3d 462 (Ct. App. 2006).

Defendant failed to demonstrate that a district court erred in its use of a victim impact statement in a presentence investigation report. District court ruled that the statement was admissible only as victim input and then set forth multiple reasons for defendant's sentence based on the proper sentencing factors. State v. Deisz, 145 Idaho 826, 186 P.3d 682 (Ct. App. 2008).

When police officers were injured in the attempt to take defendant's husband into custody, defendant pled guilty to harboring and protecting a felon. At sentencing, the injured officers were properly allowed to give victim impact statements under this provision, § 19-5306(1)(e), and Idaho Crim. R. 32(b)(1); the officers were the victims of defendant's crime of harboring and protecting her husband. State v. Lampien, 148 Idaho 367, 223 P.3d 750 (2009).

Cited in: State v. Korsen, 141 Idaho 445, 111 P.3d 130 (2005).

§ 23. The rights to hunt, fish and trap. — The rights to hunt, fish and trap, including by the use of traditional methods, are a valued part of the heritage of the State of Idaho and shall forever be preserved for the people and managed through the laws, rules and proclamations that preserve the future of hunting, fishing and trapping. Public hunting, fishing and trapping of wildlife shall be a preferred means of managing wildlife. The rights set forth herein do not create a right to trespass on private property, shall not affect rights to divert, appropriate and use water, or establish any minimum amount of water in any water body, shall not lead to a diminution of other private rights, and shall not prevent the suspension or revocation, pursuant to statute enacted by the Legislature, of an individual's hunting, fishing or trapping license.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section was proposed as an enactment to the Idaho Constitution by House Joint

Resolution No. 2 (2012). House Joint Resolution No. 2 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 2012.

Article II

DISTRIBUTION OF POWERS

§ 1. Departments of government.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Pardon or parole. Separation of powers.

Pardon or Parole.

Section 20-228 did not violate the separation of powers where the legislature had the authority of establishing suitable punishment for various crimes; the legislative pronouncement that an inmate had to be subject to forfeiture of time spent on parole was an exercise of the legislative power to define crimes and their penalties and did not involve resentencing inmates. Gibson v. Bennett, 141 Idaho 270, 108 P.3d 417 (Ct. App. 2005).

Separation of Powers.

Whether or not the Idaho judicial council

member's appointment violated § 1-2101(1) was an issue that the senate could, and did, debate prior to his confirmation vote; it would violate the separation of powers for the appellate court to substitute its view for that of the senate regarding whether the member was qualified to be appointed to the judicial council. Troutner v. Kempthorne, 142 Idaho 389, 128 P.3d 926 (2006).

Cited in: Idaho Press Club, Inc. v. State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

Article III

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT

SECTION.

28. Marriage.

29. Legislative delegation of rulemaking au-

thority. [Proposed constitutional enactment.]

§ 1. Legislative power — Enacting clause — Referendum — Initiative.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Initiative Power.

Pursuant to this section and § 50-501, coalition's petition for an initiative election demanding enactment of an ordinance for a Ten Commandments display to be placed in a park qualified for the ballot for consideration by the voters; the supreme court could not interrupt the consideration of a properly qual-

ified initiative. City of Boise City v. Keep the Commandments Coalition (In re Initiative Petition for a Ten Commandments Display), 143 Idaho 254, 141 P.3d 1123 (2006).

Cited in: Johnson v. Blaine County, 146 Idaho 916, 204 P.3d 1127 (2009).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Legislative Power at Odds: The Effect of a Referendum Petition in Idaho, Comment. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 553 (2012).

A.L.R. — Validity of super-majority voting requirements in constitutional, statutory, and other public provisions. 28 A.L.R.6th 439.

§ 2. Membership of House and Senate.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Apportionment.

Reapportionment under which the total maximum population deviation was 9.71% was presumptively constitutional, and challengers of plan failed to demonstrate that the

deviation resulted from any unconstitutional or irrational state purpose which would overcome this presumption. Idaho Legislative Reapportionment Plan of 2002 v. Ysursa, 142 Idaho 464, 129 P.3d 1213 (2005).

§ 4. Apportionment of legislature.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: Idaho Legislative Reapportionment Plan of 2002 v. Ysursa, 142 Idaho 464, 129 P.3d 1213 (2005).

§ 5. Senatorial and representative districts.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Division of Counties.

Reapportionment under which the total maximum population deviation was 9.71% was presumptively constitutional, and challengers of plan failed to demonstrate that the deviation resulted from any unconstitutional or irrational state purpose which would overcome this presumption. Idaho Legislative Reapportionment Plan of 2002 v. Ysursa, 142 Idaho 464, 129 P.3d 1213 (2005).

Legislative redistricting plan divided more counties than necessary to comply with the equal protection requirements of the four-teenth amendment. Thus, the plan violated this section and § 72-1506(5) and was invalid, making it necessary for a revised plan to be adopted pursuant to § 72-1501(2). Twin Falls County v. Idaho Comm'n on Redistricting, 152 Idaho 346, 271 P.3d 1202 (2012).

§ 7. Privilege from arrest.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Construction.
Scope of privilege.

Construction.

This section privileges legislators from unnecessary compulsion by the other branches of government, which may interfere with official legislative duties. Hart v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n, 154 Idaho 621, 301 P.3d 627 (2012).

Scope of Privilege.

Taxpayer, who was also a member of the Idaho state legislature, was just a taxpayer, with no greater privilege than his constituents. This section speaks of privilege from

liability to civil process, not privilege from conformance with the rules governing permissive actions. Accordingly, because the tax-payer failed to timely file his board of tax appeals (BTA) appeal, he failed to exhaust his administrative remedies; consequently, neither the BTA nor the district court had jurisdiction to consider any of the taxpayer's arguments about the legality or appropriateness of Idaho's tax system. Hart v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n, 154 Idaho 621, 301 P.3d 627 (2012).

§ 9. Powers of each house.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: Idaho Press Club, Inc. v. State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

§ 10. Quorum, adjournments and organization.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: Idaho Press Club, Inc. v. State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

§ 12. Secret sessions prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Open Meetings.

This section does not apply to legislative committee meetings. Idaho Press Club, Inc. v.

State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

§ 14. Origin and amendment of bills.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Standing.

Citizen lacked standing to challenge the cigarette tax since he had a "generalized grievance" shared by a large class of citizens, and his remedy was through the political

process. Further, the sales and use tax bill originated in the Idaho house and although substantially amended in the Idaho senate, it was constitutionally enacted. Gallagher v. State, 141 Idaho 665, 115 P.3d 756 (2005).

OPINIONS OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Revenue vs. Fee.

This section requires all revenue raising bills to originate in the Idaho house of representatives. However, application of this provision has generally been to legislation involving an increase or decrease involving a tax or taxing measure. It has not been traditionally applied to legislation involving fees. OAG 12-1.

§ 16. Unity of subject and title.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Partial invalidity.
Titles.
—Sufficient.

Partial Invalidity.

Section 60-106 was judged to be constitutional as it applied to governmental entities, but unconstitutional and void in regard to non-governmental entities because the statute referred to governmental entities in its

title, but referred to non-governmental entities in the statute itself. Federated Publ'ns, Inc. v. Idaho Bus. Review, Inc., 146 Idaho 207, 192 P.3d 1031 (2008), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

Titles.

-Sufficient.

Section § 50-219 did not violate the unity requirement of this section because its title

encompassed the subject matter of damage claims against cities and served to fairly identify the content of the act. Cox v. City of Sandpoint, 140 Idaho 127, 90 P.3d 352 (Ct. App. 2003).

§ 19. Local and special laws prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Constitutionality. Legislative classifications. Special laws. Worker's compensation.

Constitutionality.

Immunity provision found at former § 22-4803A(6) [now see §§ 39-114 and 52-108] does not effect a taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution or Idaho Const., Art. I, § 14. It also does not violate Idaho Const., Art. I, § 1 or the prohibition against local or special laws found in this section. The statute is constitutional. Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004), cert. denied, 543 U.S. 1146, 125 S. Ct. 1299, 161 L. Ed. 2d 106 (2005).

Legislative Classifications.

House Bill 403 of the 2003 Idaho legislative session, as it amended § 6-2215, violated this section because the language of the bill was aimed at essentially disbanding a group's education case against the state and restructuring it in a manner that destroyed the group's cause of action, and was clearly a special law. Further, the legislation directly contradicted Idaho court procedure and effectively dismissed parties to a pending lawsuit without any court action, and there was no necessity present pursuant to Idaho Const., Art. V, § 13 meriting the legislature's attempt to legislate itself out of the lawsuit by rewriting the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure. Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004).

Special Laws.

There are three characteristics of special laws. First, a special law applies only to an individual or number of individuals out of a single class similarly situated and affected or to a special locality. (A law is not special simply because it may have only a local application or apply only to a special class if, in fact, it does apply to all such cases and all similar localities and to all belonging to the specified class to which the law is made applicable.) Second, when the legislature pursues a legitimate interest in protecting citizens of the state in enacting a law, then it is not special. Lastly, courts must determine whether the statute's classification is arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable. Hom v. Idaho Fish & Game Dep't (Citizens Against Range Expansion), 153 Idaho 630, 289 P.3d 32 (2012).

Worker's Compensation.

Requirement that an employee suffering an accident had to timely notify the employer, even if that employee was unaware of the extent of the personal injury caused by the accident, was not a special law prohibited by this section, because this section applies to all persons and subject matters in a like situation. Arel v. T & L Enters., 146 Idaho 29, 189 P.3d 1149 (2008).

§ 20. Gambling prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Applicability.
Indian gaming.
Licensing operation.

Applicability.

To give effect to this provision, which prohibits, in part, electromechanical imitation or

simulation of any form of casino gambling, the Idaho legislature enacted § 18-3810 to make it a misdemeanor to use or keep a slot machine. The term "slot machine" is sufficiently clear to include video gaming machines. Knox v. United States DOL, 759 F. Supp. 2d 1223 (D. Idaho 2010).

Indian Gaming.

Sections 67-429B and 67-429C are constitutional; the decision in *Idaho v. Shoshone-Bannock Tribes*, 465 F.3d 1095 (9th Cir. 2006), regarding whether video gaming machines were permitted on an Indian reservation, was final, and res judicata in any further litigation as to whether tribal video gaming is permis-

sible. Knox v. State Ex Rel. Otter, 148 Idaho 324, 223 P.3d 266 (2009).

Licensing Operation.

Idaho lottery commission (commission) did not abuse its discretion in determining that the nonprofit organizations failed to keep and account for all checks; instead of keeping or obtaining and producing the underlying records needed to fulfill the duty of scrutiny, the organizations told the commission to fetch the records at the commission's expense. Sons & Daughters of Idaho, Inc. v. Idaho Lottery Comm'n, 144 Idaho 23, 156 P.3d 524 (2007).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Preemption of state law by Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. 27 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 93.

§ 26. Power and authority over intoxicating liquors.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Control of sale of liquor. Property right.

Control of Sale of Liquor.

District court erred in holding that § 23-615 was facially unconstitutional for overbreadth, as selling alcohol is not constitutionally protected conduct under U.S. Const., Amend. XXI, § 2 and this section. Alcohol Bev. Control v. Boyd, 148 Idaho 944, 231 P.3d 1041 (2010).

Property Right.

As an administrative agency in the execu-

tive department, ABC has no authority to create a property interest in a liquor license by virtue of an administrative rule. Only the legislature can create a property interest in a liquor license. Fuchs v. State, Dep't of Ida. State Police, 152 Idaho 626, 272 P.3d 1257 (2012).

§ 28. Marriage. — A marriage between a man and a woman is the only domestic legal union that shall be valid or recognized in this state.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by House Joint Resolution No. 2 (2006). House Joint

Resolution No. 2 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 7, 2006.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Way out West: A Comment Surveying Idaho State's Legal Protection of Transgender and Gender Non-Con-

forming Individuals, Comment. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 587 (2013).

§ 29. Legislative delegation of rulemaking authority. [Proposed constitutional enactment.] — The legislature may delegate rulemaking authority to executive agencies as provided by law. No rule shall supersede

the legislature's authority under this constitution. The legislature may approve or reject, in whole or in part, administrative rules as provided by law without compliance with section 10, article IV, of the constitution of the state of Idaho.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section was proposed as an enactment to the Idaho Constitution by House Joint

Resolution No. 2 (2014) and is to be presented to the electorate at the next general election.

Article IV

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

§ 5. Supreme executive power vested in governor.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Sound and Fury, in Idal Signifying Nothing: Nullification and the (2013). Question of Gubernatorial Executive Power

in Idaho, Comment. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 659 (2013).

§ 7. The pardoning power.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Commutation of sentences. Limitation.

Commutation of Sentences.

The Idaho constitution does not require that all decisions of the commission of pardons and parole relating to clemency be the product of open sessions following notice and publication. Rather, the requirement of an open session following notice and publication applies only to the commission's decisions to grant clemency. Leavitt v. Craven, 154 Idaho 661, 302 P.3d 1 (2012).

Limitation.

Defendant's pardon under this section and § 20-240 was not a complete removal of the conviction from defendant's record, allowing a later court to include that conviction while calculating the defendant's criminal history. United States v. Bays, 589 F.3d 1035 (9th Cir. 2009).

§ 18. Board of examiners.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

Article V

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

§ 2. Judicial power — Where vested.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: Hudelson v. Delta Int'l Mach. Corp., 142 Idaho 244, 127 P.3d 147 (2005);

State v. Cornelison, 154 Idaho 793, 302 P.3d 1066 (Ct. App. 2013).

§ 9. Original and appellate jurisdiction of Supreme Court.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Attorney's fees. Declaratory judgments. Industrial Commission. Prohibition.

Attorney's Fees.

Employee was not the prevailing party on appeal and therefore was not entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs. Henderson v. Eclipse Traffic Control & Flagging, Inc., 147 Idaho 628, 213 P.3d 718 (2009).

Declaratory Judgments.

Grant of attorney fees as the result of a frivolous appeal was appropriate when an employee sought judicial review of a county board of commissioners' decision to affirm termination, yet there was no statute that provided for judicial review of this type of decision. Also, the employee sought a declaratory judgment from the supreme court, which the supreme court lacked jurisdiction to issue. Gibson v. Ada County, 142 Idaho 746, 133 P.3d 1211, cert. denied, 549 U.S. 994, 127 S. Ct. 496, 166 L. Ed. 2d 366 (2006).

Industrial Commission.

In review of an appeal from the industrial commission, the commission's conclusions of law are freely reviewed by the supreme court, and its findings of fact will be upheld if they are supported by substantial and competent evidence in the record, evidence which a reasonable mind might accept such evidence as adequate and sufficient to support a conclusion. Smith v. J.B. Parson Co., 127 Idaho 937, 908 P.2d 1244 (1996).

Court refused to overturn determination of industrial commission that worker was not entitled to unemployment benefit because he was discharged for misconduct, where worker presented no new questions of law, but merely attempted to attack the credibility of witnesses against him. Huff v. Singleton, 143 Idaho 498, 148 P.3d 1244 (2006).

Idaho industrial commission's decision that the entire proceeds of an injured worker's third-party settlement were subject to subrogation was subject to review. Even if it was not an appealable final order, it was subject to the Idaho supreme court's plenary jurisdiction, because the case presented an important issue that would provide helpful guidance to the affected legal community. Izaguirre v. R&L Carriers Shared Servs., LLC, — Idaho —, 308 P.3d 929 (2013).

Prohibition.

State was not entitled to a writ of prohibition to enjoin a district court from assessing fees for a special master against the state because the appointment of special masters and the assessment of special master costs were matters within the discretion of the district courts. Clear statutory authority existed for the award of such fees, as well direction as to how costs awarded against the State were to be paid. State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

Cited in: Harris v. Elec. Wholesale, 141 Idaho 1, 105 P.3d 267 (2004); Ryder v. Idaho PUC (In re Ryder), 141 Idaho 918, 120 P.3d 736 (2005); McNeal v. Idaho PUC, 142 Idaho 685, 132 P.3d 442 (2006); Jenkins v. Barsalou, 145 Idaho 202, 177 P.3d 949 (2008); Fife v. Home Depot, Inc., 151 Idaho 509, 260 P.3d 180 (2011); Leavitt v. Craven, 154 Idaho 661, 302 P.3d 1 (2012); Wasden v. State Bd. of Land Comm'n, 153 Idaho 190, 280 P.3d 693 (2012).

§ 10. Jurisdiction over claims against the state.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

§ 11. District courts — Judges and terms.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: Stevens v. State, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2013 Ida. App. LEXIS 90 (Ct. App. Dec. 10, 2013).

§ 13. Power of legislature respecting courts.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Appropriate exercise of legislative power. Mandatory sentence. Unauthorized legislative power.

Appropriate Exercise of Legislative Power.

Enactment of the Idaho Outdoor Sport Shooting Range Act, chapter 91, title 67, Idaho Code, and its noise standard, is not a legislative deprivation of judicial power; rather, it is a valid use of the Idaho legislature's police power. Although the act potentially made compliance with an injunction easier, it did not by itself lift the injunction or legislatively remove the Idaho department of fish and game from related litigation. Hom v. Idaho Fish & Game Dep't (Citizens Against Range Expansion), 153 Idaho 630, 289 P.3d 32 (2012).

Mandatory Sentence.

Section 37-2738(5) requires a person convicted of possession of a controlled substance to complete a minimum one hundred hours of community service. Under this section, a magistrate may not suspend any hours of that community sevice below the statutory minimum. State v. Garcia-Pineda, 154 Idaho 482, 299 P.3d 794 (Ct. App. 2013).

Unauthorized Legislative Power.

House Bill 403 of the 2003 Idaho legislative session, as it amended § 6-2215, violated Idaho Const., Art. III, § 19 because the language of the bill was aimed at essentially disbanding a group's education case against the state and restructuring it in a manner that destroyed the group's cause of action, and was clearly a special law. Further, the legislation directly contradicted Idaho court procedure and effectively dismissed parties to a pending lawsuit without any court action, and there was no necessity present pursuant to this section meriting the legislature's attempt to legislate itself out of the lawsuit by rewriting the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure. Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004).

Cited in: State v. Toyne, 151 Idaho 779, 264 P.3d 418 (Ct. App. 2011); Wasden v. State Bd. of Land Comm'n, 153 Idaho 190, 280 P.3d 693 (2012); State v. Olivas, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2013 Ida. App. LEXIS 72 (Ct. App. Sept. 6, 2013).

§ 18. Prosecuting attorneys — Term of office — Qualifications.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

As Judicial Officer.

While the Industrial Commission was a state agency, the county prosecutor represented the sovereign in the criminal proceeding in accordance with Idaho Const., Art. V, § 18, not any individual state agency. Hooper v. State, 150 Idaho 497, 248 P.3d 748 (2011).

§ 20. Jurisdiction of district court.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Evidence of value.
Jurisdiction in general.
Original jurisdiction.
Quiet title action.

Evidence of Value.

Landowners did not prove their own property appraisal, or any of their own comparables, to substantiate their claim that their property was overvalued, to suggest that the assessor did not locate enough comparables, or to show that the ones chosen were inappropriate. City of Eagle v. Idaho Dep't of Water Res., 150 Idaho 449, 247 P.3d 1037 (2011).

Jurisdiction in General.

District court acquired subject matter jurisdiction over defendant when the state filed the criminal complaint, and although the district court erred in believing it had a valid warrant for defendant's arrest in that no warrant had been issued on April 25, 1994, or at any time thereafter, the district court had no intention of relinquishing jurisdiction and postponed sentence for a proper purpose, to have defendant in custody or amenable to the process of the court. State v. Rogers, 140 Idaho 223, 91 P.3d 1127 (2004).

In a petition for post-conviction relief, because § 19-2719 is a statute of limitations rather than a jurisdictional bar, subsection (5) of § 19-2719 does not violate the state constitution's separation of powers provisions in

Idaho Const., Art. V, § 13 and this section. Stuart v. State, 149 Idaho 35, 232 P.3d 813 (2010), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 131 S. Ct. 1472, 179 L. Ed. 2d 313 (2011).

Original Jurisdiction.

Order denying defendant's motion for reduction of sentence was upheld where defendant presented no new or additional evidence in support of the motion. The trial court acted within a reasonable time in ruling on the motion and had not lost original jurisdiction when it issued the order denying the motion. State v. Shumway, 144 Idaho 580, 165 P.3d 294 (Ct. App. 2007).

Quiet Title Action.

District court had jurisdiction over a quiet title action because both parties submitted to personal jurisdiction by filing pleadings, and the district court had original jurisdiction to hear all cases, both at law and in equity. Bach v. Miller, 144 Idaho 142, 158 P.3d 305 (2007).

Cited in: Jenkins v. Barsalou, 145 Idaho 202, 177 P.3d 949 (2008); Troupis v. Summer, 148 Idaho 77, 218 P.3d 1138 (2009); Johnson v. State (In re Johnson), 153 Idaho 246, 280 P.3d 749 (Ct. App. 2012); Bagley v. Thomason, — Idaho —, 307 P.3d 1219 (2013).

Article VI

SUFFRAGE AND ELECTIONS

§ 3. Disqualification of certain persons.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Validity, construction, and application of state criminal disenfranchisement provisions. 10 A.L.R.6th 31.

Article VII

FINANCE AND REVENUE

SECTION.

18. Idaho millennium permanent endowment fund — Idaho millennium income fund — Idaho millennium fund.

§ 2. Revenue to be provided by taxation.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Intoxicating Liquors.

A municipality may not adopt an ordinance which makes the possession of an open container of alcohol by a passenger within a motor vehicle a misdemeanor, when the state has a statute making such possession an infraction. State v. Reyes, 146 Idaho 778, 203 P.3d 708 (Ct. App. 2008).

Cited in: Halper v. Jerome County, 143 Idaho 691, 152 P.3d 562 (2007); Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010).

§ 4. Public property exempt from taxation.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Public Property.

Because a city's stormwater charge served the non-regulatory purpose of raising revenue for cleaning, maintaining, and expanding the city's streets and stormwater infrastructure, it was not a fee incidental to regulation and enacted pursuant to the city's police powers under Idaho Const., Art. XII, § 2. Rather, it was an unlawful revenue-generating tax, lacking legislative authorization under Idaho Const., Art. VII, § 6, and its imposition on governmental entities was barred by this section. Lewiston Indep. Sch. Dist. #1 v. City of Lewiston, 151 Idaho 800, 264 P.3d 907 (2011).

§ 5. Taxes to be uniform — Exemptions.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Conflicting assessments. Double taxation. Irrigation districts.

Conflicting Assessments.

Costs and attorney fees were awarded to a taxpayer in an appeal from a county assessor's decision to assess property as non-operating after it had already been assessed as operating by the Idaho tax commission because there was no reasonable basis for the decision to include the real property on the tax rolls under § 63-311. The assessment amounted to double taxation. Union Pac. Land Res. Corp. v. Shoshone County Assessor, 140 Idaho 528, 96 P.3d 629 (2004).

Double Taxation.

An order issued by a district court under § 1-2218, requiring a city to provide suitable and adequate quarters for a magistrate division of the district court, did not impose a tax or raise general revenue and, thus, did not implicate the prohibition in this section against duplicative and non-uniform taxes. City of Boise v. Ada County (In re Facilities &

Equip. Provided by the City of Boise), 147 Idaho 794, 215 P.3d 514 (2009).

Irrigation Districts.

Under this section, as long as the connection fees for the delivery of domestic and irrigation water conform to the statutory scheme set forth in the Irrigation District Domestic Water System Revenue Bond Act, §§ 43-1907 to 43-1920 and are allocated and budgeted in conformity with that act, they are not taxes; whether or not they conform to the statutory scheme and are allocated and budgeted in conformity with the act are issues of fact. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

Cited in: Wurzburg v. Kootenai County, — Idaho —, 308 P.3d 936 (Ct. App. 2013).

§ 6. Municipal corporations to impose their own taxes.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Construction. Irrigation districts.

Construction.

Because a city's stormwater charge served the non-regulatory purpose of raising revenue for cleaning, maintaining, and expanding the city's streets and stormwater infrastructure, it was not a fee incidental to regulation and enacted pursuant to the city's police powers under Idaho Const., Art. XII, § 2. Rather, it was an unlawful revenue-generating tax, lacking legislative authorization under this section, and its imposition on governmental entities was barred by Idaho Const., Art. VII,

§ 4. Lewiston Indep. Sch. Dist. #1 v. City of Lewiston, 151 Idaho 800, 264 P.3d 907 (2011).

Irrigation Districts.

District court did not err in holding that the irrigation district was exercising its proprietary function when it imposed a water connection fee. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

§ 12. State tax commission, members, terms, appointment, vacancies, duties, powers — County boards of equalization, duties.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: Union Pac. Land Res. Corp. v. Shoshone County Assessor, 140 Idaho 528, 96 P.3d 629 (2004).

§ 13. Money — How drawn from treasury.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Cited in: State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

§ 18. Idaho millennium permanent endowment fund — Idaho millennium income fund — Idaho millennium fund. — There is hereby created in the state treasury an Idaho Millennium Permanent Endowment Fund. The fund shall consist of eighty percent of the moneys received each year by the state of Idaho on and after January 1, 2007, pursuant to the master settlement agreement entered into between tobacco product manufacturers and the state of Idaho, and any other moneys that may be appropriated or otherwise directed to the fund by the legislature, including other moneys or assets that the fund receives by bequest or private donation. The moneys received annually for deposit to the fund, including earnings, shall forever remain inviolate and intact. No portion of the permanent endowment fund shall ever be transferred to any other fund, or used, or appropriated, except as follows: each year, the state treasurer shall distribute five percent of the permanent endowment fund's average monthly fair market value for the first twelve months of the preceding twenty-four months, to the Idaho Millennium Income Fund, and provided, that such distribution shall not exceed the permanent endowment fund's fair market value on the first business day of July.

The Idaho Millennium Income Fund, which is hereby created in the state treasury, is subject to appropriation as provided by law, and shall consist of the distribution from the Idaho Millennium Permanent Endowment Fund and other moneys that may be appropriated or otherwise directed to the fund as provided by law.

The remaining twenty percent of the moneys received by the state of Idaho on and after January 1, 2007, pursuant to the master settlement agreement entered into between tobacco product manufacturers and the state of Idaho and the earnings thereon, shall be deposited to the Idaho Millennium Fund. The fund may consist of any other moneys that may be appropriated or otherwise directed to the fund by the legislature, including other moneys or assets that the fund receives by bequest or private donation. Moneys in the fund shall be allowed to accumulate, but shall not exceed a maximum limit as determined by law. Any amounts so accumulating in the Idaho Millennium Fund which exceed the maximum limit, shall be transferred, no less than once a year, to the Idaho Millennium Permanent Endowment Fund, and such moneys and earnings in the permanent endowment fund shall also remain inviolate and intact.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by Senate Joint Resolution 107 (2006). Senate Joint

Resolution No. 107 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 7, 2006.

Article VIII

PUBLIC INDEBTEDNESS AND SUBSIDIES

SECTION.

3C. Hospitals and health services — Authorized activities and financing.
 3D. Municipal electric systems — Authorized

indebtedness.

SECTION.

3E. Airports and air navigation facilities —
Airport related projects —
Revenue and special facility
bond financing.

§ 2. Loan of state's credit prohibited — Holding stock in corporation prohibited — Development of water power.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — State or local governmental body's action or inaction, in provision of public utility services, benefiting private company as

constituting gift of money, or pledge of credit, to private party in violation of state constitutional provision. 122 A.L.R.5th 337.

§ 3. Limitations on county and municipal indebtedness.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Ordinary and necessary expenses. Payment of judgment. Redevelopment agencies. Standing to bring suit.

Multi-Year Power Agreement.

Municipal utility's 17-year power sales agreement with the U.S. department of energy constitutes a liability exceeding the income and revenue provided for that liability in the year in which it was incurred, did not receive the assent of two-thirds of the qualified voting electorate, and did not fit into the exception to this voting requirement under the proviso clause and is, therefore, invalid. City of Idaho Falls v. Fuhriman (In re Validity of the Power Sales Agreement), 149 Idaho 574, 237 P.3d 1200 (2010).

Ordinary and Necessary Expenses.

District court erred in determining that a city's plan to incur long term indebtedness for an airport parking expansion was an ordinary and necessary expense on the basis that adequate parking facilities were critical to the operation of the airport. The constitutional requirement to submit such an issue to the electorate cannot not be avoided unless the expenditure is so urgent that it must be made during the current year. City of Boise v. Frazier, 143 Idaho 1, 137 P.3d 388 (2006).

District court erred in determining that a city's plan to incur long term indebtedness for an airport parking expansion was an ordinary and necessary expense on the basis that it was merely repair or improvement of existing facilities. Converting a flat parking lot into a five floor parking garage did not constitute a mere repair or improvement and was such a profound expansion as to constitute an entirely new construction in every meaningful sense. City of Boise v. Frazier, 143 Idaho 1, 137 P.3d 388 (2006).

Payment of Judgment.

As § 31-604 grants a county the right to sue and be sued, it is reasonable to conclude that a county's payment of a judgment arising from a lawsuit in which the county is involved was consistent with the ordinary course of municipal business; a county's payment of such a judgment, thus, would be an "ordinary" and "necessary" expenditure within the meaning of § 31-1608, would not be proscribed by § 31-1607, and would not violate this section. In re Boise County, 465 B.R. 156 (Bankr. D. Idaho 2011).

Redevelopment Agencies.

Where citizen brought suit against an urban renewal agency, alleging the agency's issuance of bonds to finance project violated limitations on actions by municipalities, the court held that the grant of authority to urban renewal agencies to issue revenue allocation bonds did not violate Idaho Const., Art. VIII, § 4 or this section, because the agencies were not the alter egos of cities. Urban Renewal Agency of Rexburg v. Hart, 148 Idaho 299, 222 P.3d 467 (2009).

Standing to Bring Suit.

If a taxpayer had standing to challenge a congressional appropriation that violated a specific constitutional limitation upon the congressional taxing and spending power, then there was no logical difference between making an appropriation that was specifically prohibited by the constitution and incurring an indebtedness or liability that was specifically prohibited by the constitution; thus, plaintiff taxpayers, who were electors and taxpayers of a county, had standing to challenge whether a lease agreement entered into by defendant county violated this section. Although the taxpayers had standing, the lease agreement no longer existed because the county had purchased and sold the property at issue, the matter was moot, and none of the exceptions to the mootness doctrine applied. Koch v. Canyon County, 145 Idaho 158, 177 P.3d 372 (2008).

Cited in: Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010).

§ 3C. Hospitals and health services — Authorized activities and financing. — Provided that no ad valorem tax revenues shall be used for activities authorized by this section, public hospitals, ancillary to their operations and in furtherance of health care needs in their service areas, may: (i) incur indebtedness or liability to purchase, contract, lease or construct or otherwise acquire facilities, equipment, technology and real property for health care operations as provided by law; (ii) acquire, construct, install and equip facilities or projects to be financed for, or to be leased, sold or otherwise disposed of to persons, associations or corporations other than municipal corporations and may, in the manner prescribed by

law, finance the costs thereof; (iii) engage in shared services and other joint or cooperative ventures; (iv) enter into joint ventures and partnerships; (v) form or be a shareholder of corporations or a member of limited liability companies; (vi) have members of its governing body or its officers or administrators serve as directors, managers, officers or employees of any venture, association, partnership, corporation or limited liability company as authorized by this section; (vii) own interests in partnerships, corporations and limited liability companies. Any obligations incurred pursuant to this section shall be payable solely from charges, rents or payments derived from the existing facilities and the facilities or projects financed thereby and shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the county, hospital taxing district, the state, or any other political subdivision; and provided further, that any county or public hospital taxing district contracting such indebtedness shall own its just proportion to the whole amount so invested. The authority granted by this section shall be exercised for the delivery of health care and related service and with the prior approval of the governing body of the county, hospital district or other governing body of a public hospital. No provisions of this Constitution including, but not limited to Sections 3 and 4 of Article VIII, and Section 4 of Article XII, shall be construed as a limitation upon the authority granted under this section.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

The section was added to Article VIII by S.J.R. 111 (S.L. 1996, p. 1473) and ratified at the general election November 5, 1996, to read as follows: "Hospitals and health services - Authorized activities and financing. - Provided that no ad valorem tax revenues shall be used for activities authorized by this section, public hospitals, ancillary to their operations and in furtherance of health care needs in their service areas, may: (i) acquire, construct, install and equip facilities or projects to be financed for, or to be leased, sold or otherwise disposed of to persons, associations or corporations other than municipal corporations and may, in the manner prescribed by law, finance the costs thereof; (ii) engage in shared services and other joint or cooperative ventures; (iii) enter into joint ventures and partnerships; (iv) form or be a shareholder of corporations or a member of limited liability companies; (v) have members of its governing body or its officers or administrators serve as directors, managers, officers or employees of any venture, association, partnership, corporation or limited liability company as authorized by this

section; (vi) own interests in partnerships, corporations and limited liability companies. Any obligations incurred pursuant to this section shall be payable solely from charges, rents or payments derived from the existing facilities and the facilities or projects financed thereby and shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the county, hospital taxing district, the state, or any other political subdivision; and provided further, that any county or public hospital taxing district contracting such indebtedness shall own its just proportion to the whole amount so invested. The authority granted by this section shall be exercised for the delivery of health care and related service and with the prior approval of the governing body of the county, hospital district or other governing body of a public hospital. No provisions of this Constitution including, but not limited to Sections 3 and 4 of Article VIII, and Section 4 of Article XII, shall be construed as a limitation upon the authority granted under this section."

This section was amended by S.L. 2010, H.J.R. No. 4 and ratified at the November, 2010, general election to read as it now appears.

§ 3D. Municipal electric systems — Authorized indebtedness. — Notwithstanding the limitations and requirements of Section 3, Article VIII,

of the Constitution of the State of Idaho, any city owning a municipal electric system may:

- (a) acquire, construct, install and equip electric generating, transmission and distribution facilities for the purpose of supplying electricity to customers located within the service area of each system established by law and for the purpose of paying the cost thereof, may issue revenue bonds with the assent of a majority of the qualified electors voting at an election held as provided by law; and
- (b) incur indebtedness or liability under agreements to purchase, share, exchange or transmit wholesale electricity for the use and benefit of customers located within such service area;

provided that any revenue bonds, indebtedness or liability shall be payable solely from the rates, charges or revenues derived from the municipal electric system and shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the city, the state or any political subdivision.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by S.L. 2010,

House Joint Resolution No. 7, and was adopted by the electorate at the November, 2010, general election.

§ 3E. Airports and air navigation facilities — Airport related projects - Revenue and special facility bond financing. - Political subdivisions of the state and regional airport authorities as defined by law, if operating an airport, may acquire, construct, install, and equip land, facilities, buildings, projects or other property, which are hereby deemed to be for a public purpose, to be financed for, or to be leased, sold or otherwise disposed of to persons, associations or corporations, or to be held by the subdivision or regional airport authority, and may in the manner prescribed by law issue revenue and special facility bonds to finance the costs thereof; provided that any such bonds shall be payable solely from fees, charges, rents, payments, grants, or any other revenues derived from the airport or any of its facilities, structures, systems, or projects, or from any land, facilities, buildings, projects or other property financed by such bonds, and shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the subdivision or regional airport authority. No provision of this constitution including, but not limited to, sections 3 and 4 of article VIII and section 4 of article XII, shall be construed as a limitation upon the authority granted under this section.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by S.L. 2010,

House Joint Resolution No. 5, and was adopted by the electorate at the November, 2010, general election.

§ 4. County, etc., not to loan or give its credit.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Notice of tort claim required. Redevelopment agencies.

Notice of Tort Claim Required.

Bail bond company's claim under this section, challenging a sheriff's acceptance of bail bond payments by credit card, which the company equated to a cause of action for tortious interference with a business relationship, sounded in tort and was dismissed for lack of timely notice of the claim under § 6-908. Allied Bail Bonds, Inc. v. County of Kootenai, 151 Idaho 405, 258 P.3d 340 (2011).

Redevelopment Agencies.

Where citizen brought suit against an ur-

ban renewal agency, alleging the agency's issuance of bonds to finance project violated limitations on actions by municipalities, the court held that the grant of authority to urban renewal agencies to issue revenue allocation bonds did not violate Idaho Const., Art. VIII, § 3 or this section, because the agencies were not the alter egos of cities. Urban Renewal Agency of Rexburg v. Hart, 148 Idaho 299, 222 P.3d 467 (2009).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — State or local governmental body's action or inaction, in provision of public utility services, benefiting private company as

constituting gift of money, or pledge of credit, to private party in violation of state constitutional provision. 122 A.L.R.5th 337.

Article IX

EDUCATION AND SCHOOL LANDS

SECTION.

 State University — Location, regents, tuition, fees and lands.

§ 1. Legislature to establish system of free schools.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Duty of Legislature.

District court did not err in finding that the state acted unconstitutionally by failing to provide a safe environment for public school students that would be conducive to learning. Although the state legislature had undertaken some measures, which were commended, these measure did make the contro-

versy moot. Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 142 Idaho 450, 129 P.3d 1199 (2005).

Cited in: Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004); State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

§ 6. Religious test and teaching in school prohibited.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Religious Texts.

State education officials were reasonable in their belief that their banning religious texts from public school curriculum was lawful in light of §§ 33-118 and 33-118A, this section, and a legal opinion from a deputy in the attorney general's office upon which they acted. Nampa Classical Acad. v. Goesling, 714 F. Supp. 2d 1029 (D. Idaho 2010).

§ 8. Location and disposition of public lands.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

The following paragraph is set out to correct the last paragraph in the compiler's note following this section in the bound volume.

This section was amended by S.L. 1998, p. 1366, H.J.R. No. 6, and ratified at the 1998

general election. However, the Idaho Supreme Court, in Idaho Watersheds Project v. State Board of Land Commissioners (In re Verified Petition for Writ of Prohibition), 133 Idaho 55, 982 P.2d 358 (1999), barred implementation of the ballot measure.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Sale or Disposal of Lands.

Section 58-310A, which exempts cottage site leases from conflict auctions, violates this section, because the word "disposal" in this

section covers any sale or lease. Wasden v. State Bd. of Land Comm'n, 153 Idaho 190, 280 P.3d 693 (2012).

OPINIONS OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Lease of State Lands.

The Idaho legislature does not have the authority to exempt, through § 58-310A, leases of state endowment lands for single-

family recreational cottage sites and homesites from the public auction requirement of this section. OAG 09-1.

§ 10. State University — Location, regents, tuition, fees and lands. — The location of the University of Idaho, as established by existing laws, is hereby confirmed. All the rights, immunities, franchises, and endowments, heretofore granted thereto by the territory of Idaho are hereby perpetuated unto the said university. The regents shall have the general supervision of the university, and the control and direction of all the funds of, and appropriations to, the university, under such regulations as may be prescribed by law. The regents may impose rates of tuition and fees on all students enrolled in the university as authorized by law. No university lands shall be sold for less than ten dollars per acre, and in subdivisions not to exceed one hundred and sixty acres, to any one person, company or corporation.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

As originally adopted, this section provided as follows: "State University — Location, regents, and lands. — The location of the University of Idaho, as established by existing laws, is hereby confirmed. All the rights, immunities, franchises, and endowments, heretofore granted thereto by the territory of Idaho are hereby perpetuated unto the said university. The regents shall have the general supervision of the university, and the control

and direction of all the funds of, and appropriations to, the university, under such regulations as may be prescribed by law. No university lands shall be sold for less than ten dollars per acre, and in subdivisions not to exceed one hundred and sixty acres, to any one person, company or corporation."

This section was amended by S.L. 2009, Senate Joint Resolution No. 101 and ratified at the November, 2010, general election to

read as it now appears.

§ 11. Investing permanent endowment funds.

OPINIONS OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Fees.

As a condition of its investment through the credit enhancement program (CEP), the endowment fund investment board (EFIB) correctly imposed fees to offset the projected loss of return to the public school endowment

caused by the narrowing of investment opportunities, necessitated by the balancing of the investments represented by the CEP and the maximum long-term return to the endowment. OAG 10-1.

Article X

PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

SECTION.

5. State prisons — Control over.

SECTION.

7. State Water Resource Agency.

§ 5. State prisons — Control over. — The state legislature shall establish a nonpartisan board to be known as the state board of correction, and to consist of three members appointed by the governor, one member for two years, one member for four years, and one member for six years. After the appointment of the first board the term of each member appointed shall be six years. This board shall have the control, direction and management of the penitentiaries of the state, their employees and properties, and of adult felony probation and parole, with such compensation, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by law.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by Senate Joint Resolution No. 102 (2012). Senate Joint

Resolution No. 102 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 2012.

Article XI

CORPORATIONS, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE

§ 17. Liability of stockholders — Dues.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

A.L.R. — Validity of warrantless search of other than motor vehicle or occupant of vehi-

cle based on odor of marijuana — State cases. 122 A.L.R.5th 439.

Article XII

CORPORATIONS, MUNICIPAL

§ 1. General laws for cities and towns.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Construction.

Rational basis exists for the grant of immunity in § 72-223, even if the statutory em-

ployer has not had to pay benefits because the direct employer has. Kolar v. Cassia County Idaho, 142 Idaho 346, 127 P.3d 962 (2005).

§ 2. Local police regulations authorized.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

County regulations. Fee vs. tax. Municipal ordinances. Zoning ordinances.

County Regulations.

Where a dairymen's association and a cattle association filed a complaint challenging the constitutionality of Gooding County, Idaho, Ordinance No. 90, which regulated water quality at confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs), the supreme court of Idaho held that Ordinance 90 did not violate this section. While § 42-101 provides that control over the appropriation of water is vested in the state, regulation of water quality by local government is not preempted; because of Idaho's diverse geographical settings, water regulation at CAFOs does not call for a uniform regulatory scheme. Idaho Dairymen's Ass'n v. Gooding County, 148 Idaho 653, 227 P.3d 907 (2010).

Fee vs. Tax.

Because a city's stormwater charge served the non-regulatory purpose of raising revenue for cleaning, maintaining, and expanding the city's streets and stormwater infrastructure, it was not a fee incidental to regulation and enacted pursuant to the city's police powers under this section. Rather, it was an unlawful revenue-generating tax, lacking legislative authorization under Idaho Const., Art. VII, § 6, and its imposition on governmental entities was barred by Idaho Const., Art. VII, § 4. Lewiston Indep. Sch. Dist. #1 v. City of Lewiston, 151 Idaho 800, 264 P.3d 907 (2011).

Municipal Ordinances.

This provision applies to both cities and counties, and to the extent that the Kuna City, Idaho, Code may be interpreted as purporting to authorize judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, it conflicts

with the general laws of the State. Black Labrador Investing, LLC v. Kuna City Council, 147 Idaho 92, 205 P.3d 1228 (2009).

Where defendant minor was cited for violating Wendell City, Idaho, Ordinance No. 442, a curfew ordinance which prohibited a minor from being in public from 11:00 p.m. till 5:00 a.m., the supreme court of Idaho held that the ordinance was a reasonable time, place, and manner restriction with only an incidental effect on First Amendment freedoms; the ordinance was a valid enactment within the city's power under this section, served the government's interest in keeping juveniles off the streets, and did not reach an amount of conduct that was greater than necessary to further the city's interests in the physical well-being of minors. State v. Doe, 148 Idaho 919, 231 P.3d 1016 (2010).

Zoning Ordinances.

Bingham County, Zoning Ordinance § 17.7 was not applicable when the recommendation of a planning and zoning commission on a rezoning application was to amend the zoning ordinance. Applying § 17.7 in a situation in which the planning and zoning commission had recommended approval of a rezoning application, but the application had been denied by the board of county commissioners on the ground that they were split on the issue, would, in essence, delegate to the planning and zoning commission the authority to amend the zoning ordinance in violation of this section and §§ 67-6504 and 67-6511. Brower v. Bingham County Commissioners (In re Zoning Change), 140 Idaho 512, 96 P.3d 613 (2004).

Board of county commissioners acted within its authority under §§ 67-6509, 67-

6511, and 67-6535(3) and this section, when it considered two zoning changes pursuant to a single application; and there was no violation of procedural due process because the objectors had sufficient opportunity to express their views. Ciszek v. Kootenai County Bd. of Comm'rs, 151 Idaho 123, 254 P.3d 24 (2011).

Cited in: Potts Constr. Co. v. N. Kootenai Water Dist., 141 Idaho 678, 116 P.3d 8 (2005); Boudreau v. City of Wendell, 147 Idaho 609, 213 P.3d 394 (2009); KGF Dev., LLC v. City of Ketchum, 149 Idaho 524, 236 P.3d 1284 (2010); In re City of Shelley, 151 Idaho 289, 255 P.3d 1175 (2011).

OPINIONS OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Regulatory Powers.

Because the legislature has authorized both the counties and the state to regulate confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs), and because these authorities overlap, it is unlikely that a court would conclude the state has completely occupied the field of CAFO regulation or that state law provides an exclusive regulatory program that preempts all local regulation. OAG 08-01.

Limited City Powers.

No authority exists for a city to appoint the employees of a private company to serve as "peace officers." OAG 08-02.

Article XV

WATER RIGHTS

§ 1. Use of waters a public use.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Why Does Idaho's Water Law Regime Provide for Forfeiture of Water Rights?, Peter R. Anderson and Aaron J. Kraft. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 419 (2012).

A Primer on Groundwater Law, Joseph W. Dellapenna. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 265 (2013).

§ 3. Water of natural stream — Right to appropriate — State's regulatory power — Priorities.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

ANALYSIS

Administrative rules.
—Constitutionality.
Preferential rights to water.
Stock watering.

Administrative Rules.

-Constitutionality.

To the extent that the district court engaged in an "as applied" analysis of the Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources (CM Rules), it was in error, as administrative remedies had not been exhausted. Am. Falls Reservoir Dist. No. 2 v. Idaho Dep't of Water Res., 143 Idaho 862, 154 P.3d 433 (2007).

As the Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources (CM Rules) specifically incorporated Idaho law, the failure to recite certain burdens and evidentiary standards, set specific timelines and set objective standards did not make

them facially unconstitutional. The CM Rules also survive a facial challenge in the recognition given to partial decrees and in the treatment of carryover water. Am. Falls Reservoir Dist. No. 2 v. Idaho Dep't of Water Res., 143 Idaho 862, 154 P.3d 433 (2007).

Preferential Rights to Water.

Because the senior appropriators had acquired water rights, they had property rights under § 55-101 that could not be taken from them for public or private use, except by due process of law. When there was insufficient water to satisfy both the senior appropriators' and the junior appropriators' water rights, giving the junior appropriators a preference to the use of the water would constitute a

taking for which compensation was required under this section. Clear Springs Foods, Inc. v. Spackman, 150 Idaho 790, 252 P.3d 71 (2011).

Stock Watering.

Trial court properly determined that United States had not asserted its water rights under the constitutional method of appropriation because, under that method, the United States, as the claimant, was re-

quired to put the water to beneficial use, which it had failed to do. On the other hand, a livestock company had established its water rights because the company's predecessors had put the water to beneficial use by watering their stock; no diversion was needed under the constitutional method. Joyce Livestock Co. v. United States (In re SRBA Case No. 39576), 144 Idaho 1, 156 P.3d 502, cert. denied, 552 U.S. 990, 128 S. Ct. 487, 169 L. Ed. 2d 339 (2007).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Why Does Idaho's Water Law Regime Provide for Forfeiture of Water Rights?, Peter R. Anderson and Aaron J. Kraft. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 419 (2012).

The Establishment of Prior Appropriation

in Idaho, Paul R. Harrington. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 23 (2012).

A Primer on Groundwater Law, Joseph W. Dellapenna. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 265 (2013).

§ 4. Continuing rights to water guaranteed.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Analysis

Beneficial use. Irrigation districts.

Beneficial Use.

Entity that applies the water to beneficial use has a right that is more than a contractual right. United States v. Pioneer Irrigation Dist. (In re SRBA Case No. 3957), 144 Idaho 106, 157 P.3d 600 (2007).

Irrigation Districts.

Where the United States Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) filed water right claims against irrigation entities regarding projects developed pursuant to the Reclamation Act of 1902, any rights held by BOR were subject to rights of the beneficial users that were served by the irrigation districts because, inter alia, (1) federal law deferred to state law in determining the rights to water in the reclamation projects, (2) the beneficial users had an interest

that was stronger than mere contractual expectancy, and (3) title to the use of the water was held by the consumers or users of the water. United States v. Pioneer Irrigation Dist. (In re SRBA Case No. 3957), 144 Idaho 106, 157 P.3d 600 (2007).

Whenever water is appropriated by any person or corporation for use in agricultural purposes under a sale, rental or distribution, it shall never be diverted from that use and purpose, so long as there may be any demand for the water and to the extent of such demand for agricultural purposes. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

§ 5. Priorities and limitations on use.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Irrigation Districts.

Whenever water is appropriated by any person or corporation for use in agricultural purposes under a sale, rental or distribution, it shall never be diverted from that use and purpose, so long as there may be any demand

for the water and to the extent of such demand for agricultural purposes. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — A Primer on Groundwater Law, Joseph W. Dellapenna. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 265 (2013).

§ 7. State Water Resource Agency. — There shall be constituted a Water Resource Agency, composed as the Legislature may now or hereafter prescribe, which shall have power to construct and operate water projects; to issue bonds, without state obligation, to be repaid from revenues of projects; to generate and wholesale hydroelectric power at the site of production; to appropriate public waters as trustee for Agency projects; to acquire, transfer and encumber title to real property for water projects and to have control and administrative authority over state lands required for water projects; all under such laws as may be prescribed by the Legislature. Additionally, the State Water Resource Agency shall have power to formulate and implement a state water plan for optimum development of water resources in the public interest. The Legislature of the State of Idaho shall have the authority to amend or reject the state water plan in a manner provided by law. Thereafter any change in the state water plan shall be submitted to the Legislature of the State of Idaho upon the first day of a regular session following the change and the change shall become effective unless amended or rejected by law within sixty days of its submission to the Legislature.

STATUTORY NOTES

Compiler's Notes.

This section is set out to correct an error in the bound volume.

Article XVIII

COUNTY ORGANIZATION

§ 6. County officers.

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Commissioners.

County commissioners' supervisory authority under § 31-802 to control other constitutional officers did not extend to the sheriff's bail procedures. The commissioners were not

empowered to direct the sheriff's conduct regarding bail, which was a matter within the sheriff's authority. Allied Bail Bonds, Inc. v. County of Kootenai, 151 Idaho 405, 258 P.3d 340 (2011).

Article XXI

SCHEDULE AND ORDINANCE

§ 19. Religious freedom guaranteed — Disclaimer of title to Indian lands.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Time to Recommit: The Department of Justice's Indian Resources Section, the Trust Duty, and Affirmative Litigation, Thad Blank. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 391 (2012).

§ 20. Adoption of federal Constitution.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Idaho Law Review. — Sound and Fury, Signifying Nothing: Nullification and the Question of Gubernatorial Executive Power

in Idaho, Comment. 49 Idaho L. Rev. 659 (2013).



PARALLEL REFERENCE TABLES

Am § NE = amendment of section not enacted

Appn. = appropriation

App./S. = Application of other code section

ch. or c. = chapter

Const. = constitutionality

Eff. = effective date

Emer. = emergency

EE = emergency and effective date

(E. S.) = extra session

Exp. = Expiration
Hist. D = Historical Documents

Init. Meas. = Initiative measure

Leg. = legalizing act

Legis. Int. = Legislative Intent

n. = note

PR = partial repeal

p. = page

Ref. = referendum

Ren. = renumbered

R = repealed

Rplg. = repealing

Sav. Cl. = saving clause Sep. = separability

Sev. = severability

Spec. = special act or section

S. = Superseded

Temp. = temporary Unconst. = Unconstitutional

Val. = Validation

Veto = Vetoed

SESSION LAWS OF 2005

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	
1	1	Appn.	11	2	Emer.	25	6	6-1404	
	2	Emer.	12	1	72-1351A		7	6-1405	
2	1	Appn.	13	1	67-4704		8	6-1406	
	2	Emer.		2	67-4711		9	6-1407	
3	1	Appn.		3	67-4712		10	6-1408	
	2	Emer.		4	67-4715		11	6-1409	
4	1	Appn.		5	72-1336		12	9-340₽	
	2	Emer.	14	1	63-3004		13	9-349A	
5	1	23-950		2	63-3022		14	19-2720	
	2	72-1316A		3	Emer.		16	22-1501	
	3	72-1319B	15	1	63-3622K		17	22-1502	
	4	72-1337	16	1	63-3022F		18	22-1505	
	5	72-1347A		2	Emer.		19	22-1506	
	6	72-1347B	17	1	63-3049		20	22-1507	
	7	72-1349	18	1, 2	63-3638		21	22-1510	
	8	72-1350		3	Eff.		22	22-1511	
	9	72-1351	19	1	25-3102		24	25-3601	
	10	72-1354		2	25-3104		25	25-3602	
	11	72-1359	20	1	36-1403		26	25-3603	
	12	72-1360	21	1	63-3026A		27	25-3604	
	13	72-1366		2	Emer.		28	25-3605	
	14	72-1367	22	1	63-3061A		29	25-3606	
	15	72-1369	23	1	63-3029B		30	25-3607	
	16	72-1372	-	2	63-3029E		31	25-3608	
	17	Emer.		3	63-3029F		32	25-3702	
	18	Eff.		4	63-3029I		33	25-3703	
6	1-3	Appn.		5	63-3033		34	25-3705	
•	4	Emer.		6	63-3036		35	25-3707	
7	1	Appn.		7	63-3068		36	25-3709	
•	2	Emer.		8	Emer.		37	28-51-101	
8	1	Appn.	24	1	63-1701		38	28-51-102	
0	2	Emer.	2-1	2	63-1705		39	31-869	
9	1, 2	Appn.		3	Emer.		40	31-3550	
U	3	Emer.	25	1	5-248		41	31-3551	
10	1		20	3	6-1401		42	31-3552	
10	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn. Emer.		4	6-1401		43	31-3553	
11									
11	1	Appn.	1	5	6-1403		44	31-3554	

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Hereir
25	45	31-3555	25	114	56-1302	59	2	Emer
	46	31-3556		115	56-1303	60	1	33-206
	47	31-3557		116	56-1304		2	33-20'
	48	33-1003B		117	56-1305	61	1	49-418
	49	33-1514		118	56-1306	62	1	41-102-
	51 52	33-4901 33-4902		119	57-234	63	1 1	49-100-
	52 53	33-4903		$\frac{120}{121}$	63-3622II 67-820	64	1	49-190 33-221
	54	33-4905		122	67-62	0.0	2	33-300
	55	33-4906	26	1	31-1419A		3	33-340
	56	49-304	27	1	21-114	66	1	41-560
	57	Rplg.		2	46-1006	67	1	41-200
	58	34-439		3	46-1009	68	1	20-22
	59	39-1457B	28	1	Rplg.	69	1	67-301
	61	39-5001		2	63-2421		2	67-301
	62	39-5004	29	1	38-1209	70	1	49-40
	63	39-5005	30	1	63-119		2	49-42
	64	39-5006	0.4	2	63-3065	71	1	41-551
	65	39-5007	31	1	63-701	72	1	41-291
	66	39-5008	32	1 1	23-1327	72	2	41-292
	68 69	39-8201 39-8202	33 34	1	36-1301 36-1402	73 74	1 1	41-132 41-29
	70	39-8202	35	1	36-1402	14	2	41-29
	70	39-8204	36	1	14-518		3	41-29
	72	39-8205	37	1	54-1507	75	1	41-33
	73	39-8206	38	1	54-2907		2	Rplg
	74	39-8207	39	1	39-7802		3	41-480
	75	16-1513	40	1	39-8403	76	1	41-194
	76	16-1608	41	1	Rplg.	77	1	41-23
	77	16-1609		2	50-907		2	Rplg
	78	16-1629		3	50-908		3	41-23
	79	16-1634		4	50-909		4	Rplg
	80	16-2007	42	1	33-2110A		5	41-101
	82	40-2201	43	1-9	Appn.		6	41-130
	83	40-2202	144	10	Emer.		7	Rplg
	84	40-2203	44	1 1	25-238		8	41-132
	85	40-2204	45 46	1	54-1841 54-3405		9	Rplg
	86	40-2205	40	2	Rplg.		10	41-141
	87	40-2206		3	54-3405C		11	41-1420
	88 89	40-2207		4	54-3408		12 13	41-143 41-143
	90	40-2208 40-2209		5	54-3411		14	Rplg
	91	40-2210	47	1	54-1109		15	41-144
	92	40-2210	48	1	15-5-420		16	41-161
	93	40-2212	49	1	15-5-315		17	41-161-
	94	40-2213		2	15-5-316		18	41-161
	95	41-287		3	15-5-434		19	41-162
	96	41-5501		4	15-5-435		20	41-162
	97	50-2032	50	1	15-5-419		21	41-162
	98	54-2607	51	1	15-5-303		22	41-162
	100	54-3801	F0	2	15-5-404		23	Rplg
	101	54-3802	52	$\frac{1}{2}$	15-5-310		24	41-230
	102	54-3803	53	1	15-5-407A 67-8901 —		25	Rplg
	103	54-3804	00	1	67-8924		26	41-231
	104	54-3805	54	1	54-5002	78	1	41-213
	105	54-46		2	54-5006		2	41-123
	106	54-4601	55	1	31-3201G		3	Rplg
	107	54-4604		2	Eff.		4	41-132
	108	55-28	56	1	22-4720		5	41-480
	109	55-2801	57	1	39-175A —	79	1	41-5701, 41-570
	110	55-2802			39-175C	80	1	Rplg
	111	55-2803	58	1	9-340D		2	19-430
	112	56-13		2	Emer.	81	1	41-240
	113	56-1301	59	1	Appn.	82	1	54-1003 <i>A</i>

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
82	2	54-1013	114	1	19-4705	144	1	49-1613
83	1	49-104		2	31-3201A	145	1	49-114
	2	49-124		3	31-3201B		2	49-1629
	3	49-657	115	1	18-8324	146	1, 2	Appn.
84	1	54-3102		3	19-5201	147	1-4	Appn.
	2	54-3108		4	19-5202	148	1-3	Ineff.
	3	54-3109		5	19-5203		4	Emer.
	4	54-3110		6	19-5204	149	1	42-1763B
	5	54-3111		7	67-3008		2	Emer.
85	1	49-1010	116	1	25-2907	150	1	42-1507
86	1	56-118		2	Emer.		2	Emer.
87	1	54-2411	117	1	49-227	151	1	18-1501
88	1	33-1508	118	1	18-7001	150	2	Emer.
89	1	59-1315	119	1	49-1401	152	1	19-2515
90	1	59-1305	120	1 2	Rplg.	153	1	54-5201 — 54-5219
91	1	59-1303		Z	39-4501 — 39-4514		2	Emer.
92 93	1 1	20-525A 19-851		3	5-332	154	1	49-402
94	1	20-505		4	31-3910	101	2	49-416B
95	1	1-102		5	39-3902		3	Eff.
96	1	39-5503		6	54-1142	155	1	Ineff.
<i>J</i> 0	2	Emer.		7	56-1015		2	Emer.
97	1	33-1405		8	66-405	156	1	Ineff.
01	2	Emer.	121	1	15-3-1205		2	Emer.
98	1	49-808	122	1	15-8-101 —	157	1	20-237B
99	1	15-7-601			15-8-103, 15-8-	158	1	18-918
100	1	58-335A			201 - 15-8-212,	159	1	67-1224
101	1	32-1214B			15-8-301 — 15-8-305		2	67-1225
102	1	49-402	123	1	15-2-108	160	1	49-450
	2	49-416C	124	1	32-918		2	49-450A
	3	67-4725	125	1	40-902		3	Emer.
	4	Eff.	126	1	49-909	161	1	72-432
103	1	39-1392a	127	1	34-408		2	72-706
	2	39-1392e	128	1	18-3302H	162	1	58-406
104	1	63-3067A	129	1	67-5221	163	2	67-8801
	2	57-820		2	Emer.		3	67-8802
105	1	54-2015	130	1	Rplg.		4	67-8803
	2	54-2016	131	1	19-510A		5	67-8804
	3	Rplg.		2	19-5109		6	67-8805
106	1	54-2013		3	20-209C	104	7	67-8806
107	1	54-2004	132	1	33-5502	164	1	67-7125
	2	54-2012		2	33-5504	165	1 1	18-3302
	3	54-2016		3	33-5505	166	2	18-1505
	4	54-2018		4	33-5507		3	18-1505A 39-5310
	5	54-2019	133	1, 2	Appn.	167	1	39-103
	6	54-2023	134	1, 2	Appn.	101	2	39-118A
	7	54-2025	135	1, 2	Appn.		3	47-1501
	8	54-2027	136	1, 2	Appn.		4	47-1502
	9	54-2032	137	1	Appn.		5	47-1503
	10	54-2033	120	2 1, 2	Legis. Int.		6	47-1505
	11	54-2036	138	1, 2	Appn. 22-4802		7	47-1506
	12	54-2041	139	1			8	47-1507
	13	54-2042	141	1	54-808 67-2913A		9	47-1508
	14	54-2043	141	2	67-7103		10	47-1512
	15	54-2056		3	67-7103		11	47-1513
	16	54-2062		4	67-7104		12	47-1514
108	1	41-4303	142	1	26-2914		13	47-1517
	2	41-4309	1.2	2	26-2915		14	47-1518
109	1	72-1019		3	26-2916		15	42-202B
110	1	55-1512		4	26-2917	168	1, 2	Appn.
111	1	53-637	143	1	54-5101 —	169	1, 2	Appn.
112	1	36-1603			54-5117	170	1, 2	Appn.
113	1	15-5-207		2	67-2601	171	1-3	Appn.
110		15-5-213						

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
171	5, 6	Appn.	194	1	Appn.	213	30	54-1916
172	1-3	Appn.	134	2	Emer.	210	31	54-1916
112	4	Legis. Int.	195	1	Appn.		32	54-1926A
173	1	33-3722	150	2	Emer.		33	54-4503
174	1	21-101	196	1-3	Appn.		34	54-4508
	2	21-106	197	1, 2	Appn.		35	Rplg.
	3	21-501	198	1-6	Appn.		36	59-1026
	4	21-502	199	1-3	Appn.		37	67-2801 —
	5	21-503	200	1	62-604			67-2808
	6	21-504		2	62-605		38	67-4912
	7	21-505		3	62-607A		39	67-5711
	8	21-505A		4	62-616A		40 41	67-5711C
	9	21-506		5	62-617		42	70-1612 70-1613
	10	21-515	001	6 1	62-622A 67-4223	214	1	18-1359
	11 12	21-516 $21-517$	201	1	49-714	214	2	59-210
	13	21-517	202	1	21-401		3	59-208
175	1	Appn.	204	1	49-666	215	1	6-2601 —
110	2	Emer.	205	1	49-720			6-2608
176	1	38-134	206	1	39-6701 —	216	1	18-1505B
177	1	18-101A			39-6717	217	1	19-3807
	2	18-6110	207	1-6	Appn.		2	55-403
178	1	63-802	208	1	63-3022H	010	3	Rplg.
	2	31-1420		2	Emer.	218	1	54-1723
	3	Emer.	209	1	49-672	219	1 2	18-3126A 18-3128
179	1	36-201	210	1	Rplg.		3	16-3126 Emer.
180	1	36-1101		2	33-3717	220	1	67-5224
181	1	20-618		3 4	33-3717A 33-3717B	221	1	1-1613A
100	2	20-619		5	33-3717B 33-3717C	222	1	54-2514A
182	$\frac{1}{2}$	49-434A		6	33-3720	223	1	20-511A
183	1	49-1013 49-105		7	33-4306	224	1, 2	Appn.
100	2	49-115		8	33-4403	225	1-4	Appn.
	3	49-123	211	1	36-417	226	1, 2	Appn.
	4	49-402		2	Eff.	227	1-7	Appn.
	5	49-663	212	1-3	Appn.	228	1, 2	Appn.
184	1	54-2213	213	1	31-1001	229	1, 2	Appn.
	2	54-2214		2	31-3615	230	1, 2	Appn.
185	1	54-2203		3	Rplg.	231	1	Appn.
	2	54-2205		4	33-402	232	1-3 1	Appn. 18-8304
	3	54-2219		5	33-601	233	2	18-8307
186	1	19-2601		6 7	33-601A 40-901	234	1	54-312
187	1	20-505		8	40-901 Rplg.	235	1	54-1016
188	$\frac{1}{2}$	1-2005		9	42-3115	236	1	Rplg.
189	1	1-2221 61-801 —		10	Rplg.		2	30-901
109	1	61-809		11	42-3212			30-921, 30-931 —
	2	Emer.		12	42-4416		0	30-935
190	1	2-204		13	43-318A	237	3	45-1504
	2	2-205		14	43-901	238	1	41-2401 28-23-101
	3	2-206		15	43-903	200	2	28-23-102
	4	2-207		16	43-2508		3	28-23-105
	5	2-208		17	Rplg.		4	28-23-106
	6	2-209		18	50-342		5	28-23-108
	7	2-210		19	50-344		6	28-24-102
	8	2-211		20	50-1710		7	28-24-103
	9 10	2-212 2-213		21 22	50-2006 50-2620		8	28-24-104
	10	2-213 2-214		23	50-2621		9	28-24-104A —
	12	2-217		24	54-1901		10	28-24-104E
191	1	33-802		25	54-1903	990	10	28-24-105 18-6901 —
101	2	33-808		26	54-1904A	239	1	18-6901 — 18-6905
	3	33-1002D		27	54-1913	240	1	1-1623
192	1, 2	Appn.		28	54-1914		2	31-3201
193	1, 2	Appn.	1	29	54-1915		3	31-3201A

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
241	1	63-701	265	2	67-2750	280	12	39-3313
	2	Emer.		3	67-2751		13	39-3315
242	1	63-3622RR		4	67-2752		14	39-3316
	2	63-3622NN		5	67-2753		15	39-3318
	3	63-3622D		6	67-2754		16	39-3321
0.49	4	Emer.		7 8	67-2755 67-2756		17 18	39-3322
243	$\frac{1}{2}$	31-2401 31-2402		9	67-2757		18	39-3324 39-3325
	3	31-2402A		10	67-2758		20	39-3326
	4	31-2404		11	67-2759		21	39-3330
	5	31-2410		12	67-2760		22	39-3331
	6	31-2411		13	67-2761		23	39-3332
	7	31-2417		14	67-2762		24	39-3333
	8	31-2419		15	26-3701 —		25	39-3340
	9	55-810			26-3715		26	39-3345
	10	55-1910		16	26-1111		27	39-3349
244	1	42-3239		17	26-2226	1	28	39-3351
	2	Emer.		18	26-2501		29	39-3352
245	1, 2	Appn.		19	26-2505		30	39-3354
	3	Emer.		20	Sev.		31	39-3354A
246	1-3	Appn.	266	1	54-309		32	39-3355
	4	Emer.	267	1	41-1218		34	39-3501
247	1	Appn.	268	1	41-3608		35	39-3502
	2	Emer.		2	41-3616		36	39-3506
248	1	Appn.	269	1	49-1305		37	39-3507
	2	Emer.	070	2	Eff.		38	39-3508
249	1-8	Appn.	270	1	39-1447A		39	39-3509
250	1, 2	Appn.	271	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-604 Emer.		40	39-3511
251	1-4	Appn.	272	1	30-1-401		41	39-3516
252	1-3	Appn.	212	2	30-3-27		42	39-3519
253	1, 2	Appn.		3	53-202		43	39-3505
254	$\frac{1}{2}$	67-6602		4	53-503		44	39-3520
	3	67-6630		5	53-602		45 46	39-3521
	4	67-6625 31-2012	273	1	48-506		47	39-3522 39-3523
	5	50-477	274	1	30-1-1622		48	39-3524
	6	1-2220A		2	30-3-136		49	39-3525
255	1-4	Appn.		3	53-613		50	39-3526
200	5	33-1002C		4	53-3-1003		51	39-3527
	6	33-5208	275	1	54-507		52	39-3528
	7	33-5203		2	54-521		53	39-3503
	8	Emer.	276	1	9-340D		54	39-3513
	9	Eff.		2	Emer.		55	39-3504
256	1-6	Appn.	277	1	Rplg.		56	39-3510
257	1-6	Appn.		2	54-2901 —	- 1	57	39-3512
	7	Rplg.		3	54-2927 67-2601		58	63-701
	8	33-1002		4	67-2602	281	1	31-3502
	9	33-1003B	278	1	67-5901	282	1	34-2409
	10	Rplg.	210	2	67-5902	283	1	63-602G
	11	Eff.		3	67-5903		2	Emer.
258	1	33-3405		4	67-5909	284	1	63-602HH
259	1	67-7702		5	67-5910		2	Emer.
	2	67-7709		6	67-5911	285	1	Appn.
	3	67-7716	279	1	63-602HH	286	1	Appn.
	4	67-7717	280	1	Rplg.	287	1	Appn.
	5	67-7718		3	39-3301		2	Emer.
	6	67-7719		4	39-3302	288	1	Appn.
260	1	6-902		5	39-3303	289	1-3	Appn.
	2	6-903		6	39-3304	290	1, 2	Appn.
261	1	26-3103		7	39-3305		3	Emer.
262	1	26-3102		8	39-3306	291	1	31-2224
263	1	28-41-302		9	39-3307	292	1	39-115, n.
264	1	54-2505		10	39-3308		2	39-115
265	1	Rplg.	1	11	39-3309	293	1	63-2401

293 294	2							
294		Emer.	334	1	39-3602	358	8	19-5609
	1	56-209d		2	39-3611	0.50	9	31-3201E
205	2 1	Eff. 54-1904A		3 4	39-3615 39-3616	359	$\frac{1}{2}$	18-113
295	2	67-2805		5	59-3616 Emer.		3	18-902 18-904
296	1-3	Appn.	335	1	54-3610		4	18-2509
297	1-4	Appn.	336	1	66-1106		5	18-2510
298	1-4	Appn.		2	66-1107		6	18-3312
299	1, 2	Appn.	337	1	65-107		7	18-3613
300	1-3	Appn.		2	65-202		8	18-4621
301	1-6	Appn.	338	1	67-6537		9	18-4626
302 303	1, 2 1	Appn. 18-923	339	$\frac{1}{2}$	Rplg. 67-1901		10 11	18-6711A
303	2	Emer.		3	67-1901		12	18-6713 18-7011
304	1	56-218		4	67-1903		13	18-8001
305	1	6-2402		5	67-1904		14	31-714
306	1	54-602		6	67-1905		15	50-302
307	1	16-2406		7	67-3507	360	1	1-1625
	2	16-2409	340	1	33-512		2	19-4705
	3	16-2415		2	33-514		3	23-217
	4	16-2416	341	1	47-1206	361	1-4	Appn.
	5	16-2418	940	2	47-1513	362	1, 2	Other
	6 7	16-2419 16-2423	342 343	1 1-5	39-6007		3 4	42-1740 42-1753
308	1	33-4904	344	1-3	Appn. Other		5	42-1754
000	2	49-453	044	5	Sev.		6	Other
309	1	49-420A	345	1-6	Appn.		7	Sev.
310	1	49-662	346	1-4	Appn.		8	Emer.
	2	49-1301	347	1-11	Appn.	363	1	42-620
311	1	67-8902	348	1-5	Appn.	364	1	70-2203
	2	67-8903	349	1, 2	Appn.		2	Emer.
	3	67-8908	350	1, 2	Appn.	365	1	Appn.
010	4	67-8925	351	1	Appn.	366	1-4	Appn.
312	2	56-1004A Eff.	352	$\frac{1}{2}$	18-8002A	367	$\frac{1}{2}$	42-5201 42-5210
313	1-4	Appn.		3	18-8004A 18-8005		3	42-5212
314	1-6	Appn.		4	49-105		4	42-5214
315	1, 2	Appn.		5	49-306		5	42-5218A
316	1	Appn.		6	49-313		6	42-5218B
317	1, 2	Appn.		7	49-325		7	42-5218C
318	1-4	Appn.		8	49-326		8	42-5218D
319	1-4	Appn.		9	49-335		9	42-5219
320	1	54-3604	353	1	41-5203		10	42-5224
321	1 2	39-118		2	41-5208		11 12	42-5233 42-5242
322	1-10	39-118, n. Appn.		3	41-5212		13	42-5244
323	1-3	Appn.		4	41-5501		14	42-5245
324	1	39-115		5	41-5505		15	42-5251
325	1, 2	Appn.		6 7	41-5507		16	42-5253
326	1	33-4302			41-5509		17	42-5256
327	1	19-5506		8 9	41-5510 41-5511		18	42-5259
000	2	Eff.	354	1-3	Appn.		19	Sev.
328	1-11	Appn.	355	1	63-3622QQ	0.00	20	Emer.
329	12 1	Emer. 54-5101 —		2	Emer.	368	1	36-2203
323	1	54-5118	356	1	67-7702	369	1	63-2901 — 63-2910
	2	Other		2	67-7710		2	63-3067
	3	67-2601	357	1	23-903b		3	Sev.
	4	67-2602		2	23-956		4	Emer.
330	1-6	Appn.	358	2	19-5601	370	1	63-4401 —
000	7	Emer.		3	19-5602			63-4409
331	1	42-1207		4	19-5603		2	63-606A
220	2	18-4308		5	19-5606		3	Sev.
332	1 1	16-1629 9-335		6 7	19-5607 19-5608	371	4 1	Emer. 72-803

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
372	1	61-1601 —	389	8	67-8727	391	46	16-2001
		61-1611		9	67-8728		47	16-2002
373	1-3	Appn.		10	Emer.		48	16-2003
	4	Emer.	390	1	67-1222		49	16-2005
374	1-8	Appn.	391	1	6-210		50	16-2007
375	1-10	Appn.		2	6-1903		51	16-2010
	11	Emer.		3	16-1506		52	18-609A
376	1	33-5202A		4	16-1513		53	39-258
	2	33-5203		5	16-1602		54	39-259
	3	33-5204		6	16-1603		55	39-270
	4	33-5205		7	16-1605		56	39-8205
	5	33-5205A		8	16-1606		57	39-8206
	6	33-5206		9	16-1607		58	54-4407
	7	33-5207		10	16-1608		59	66-317
	8	33-5208		11	16-1609		60	66-324
	9	33-5209		12	16-1610	392	1	33-1121
0.55	10	33-5210		13	16-1611		2	33-1123
377	1	33-1216		14	16-1612		3	Emer.
378	1	40-105		15	16-1613	393	1	18-602
	2	40-108		16	16-1614		2	18-604
	3	40-315		17	16-1615		3	18-605
	4	40-702		18	16-1616		4	18-609A
	5	40-707		19	16-1617		5	18-614
	6	40-718		20	16-1618		6	Other
	7	67-6201		21	16-1619		7	Sev.
	8	67-6205		22	16-1620		8	Eff.
	9	67-6206		23	16-1621	394	1, 2	Appn.
	10	67-6210		24	16-1622	395	1-6	Appn.
070	11	Sev.		25	16-1623	396	1-7	Appn.
379	$\frac{1}{2}$	36-602		26	16-1624	397	1-4	Appn.
	3	36-306		27	16-1625	398	1-4	Appn.
200	1-3	36-416		28	16-1626	399	1, 2	Appn.
380	4	Appn.		29	16-1627		3	1-2222
381	1, 2	Emer.		30	16-1628		4	59-502
901	3	Appn. Emer.		31	16-1629	400	1	42-1763B
382	1-3			32	16-1630		2	Emer.
383	1, 2	Appn.		33	16-1631	401	1	22-413
384	1, 2	Appn.		34	16-1632	402	1	67-818
385	1-4	Appn.		35	16-1633	102	2	Emer.
386	1-5	Appn. Appn.		36	16-1634	403	1	Rplg.
387	1	22-626		37	16-1635	100	2	36-115
388	1	22-2226		38	16-1636		3	36-122
389	1	67-8702		39	16-1637		4	36-1108
909	2	67-8705		40	16-1638		5	Appn.
	3	67-8710		41	16-1639	404	1	63-2506
	4	67-8712		42	16-1639	404	2	63-2509
	5	67-8713		42	16-1641		3	63-2520
	6			43		405	1	63-3026A
	7	67-8716		44	16-1642	405	2	
	- 1	67-8725	1	40	16-1643	1	2	Emer.

SESSION LAWS OF 2006

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	
1	1	Legis. Int.	4	1	
	2	Appn.		2	
	3	Emer.		3	
2	1-3	Appn.	5	1, 2	
	4	Emer.		3	
3	1	Appn.	6	1	
	2	Emer.		2	

h.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
	1	Legis. Int.	7	1	Appn.
	2	Appn.		2	Emer.
	3	Emer.	8	1	Appn.
	1, 2	Appn.		2	Other
	3	Emer.		3	Emer.
	1	Appn.	9	1, 2	Appn.
	2	Emer.		3	Emer.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
10	1	Appn.	27	3	Rplg.	51	11	Rplg.
	2	Emer.		4	41-727	}	12	65-509
11	1	Appn.	28	1	54-3611		13	65-510
10	2	Emer.	29	1	23-1309A		14	Rplg
12	1, 2	Appn.	00	2	23-1319		15	65-511
10	1	Emer.	30 31	1 1	41-2515 40-510		16 17	67-5302
13 14	1	72-1347B 67-4726	31	2	40-511		18	67-5309 49-123
15	1	55-3001 —	32	1	45-1704	52	1	54-2315
10	1	55-3015	02	2	45-1705	53	1	50-609
16	1	9-340D		3	Emer.	54	1	54-1506
10	2	16-1629	33	1	65-201	"	2	Rplg
	4	33-5203		2	Emer.		3	54-1508
	5	47-1506	34	1	67-423A	55	1	63-3077D
	6	49-416C	35	1	18-8324	56	1	63-3033
	8	54-5301	36	1	Rplg.	57	1	25-2701
	9	54-5302		2	67-6610C		2	25-2702
	10	54-5303		3	Emer.		3	25-2703
	11	54-5304	37	1	47-1513		4	25-2704
	12	54-5305		2	47-1703		5	25-2705
	13	54-5306	38	1	72-1349A		6	25-2706
	14	54-5307		2	72-1366		7	25-2707
	15	54-5308		3	9-340C		8	25-2708
	16	54-5309		4	63-3077A		9	25-2709
	17	54-5310	20	5	Emer.		10	25-2710
	18 19	54-5311	39	$\frac{1}{2}$	19-401 19-402		11 12	25-2711 $25-2712$
	20	54-5312 54-5313		3	Emer.		13	25-2713
	21	54-5314	40	1, 2	Appn.		14	25-2714
	22	54-5315	1 40	3	Emer.		15	25-2715
	23	54-5316	41	1	49-402		16	25-2716
	24	54-5317		2	49-415C		17	25-2717
	25	63-602II		3	Eff.	58	1	49-432
	26	67-2601	42	1	67-7101	59	1	63-602HH
	28	67-7702		2	67-7122		2	63-710
	29	72-311		3	67-7128		3	63-2909
17	1	67-1210		4	49-105		4	Emer.
18	1	23-203		5	49-122	60	1	63-2427C
	2	23-217		6	49-501		2	63-2503
	3	23-309		7	49-1608		3	Rplg
	4	23-401	43	1	57-724		4 .	63-2512
	5	23-402		2	Emer.		5	63-3035C
	6	23-407	44	1	Other		6	63-2510A
	7	63-3638A		2	57-724A	61	1	63-3620
19	1	59-1320	45	1	41-913	62	1	67-5725
	2	72-1422	46	1	18-3313	63	1	63-3022
	3	72-1461	47	1	19-5109		2	Emer.
	4	72-1462	10	2	19-5112	64	1, 2	Appn.
	5	72-1463	48	1	72-1347A	0.5	3	Emer.
	6	72-1464	100	2	Emer.	65	1	Appn.
	7	72-1465	49	1	41-232A	00	2	Emer.
00	8	Eff.		2	41-308	66	1, 2	Appn.
20	1 1	49-2202	=0	3 1	41-1016	67	3 1	Emer. 9-340C
21		31-814	50	1	66-901 65-501	67	$\frac{1}{2}$	39-4509
22	$\frac{1}{2}$	67-6608 Emer.	51	2	65-502		3	39-4510
23	1	67-6610A		3	Rplg.		4	39-4511
20	2	Emer.		4	65-503		5	39-4513
24	1	27-114		5	65-504		6	39-4515
25	1	41-2841		6	65-505		7	Emer.
26	1	41-721		7	65-506	68	1, 2	Appn.
20	2	41-721		8	Rplg.	00	3	Emer.
27	1	41-713		9	65-507	69	1	63-3029C
	2	41-714		10	65-508		2	Emer.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
70	1	34-304	86	6	22-2505	112	2	Emer.
	2	34-418		7	22-2506	113	1	37-2735A
	3	34-432		8	Rplg.		2	57-816
	4	34-437B		9	Rplg.	114	1	18-3302
	5	Emer.		10	22-2507	115	1	59-907
71	1	18-1601		11	Rplg.	116	1	54-2203
	2	18-1905		12	22-2508		2	54-2205
	3	18-2308		13	22-2509		3	54-2206
	4	18-2317		14	22-2510		4	54-2207
	5	18-2318		15	22-2511		5	54-2208
	6	18-2322		16	22-2512		6	54-2209
	7	18-2501		17	22-2513		7	54-2210
	8	18-3202	87	1	22-2807		8	54-2211
	9	18-3304		2	22-2808		9	54-2212
	10	18-3305		3	22-2811		10	54-2213
	11	18-3306	88	1	63-3067A		11	54-2214
	12	18-3809		2	63-3067B		12	54-2215
	13	18-3810		3	57-821		13	54-2216
	14	18-4109	89	1	63-3622O		14	54-2217
	15	18-4616	90	1	63-3013		15	54-2218
	16	18-4629		2	Emer.		16	54-2219
	17	18-6801	91	1	66-326		17	54-2220
	18	18-7020	92	1	18-217		18	54-2221
	19	18-7031	93	1	25-402		19	54-2222
	20	19-4705	94	1	Rplg.		20	54-2223
	21	49-1401		2	5-338		21	54-2224
72	1	1-2012	95	1	37-3301 —		22	67-2601
73	1	1-1623			37-3306		23	67-2602
	2	31-3221	96	1	7-701A	117	1	54-1733
74	1	39-8402	97	1	Other	118	1	49-402
75	1	70-2203		2	67-5761A		2	49-418E
	2	70-2204	98	1-3	Appn.		3	Eff.
	3	70-2205	99	1-3	Appn.	119	1	49-402
	4	70-2206	100	1-3	Appn.		2	49-416D
	5	70-2210	101	1-3	Appn.		3	67-4129B
	6	70-2211	102	1	49-524		4	Eff.
76	1	37-2737A		2	49-525	120	1	49-418D
77	1	16-1514	103	1	9-338		2	Emer.
	2	16-1514A		2	9-339	121	1	22-4802
78	1	49-624		3	Emer.	122	1	28-41-201
79	2	39-4001	104	1	19-2604	122	2	28-41-301
	3	39-4002	105	1	50-203		3	28-45-401
	4	39-4003		2	Rplg.		4	28-46-108
	5	39-4003A		3	50-431		5	28-46-113
	6	39-4004		4	50-432		6	Rplg.
	7	Rplg.		5	50-435		7	28-46-301
	8	Rplg.		6	50-436		8	28-46-302
	9	Rplg.		7	50-439		9	
	10	67-2601		8	50-440			28-46-303
80	1	54-1904		9	Rplg.		10	28-46-304
81	1	39-8623		10	50-459		11	28-46-305
82	1	54-1008		11	Rplg.		12	28-46-402
	2	Rplg.		12	50-612		13	28-46-403
	3	54-1014		13	50-707B		14	28-46-404
83	1	54-1009	106	1	67-6601		15	28-46-407
	2	54-1013		2	67-6602		16	Emer.
84	1	33-2211		3	67-6618	123	1	26-3104
	2	33-2303		4	67-6619		2	Emer.
85	1	18-8501 —	107	1	61-502A	124	1	43-201
		18-8505	108	1	49-1612		2	43-401
86	1	22-2501	109	1	39-269		3	43-1808
	2	22-2502	110	1	54-5302	125	1	54-4208
	3	22-2503		2	54-5308		2	54-4211
	4	22-2504	111	1	58-1302		3	54-4213
	5	Rplg.	112	1	Other	126	1	42-1756

Herei	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Eff	2	174	67-5339	2	150	42-2042	2	126
9-3400	1	175	59-1356	1	151	54-303	1	127
37-272	2		59-1359	1	152	54-305	2	
37-2730	3		Emer.	2		54-307	3	
49-40	1	176	38-104A	1	153	54-308	4	
49-416]	2		Emer.	2		54-309	5	
Eff	3		19-2520G	1	154	54-310	6	
20-51	1	177	19-2827	1	155	54-3003	1	128
18-91	1	178	18-5701	1	156	19-2515	1	129
18-91	2		18-5703 19-2604	2 1	157	19-2515A	2 3	
18-1506 18-1506	3			1	157 158	Emer. 58-415	1	120
18-150	4 5		5-203 5-204	2	190	58-1305	1	130 131
18-1507	6		5-204	3		58-1306	2	101
18-1508	7		5-207	4		58-1305	1	132
18-411	8		5-210	5		58-1306	2	102
18-660	9		5-211	6		58-1307	1	133
18-830	10		5-213	7		58-1302	1	134
18-830	11		54-2508	1	159	58-1312	1	135
18-830	12		54-2512	2		55-1904	1	136
18-831	13		Emer.	3		54-1223	1	
Emer	14		15-3-1201	1	160	67-2901B	1	138
15-3-120	1	179	15-2-511	1	161	49-674	2	
56-21	2		15-7-701	2		Emer.	3	
56-218	3		15-7-402	1	162	54-2103	1	139
15-5-20	1	180	15-1-201	1	163	54-2106	2	
54-114	1	181	49-109	1	164	Legis. Int.	1	140
15-5-30	2		49-120	2		41-4904	2	
15-5-42	3	100	49-240	3		Emer.	3	
15-5-21	1	182	49-301	4		Other	1	141
15-5-313	2		49-315	5 6		Emer.	2	140
15-5-43 15-5-43	3 4		49-321 49-323	7		Rplg. 20-227	1	142 143
Rplg	5		49-335	8		Rplg.	1	144
15-9-101 –	6		49-337	9		53-2-101 —	2	144
15-9-106, 15-9	Ū		49-1416	10		53-2-118,	2	
201 - 15-9-200			40-1304	1	165	53-2-201 —		
15-10-101 —	7		40-1305C	2		53-2-210,		
15-10-105, 15-10 201 — 15-10-20			54-2004	1	166	53-2-301 —		
15-11-101 -	8		54-2014	2		53-2-306, 53-2-401 —		
15-11-103, 15-11	· ·		54-2015	3		53-2-401 —		
201 15-11-203			54-2018	4		53-2-501 —		
15-5-209	1	183	54-2023	5		53-2-509,		
18-8501 -	1	184	54-2027	6		53-2-601 —		
18-8500 Sev	2		54-2033	7		53-2-607,		
Emer	3		54-2034	8		53-2-701 — 53-2-704,		
59-1356	1	185	54-2036	9	1.07	53-2-801 —		
72-410	1	186	42-3218	1 1	167	53-2-812,		
72-412	2	100	36-406	_	168 169	53-2-901 —		
67-180	1	187	36-401 36-408	$\frac{1}{2}$	109	53-2-908,		
67-180	2		25-3501	1	170	53-2-1001 —		
67-180	3		25-3501A	2	110	53-2-1005,		
67-180	4		25-3502	3		53-2-1101 — 53-2-1113,		
67-180	5		25-3504	4		53-2-1201 —		
67-1806	6		25-3505	5		53-2-1205		
67-1807	7		25-3511	6		30-1-401	3	
67-1808	8		25-3520A	7		Eff.	4	
67-1809	9		25-3520B	8		36-401	1	145
Eff	10		46-216	1	171	42-605	1	146
Other	11	100	46-225	1	172	54-2502	1	147
Appn	1-3	188	Emer.	2		59-1352	1	148
Emer	4	100	33-1301 —	1	173	Rplg.	1	149
Appn	1-4	189	33-1304	,	1074	Other	2	150
Appn	1, 2	190	56-209n	1	174	33-1228	1	150

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
190	3	Emer.	222	1	42-1406B	235	31	63-3029A
191	1-3	Appn.		2	42-1414		32	67-5205
	4	Legis. Int.		3	42-1425	236	1	63-3622SS
192	1-4	Appn.	223	1	49-1627		2	Emer.
193	1	Appn.	224	1	18-8002	237	1	63-3622R
194	1-3	Appn.	225	1	22-2402	238	1	31-4804
195	1	63-3024		2	22-2403	239	1	19-4301A
	2	63-3029B		3	22-2404	240	1	33-107
	3	63-3035		4	22-2405		2	33-2401
	4	63-3044		5	22-2407		3	Rplg.
	5	63-3045A		6	22-2409		4	33-2402
	6 7	63-2906 63-4406	226	7 1	22-2411 25-236		5 6	Rplg.
	8	Emer.	220	2	25-3001		7	33-2403
196	1	63-2512		3	36-711		8	Rplg. 33-2404
197	1	Rplg.	227	1	49-454		9	33-2405
131	2	Rplg.	221	2	49-402B		10	33-2406
	3	22-2019		3	Eff.		11	33-2407
198	1	25-1145	228	1	33-601		12	33-2408
100	2	25-1160	229	1	57-1503		13	33-2409
199	1	41-2908		$\hat{2}$	67-4223	241	1	39-277
200	1	43-111		3	67-7128	242	1	63-3004
	2	43-112	230	1	36-112		2	Emer.
201	1	67-5008	231	1	72-204	243	1	56-1054
202	1	25-2505		2	72-212	244	1	33-120
	2	25-2510	232	1	33-403C		2	33-210
203	1	37-2709		2	33-406		3	33-512
204	1	9-207		3	Emer.		4	33-515
	2	Eff.	233	1	Rplg.		5	33-1001
205	1	67-5708B		2	63-604		6	33-1004G
	2	67-5711		3	63-602K		7	33-1204
206	1	72-102	10.1	4	Emer.	245	1	37-2732B
	2	72-432	234	1	63-712 —	246	1	19-5109
	3	20-245		0	63-721	247	1	72-327
	4	72-436		2	63-3638	248	1	6-310
	5	72-438	235	3 2	Emer.		2	6-311
	6	72-451	230	3	33-2501 33-2502		3	6-311A
207	1	41-603		4	33-2503		4	Emer.
208	1	49-1608A		5	Rplg.	249	1	19-2520
209	1	63-3029C		6	33-2504	250	1	68-119
	2	Emer.		7	33-2505		2	68-120
210	1	31-869		8	33-2506	251	1	20-223
211	1	63-3622O		9	33-2611		2	Emer.
	2	Emer.		10	33-2612	252	1	7-1001
212	1	41-1314		11	33-2613		2	7-1002
213	1	67-6506		12	33-2614		3	7-1003
214	1	39-4501		13	33-2616		4	7-1004
	2	66-317		14	33-2617		5	7-1005
	3	66-318		15	33-2618		6	7-1006
	4	66-324		16	33-2619		7	7-1007
	5	66-326	-	17	33-2702		8	7-1008
	6	66-341		18	33-2703		9	7-1009
	7	66-601		19	33-2705		10	7-1010
215	1-3	Appn.		20	33-2706		11	7-1011
010	4	Emer.		21	33-2707		12	7-1012
216	1	25-610		22	33-2708		13	7-1013
217	1	22-5202		23	33-2709		14	7-1014
218	1	67-6529C		24	33-2711		15	7-1015
010	2	67-6529E		25	33-2716		16	7-1016
219	1	63-3622TT		26	33-2717		17	7-1017
000	2	Eff.		27	33-2718		18	7-1018
220	1	22-103		28	33-2724		19	7-1019
001	2	Emer.		29	33-2726		20	7-1020
221	1	67-4237	1	30	33-4803	1	21	7-1021

Here	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Eme	6	290	39-3404	2	265	7-1022	22	252
72-100	1	291	39-3413	3		7-1023	23	
72-101	2		49-315	4		7-1024	24	
Eme	3	000	49-2444	5	000	7-1025	25	
46-1201 - 46-121	1	292	1-802	$\frac{1}{2}$	266	7-1026	26	
E:	2		1-804 1-2224	1	267	7-1027	27 28	
39-8301 -	1	293	31-3201A	2	201	7-1028 7-1029	29	
39-830	-		Eff.	3		7-1029	30	
41-131	2		59-1305	1	268	7-1030	31	
18-330	1	294	59-1308	2	200	7-1032	32	
App	1-8	295	59-509	1	269	7-1033	33	
Eme	9		56-238	1	270	7-1034	34	
App	1, 2	296	56-240	2		7-1035	35	
Eme	3		56-241	3		7-1036	36	
App	1, 2	297	Appn.	1	271	7-1037	37	
Legis. In	3		Legis. Int.	2		7-1038	38	
App	4-6		Appn.	1-7	272	7-1039	39	
App	1, 2	298	Appn.	1-5	273	7-1040	40	
App	1-3	299	Appn.	1, 2	274	7-1041	41	
App	1-5	300	Appn.	1-3	275	7-1042	42	
Legis. In	6	0.01	Appn.	1-3	276	7-1043	43	
App	1-8	301	39-3124	1	277	7-1044	44	
Eme 63-31	9 1	200	39-3126	2		7-1045	45	
63-20	2	302	39-3125	3		7-1046	46	
63-40	3		39-3127	4		7-1047	47	
Eme	4		39-3128	5		7-1048	48	
18-330	1	303	Rplg.	6		7-1049	49	
39-501 -	1	304	39-3129	7		7-1050	50	
39-50	1	001	39-3132	8		7-1051	51	
42-178	2		39-3133	9		7-1052	52	
56-256, 56-28	1	305	39-3134A	10	0.50	7-1053	53	
33-33	1	306	56-250 — 56-255	1	278	7-1054	54	
63-3029	1	307	36-1604	1	279	7-1055	55 50	
Eme	2		Other	1, 2	280	7-1056 7-1057	56 57	
39-720	1	308	56-1004A	1	281	7-1057	5 <i>1</i>	
39-720	2		Other	2	201	7-1059	59	
39-72	3		9-340B	1	282	7-1060	60	
E	4		39-3556	2		7-1061	61	
63-3029	1	309	39-5603	1	283	7-1062	62	
50-201	1	310	39-5608	2		Eff.	63	
Legis. In	1	311	66-402	1	284	Other	1, 2	253
6-221	2		54-903	1	285	23-616	1	254
33-90	3		54-913	2		43-707A	1	255
33-90 33 - 90	4 5		54-919	3		42-201	1	256
33-90	6		Rplg.	4		67-5343	1	257
33-1018	7		54-920	5		59-1608	2	
33-1013	8		54-921	6		28-51-104 —	1	258
39-8006	9		54-922	7		28-51-107		
39-80	10		54-923	8		33-1015	1	259
63-252	11		54-924	9		33-1004A	1	260
App	12		54-925	10		18-8002	1	261
Se	13		54-932	11	000	18-8002A	2	
63-3029	1	312	31-3205	1	286	18-8005	3	
33-20	1	313	39-6306 Emor	$\frac{1}{2}$	287	18-8006	4	262
33-51	2	010	Emer. 36-1406	1	288	55-1003 Emer	$\frac{1}{2}$	262
18-917	3		23-404	1	288 289	Emer. 1-2208	1	263
63-440	1	314	Emer.	2	209	1-2210	2	200
63-440	2	014	54-1705	1	290	1-2210	3	
63-440	3		54-1703	2	230	1-2310	3 4	
63-440	4		37-3201	3		39-6316	5	
63-440	5		54-4702	4		46-1008	1	264
	e)		01-1102					

h.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
15	1	63-3622D	333	1-4	Appn.	372	1-8	Appn.
	2	63-3622JJ	334	1-8	Appn.	373	1-11	Appn.
16	1	63-36220	335	1-3	Appn.	374	1	Appn.
17	1-9	Other	336	1, 2	Appn.		2	Legis. Int.
18	1	31-1401		3	Legis, Int.		3-6	Appn.
	2	31-1403	005	4	Appn.	025	7	Emer.
	3	31-1405	337	1-4	Appn.	375	1	Legis. Int.
	4	31-1408	338	1-5	Appn.		2-8	Appn.
	5	31-1410A	339	1-3	Appn.	276	9	Legis. Int.
	6 7	31-1409	340	1-3 1-3	Appn.	376	1-9 1, 2	Appn.
	8	31-1410 31-1411	341 342	1-3	Appn.	377	3	Appn. Emer.
	9	31-1411	343	1-3	Appn. Appn.	378	1	23-944
	10	31-1414	344	1-3	Appn.	310	2	Sev.
	11	31-1412	345	1-5	Appn.		3	Emer.
	12	31-1415	346	1-4	Appn.	379	1	18-8314
	13	31-1416	347	1-4	Appn.	0.0	2	Emer.
	14	31-1417	348	1-7	Appn.	380	1	33-2101A
	15	31-1418	349	1-3	Appn.	000	2	33-2109A
	16	31-1419	0.10	4, 5	Legis. Int.		3	59-1603
	17	31-1420		6	Appn.		4	59-1606
	18	Rplg.		7	Legis. Int.		5	59-1607
	19	31-1421	350	1	63-705		6	67-3511
	20	31-1422		2	63-701		7	67-5302
	21	31-1423		3	Emer.		8	67-5309
	22	31-1424	351	1	49-1002		9	Rplg.
	23	31-1425	352	1	9-337		10	67-5309A
	24	31-1426		2	9-340B		11	Rplg.
	25	31-1427		3	9-340C		12	67-5309B
	26	31-1428		4	67-5241		13	Rplg.
	27	Rplg.	353	1	41-2223		14	67-5309C
	28	31-1429		2	41-4707		15	67-5309D
	29	31-1430		3	41-5207		16	Rplg.
	30	Rplg.	354	1	18-8329		17	67-5328
	31	31-1431		2	Emer.		18	67-5333
	32	31-1432	355	1	42-5214		19	67-5334
	33	Rplg.		2	42-5232		20	67-5337
	34	31-1433		3	42-5244		21	67-5342
	35	31-1434		4	42-5251	381	1	49-802
	36	31-1435		5	Emer.	382	1, 2	56-136
	37	31-1436	356	1	Other	383	1	33-3401
	38	31-1437		2	Emer.		2	33-3407
10	39	63-802	357	1	33-1618	384	1-7	Appn.
19	1	63-602D	358	1	33-5509	385	1-3	Appn.
20	2	Emer.		2	Emer.	386	1, 2	Appn.
20	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-3029C	359	1	41-2401	387	1, 2	Appn.
21	1	Emer. 67-8206		2	41-1842	388	1-3	Appn.
41	2	67-8210	360	1	49-114	389	1-6	Appn.
22	1	63-902	361	1	22-4215	390	1-3	Appn.
22	2	63-906	362	1	22-3811		4	Legis. Int.
23	1	28-46-501 —	363	1	22-4720	391	1	Appn.
20	1	28-46-509	364	1	22-2919		2	Legis. Int.
24	1-3	Appn.	365	1	22-4203		3	Appn.
25	1	Appn.		2	22-4205	392	1-5	Appn.
26	1	63-3622X	366	1	63-602E		6	Emer.
	2	Emer.		2	Emer.	393	1-3	Appn.
27	1-3	Appn.	367	1	39-124, 39-125		4	Legis. Int.
	4	Legis. Int.		2	Emer.	394	1-5	Appn.
	5	Appn.	368	1	61-215		6, 7	Legis. Int.
28	1-3	Appn.		2	63-102		8	Appn.
29	1-3	Appn.		3	72-503		9	Emer.
30	1-3	Appn.	369	1	59-502	395	1	Appn.
31	1	Appn.	370	1	57-820	396	1-3	Appn.
32	1, 2	Appn.	371	1-8	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
397	1	34-503	414	12	41-4013	434	1, 2	Appn.
	2	Emer.		13	41-4014	435	1, 2	Appn.
398	1	Appn.		14	41-4015	436	1-4	Appn.
399	1	Appn.		15	41-4018	437	1	56-234
400	1-3	Appn.		16	41-4019		2	Eff.
	4	Legis. Int.		17	41-4020	438	1, 2	18-604
	5	42-1777		18	41-4021		3	18-609
401	1-3	Appn.		19	41-4022		4	Sev.
402	1-3	Appn.		20	41-4023	439	1	Legis. Int.
403	1-6	Appn.	415	1	41-4101 —		2	67-2346
	7	Emer.			41-4125	440	1, 2	Appn.
404	1-3	Appn.	416	1	56-1003	441	1-3	Appn.
405	1-3	Appn.		2	56-1005	442	1, 2	Appn.
406	1	Appn.	417	1-6	Appn.	443	1	Appn.
407	1	39-302		7	33-1004E		2	Legis. Int.
	2	Rplg.	418	1-6	Appn.	444	1, 2	Appn.
	3	39-303		7	33-1002	445	1-4	Appn.
	4	39-303A		8	33-1004E	446	1-4	Appn.
	5	39-304		9	Appn.	447	1, 2	Appn.
	6	Eff.		10	Emer.	448	1-8	Appn.
408	1	18-8304	419	1-5	Appn.		9	Emer.
409	1-4	Appn.		6	Legis. Int.	449	1	23-904
410	1	26-3105		7-10	Appn.		2	23-957
411	1	54-523	420	1-3	Appn.		3	23-903
	2	54-802		4	Legis. Int.	450	1	7-707
	3	54-805		5	33-1004E		2	40-506
	4	54-808	421	1	56-1012	451	1	7-721
	5	54-818		2	56-1013		2	Emer.
	6	54-824A		3	56-1013A	452	1	7-711
	7	54-837		4	56-1016	453	1	6-808
412	i	33-1004		5	56-1017	454	1	67-5711E
413	2	49-2901	422	1	Appn.	101	2	Emer.
110	3	49-2902	423	1, 2	Appn.	455	1, 2	Other
	4	49-2903		3	33-906A	100	3-7	Appn.
	5	49-2904	424	1-3	Appn.		8	Other
	6	49-2905	425	1-4	Other		9	Emer.
414	1	41-4001		5	Sev.	456	1-3	Appn.
111	2	41-4001	426	1-3	Appn.	100	4	Legis. Int.
	3	41-4002	427	1-3	Appn.		5-8	Appn.
	4	41-4003	428	1-3	Appn.		9	Emer.
	5	41-4004		4	Legis. Int.	457	1-3	Appn.
	6	41-4006	429	1	63-602G	407	4	Legis. Int.
	7	41-4007	120	2	Emer.		5	
	8	41-4007	430	1-7	Appn.		6	Appn. Other
	9	41-4010	431	1	59-501		7	Emer.
	10		432	1		458	1, 2	
		41-4011			Appn.			Appn.
	11	41-4012	433	1, 2	Appn.	459	1-3	Appn.

SESSION LAWS OF 2006 (1st E.S.)

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	Other	1	10	33-1003	1	19	63-3621
	2	33-701		11	33-1004		20	63-3638
	3	33-802		12	33-1408		21	63-3640
	4	33-802A		13	33-5208		22, 23,	Appn.
	5	33-808		14	50-2908		24	
	6	33-905		15	63-315		25	Leg.
	7	33-907		16	63-802A		26	Sev.
	8	33-1002		17	63-811		27	Emer.
	9	Rplg.		18	63-3619			

Ch. S	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1 .	1-702	29	2	Emer.	60	7	22-4708
2	1	32-1215	30	1	Rplg.		8	22-4710
	2	32-1217		2	39-3401 —		9	22-4711
3	1	54-3104			39-3425		10	22-4714
4	1-3	Appn.	31	1	Appn.		11	22-4716
_	4	Emer.		2	Emer.		12	22-4718
5	1	Appn.	32	1	20-239		13	22-4719
0	2	Emer.	33	1	18-3122		14	22-4722
6	1, 2	Appn.		2 3	18-3124	C1	15	9-340D
7	3 1	Emer. 18-3305		4	18-3125 18-3128	61	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn. Emer.
8	1	72-327	34	1	18-8001	62	1	19-5304
9	1	Appn.	35	1	36-409	63	1	31-2901 —
	2	Emer.	00	2	36-416	00	1	31-2907
10	1	63-3025A	36	1	36-1101	64	1	72-1372
	2	63-3027	37	1	63-2401		2	Emer
	3	63-3067A		2	63-2407	65	1	49-1001
	4	63-3072		3	41-4903	66	1	49-121
	5	63-4407	38	1	63-602B		2	49-510
	6	63-3087	39	1	63-602G		3	49-527
	7	63-2516		2	Emer.		4	49-528
	8	63-2563	40	1	49-1002		5	49-529
	9	23-1050A	41	1	67-404a	67	1	36-1101
	10	23-1322A		2	67-1204	68	1	15-1-201
11	1	63-3022O		3	67-1608		2	15-7-501
	2	Emer.		4	Emer.		3	Rplg
12	1	63-3026A	42	1	18-3317		4	15-7-502
	2	Emer.	43	1	18-4006		5	55-905
13	1	63-3004	44	1	59-1302	69	1	67-821
	2	Emer.		2	59-1352		2	39-303
14	1	63-3025		3	59-1356		3	39-304 Emer
	2	63-3025A		4	59-1359	70	4	15-5-309
	3	Emer.		5	59-1362	10	2	15-5-405
5	1	63-506	45	6	59-1363	71	1	15-1-201
16	1 1	65-202	45	1 1	59-1356	11	2	15-5-309
l7 l8	1, 2	Rplg.	46	2	Rplg. 10-1401 —		3	15-5-405
10	3	Appn. Emer.		4	, 10-1411		4	15-5-406
19	1	63-2554		3	Eff.	72	1	15-5-212A
LU	2	63-2559	47	1	20-504	73	1	36-408
	3	63-2560	48	1	54-802	1 1	2	36-409
20	1	49-115	49	1	58-304		3	36-416
	2	49-1010	50	1	58-415	74	1, 2	Appn
21	1	49-202	51	1	22-507		3	Emer.
22	1	49-431	52	1	22-2323	75	1	Appn.
	2	49-437	53	1	22-1102		2	Emer.
23	1	49-434	54	1	54-2103	76	1	Appn
	2	49-443		2	54-2105		2	Emer
24	1	Appn.		3	54-2112	77	1, 2	Appn
	2	Emer.		4	54-2115		3	Emer.
25	1	32-1407	55	1	67-8720	78	1	33-1228
26	1	16-1602	56	1	67-6509A		2	67-5333
	2	16-1632	57	1	22-1211	79	1	33-310
	3	16-1638	58	1	50-1707		2	33-310B
	4	16-1639		2	Emer.		3	33-521
27	1	Appn.	59	1	63-3023		4	33-906
	2	Emer.		2	Eff.		5	33-1002
28	1	50-342A	60	2	22-4701		6	33-1003
	2	Sev.		3	22-4702		7	33-906B
	3	Emer.		4	22-4703		8	Emer.
29	1	39-8801 —		5	22-4704	80	1	Rplg.
		39-8812		6	22-4705	81	1	59-502

Ch.	Section		Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
32	1-4		Appn.	97	1	14-113	116	12	Eff
83	1		39-104		2	14-522	117	1	67-7101
	2		39-105		3	14-523		2	67-7103
	3		39-107D	00	4	15-3-914		3	67-7104
	4		Rplg.	98	$\frac{1}{2}$	54-2004 54-2016	110	4 1, 2	67-7106
	5 6		39-3026 39-3028		3	54-2018	118	3	Appn Emer
	7		Rplg.		4	54-2020	119	1	Appn
	8		39-7403		5	54-2023	110	2	Emer
	9		42-3902		6	54-2027	120	1-4	Appn
	10	6	3-3029D		7	54-2035	121	1	61-215
	11		Rplg.		8	54-2040		2	63-102
	12		57-822		9	54-2044		3	72-503
84	1		19-2904		10	54-2045		4-6	Appn
85	1		20-607		11	54-2051	122	1-2	Appn
86	1 2		Appn.	00	12	54-2056	100	3	Emer
07	1, 2		Emer.	99	$\frac{1}{2}$	Rplg. 31-2307	123 124	1	39-130 19-403
87	3		Appn. Emer.	100	1	49-335	125	1	6-1701
88	1		9-340D	101	1	49-948	120	2	6-1704
89	1		57-728	102	1	20-210	126	1	26-213
00	2		33-5303	103	1	6-902A	1	2	Rplg
90	1		7-803	104	1	1-805		3	26-703
	2		15-2-504	105	1	19-4408		4	26-706
	4		18-8601	106	1	32-717E		5	26-801
	5		18-8602	107	1	67-8903		6	26-1102
	6		18-8603		2	67-8910		7	26-2802
	7		18-8604		3	67-8921		8	67-2752
	8		18-8605		4 5	67-8922	127	9 1	67-2756
	9 10		19-819 19-1205	108	1	Emer. 32-717	127	2	54-1914 54-1920
	11		19-1203	100	2	33-3719		3	67-2310
	12		19-2916	109	1	46-206	128	1	16-1607
	13		19-2936	100	2	Rplg.	129	1	33-2111
	15	9	33-1004E	110	1	49-303		2	Emer
	16		33-3407	111	1	63-3620C	130	1	18-6409
	17		34-2205		2	63-3622R	131	1	33-1004H
	18		38-1306		3	63-3622JJ		2	59-1356
	19	3	39-2102A	112	1	44-2101		3	Eff
	20		39-3502		2	Rplg.	132	1-4	Appn
	21		39-3613		3	44-2101A	133	1-3	Appn
	22		41-3408		4	44-2102		4	Emer
	23		43-219		5	44-2103	134	1	54-4205
	24		49-435		6	44-2104	135	1	63-301A
	25	,	54-1733		7	44-2105 44-2106	120	2	Emer
	26 27	(67.460		8 9	44-2106	136 137	1	43-1510 39-8611
	28		67-460 67-501		10	44-2107	107	2	39-8614
	29		67-505	113	10	49-624		3	39-8616
	30		67-5718	114	1	18-2505		4	39-8618
	32		72-205	1	2	18-2506	138	1	58-307
	33	7	72-1347B	115	1, 2	Appn.	139	1	54-1404
91	1		39-412	116	1	30-18-101 —		2	54-1406A
92	1		33-2106			30-18-713	140	1	54-1727
	2		34-106		2	30-1-858	141	1	23-404
	3		Sev.		3	30-1-1100		2	23-408
	4		Emer.		4	30-3-50		3	23-409
93	1		54-903		5	30-3-100A		4	23-603
0.4	2		54-923		6	30-1309A		5	23-1008
94	1		54-935		7	30-1312	1.10	6	23-1319
95	1		33-4302		8	53-2-1100	142	1	33-1019
96	1		39-1607		9	53-3-101		2	39-8011 Emer.
	2		Rplg. Other		10 11	53-3-901A 53-660A	143	3	63-3501

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Hereir
143	2	63-3502B	162	2	67-2601A	193	1	Other
	3	63-3503B	163	1	39-411		2	9-3400
	4	63-3504	164	1	67-2351 —		3	18-605
	5	63-3505			67-2355		4	Rplg
	6	63-3506	165	1	63-3029M		5	18-609A
	7	63-602JJ		2	Eff.			18-609F
	8	Emer.	166	1	33-402			18-6090
144	1	33-906B	167	1	67-8204A		6	18-614
	2	63-802	168	1	63-605		7	Sev
	3	Emer.	1.00	2	Emer.		8	Emer
145	1-5	Appn.	169	1	33-701	194	1	41-4909
146	1-6	Appn.	170	1	33-5403	10"	2	41-4914
147	1-5	Appn.	171	1	56-1054	195	1	54-501
148	$\frac{1}{2}$	41-2103	172	1	63-3641		2	54-502
		41-4703	170	2	63-3638		3	54-506
	3	41-4706	173	$\frac{1}{2}$	Rplg.		4	54-511
	4	41-5203		2	33-5001 —		5	54-512
	5	41-5206	174		33-5009		6	54-513
	6	41-5501	174	1	67-2344		7	54-514
1.40	7	63-3022K	177	2	67-2345		8	54-518
149	1	54-401	175	1	19-2927		9	54-519
	2	54-402		2	19-2928		10	54-521
	3	54-403	150	3	19-2929		11	54-522
	4	54-404	176	1-4	Appn.		12	54-524
	5	54-405	177	1-7	Appn.	100	13	Rplg
	6 7	54-406	178	1-4	Appn.	196	$\frac{1}{2}$	39-450
		54-408	179	1-4	Appn.			39-4502
	8 9	54-411	180	1-4 1-5	Appn.		3	39-4503
	10	54-412	181	6	Appn.		4 5	39-4504
	11	54-413 54-415	100	1	Emer. 58-127		6	39-4508
	12		182 183	1			7	39-4506
	13	54-416	100	2	54-5213 Emer.		8	39-4507
	14	54-417	184	1			9	39-4508
		54-419	1	1	39-4109			39-4509
150	15	54-420	185	2	42-1806		10	Rplg
150	1	54-2083	100		Eff.		11 12	39-4510
	2	54-2086	186	1	61-1701 —			39-451
	3	54-2087		0	61-1709		13	39-4512
	4	54-2089		2	67-6508		14	39-4512E
151	5	54-2091		3	Sev.		15	39-45120
151	1	41-402	107	4	Emer.		16	39-4513
152	1	67-6201	187	1	42-1409		17	39-4514
	2	67-6205	188	2	39-2801		18	Rplg
	3	67-6206		3	39-2801A	107	19	66-405
	4	67-6207A		4	39-2802	197	1	54-1016
	5	67-6223		5	39-2804		2	54-2602
	6	Emer.		6	39-2806		3	54-2610
153	1-6	Appn.		7	39-2809		4	54-2620
	7	Emer.		8	39-2810		5	54-2622A
154	1	Appn.		9	39-2811		6	54-5015
	2	Emer.		10	39-2812		7	54-5016
155	1-4	Appn.		11	39-2813	198	1	54-5308
156	1-4	Appn.		12	39-2814		2	54-5309
157	1, 2	67-1602, n.		13	22-2508	199	1	39-3201 —
	3	67-1602		14	22-2811			39-3209
	4	Emer.		15	Emer.		2	39-5903
158	1-6	Appn.	189	1	42-4003		3	39-5904
	7	Emer.		2	42-4004	200	1	56-251
159	1	63-1311A		3	42-4005		2	56-252
	2	Emer.		4	Emer.		3	56-253
160	1	43-111	190	1	63-3022		4	56-254
161	1	43-803	191	1	63-3029		5	56-255
	2	43-804		2	Emer.		6	56-256
	1	67-2601	192	1-5	Appn.	201	1	67-453

202	1 2	18-2318						
	9		233	1-4	Appn.	263	1	57-717
		34-732	234	1	Appn.		2	57-720
	3	Rplg.	235	1-5	Appn.		3	57-721
	4 5	34-1005 34-1007	236	1-12 1-8	Appn.	964	4 1-5	Emer.
	6	34-1007	238	1-0	Appn. 67-9001 —	264 265	1-8	Appn.
	7	34-1107	250	1	67-9010	266	1-6	Appn. Appn.
	8	34-2409	239	1	41-291	267	1-4	Appn.
	9	Rplg.	200	2	41-293	268	1-6	Appn.
	10	50-211	240	1	67-7008	269	1-4	Appn.
	11	50-403	241	1	33-2104	270	1-5	Appn.
	12	50-410		2	Emer.	271	1	41-1302
	13	50-447	242	1	Other	272	1	39-5503
	14	50-449	243	1	39-241	273	1	67-451
	15	50-459	244	1	39-260		2	Eff
	16	50-477		2	39-268	274	1-8	Appn
	17	50-2105	245	1	54-1733	275	1	46-609
	18	Sev.	246	1	33-5202A	276	1	46-407
203	1	48-1003C	l	2	33-5215		2	46-409
204	1	42-620	247	1	56-1005	277	1	41-5403
205	1	9-340D		2	Emer.	278	1	41-1036
206	1	9-340D	248	1	56-214	279	1	41-1427
207	1, 2	Appn.		2	Emer.	280	1	41-509
208	1-6	Appn.	249	1	49-110	281	1	41-249
209	1-3	Appn.		2	49-307	282	1	41-2801
210	1, 2	Appn.	250	1	37-2727	283	1	41-230
211	1-6	Appn.	251	1	49-1638	284	1	67-1202
212	1-5	Appn.	252	1	39-4103	285	1	Rplg.
213	1, 2	Appn.		2	39-4105	000	2	Rplg.
214	1-4	Appn.		3	39-4107	286	1	29-116
215	1-4	Appn.		4	Rplg.	287	1	40-1317
216	1, 2	Appn.		5	39-4301 —	288	1	63-2402
217	1-9	Appn.		C	39-4306		2	63-2407
218	1	39-3134A		6 7	49-104		3	63-2427A
219	$\frac{1}{2}$	54-1202			54-1001D		4	Rplg.
	3	54-1205		8	54-1016		5	63-2444
		54-1215		9	54-2602		6	Sev.
	4	54-1220		10	54-2622A	000	7	Eff.
	5 6	54-1223 Eff.		11	54-5002	289	1	23-1306
220	1	54-4703		12 13	54-5006		2 3	23-1325
220	2				54-5205			23-1338
	3	54-4707	-	14	63-3606A	200	4	Emer.
221	1	54-4711		15 16	67-2601	290	1 1-4	63-3622X
221	2	67-4727 Eff.	253	10	67-8203	291		67-5201, n.
222	2	39-5602	203	2	56-1302 56-1303	292	5 1	Sev. 31-4815
222	3			3		292		46-1203
	4	39-5603 Rplg.		4	56-1306 Eman		2 3	
	5	39-5609	254	1	Emer. 73-121		4	46-1204
223	1	16-1611	204	2	75-121 Sev.	293	1-7	67-5747
223	2		255	1		293		Appn.
	3	16-1615 16-1619	256	1	62-412 49-402	294	1-9 1-10	Appn.
	4	16-1619	200	2	49-415D	296		Appn.
	5	16-1622		3		297	1 1-6	Appn. Appn.
224	1		257	1	Eff.			1.1
225	1	18-609 33-5409	258	1	49-1004 49-1004	298 299	1-7 1, 2	Appn.
225 226	1-3		l .	1	33-5601 —	300	1, 2	1.1
226 227	1-3	Appn.	259	1				Appn.
227 228	1-4 1-4	Appn.	260	1	33-5608	301	1-11	Appn.
		Appn.	260	1	60-105	302	1-14	Appn.
229	1-5	Appn.	261	1	36-1101	303	1 12	Appn.
230	1-8	Appn.	262	1	42-1508	304	1-13	Appn.
991	9	Emer.		2 3	42-1765B	305	1-4	Appn.
231 232	1-4 1-4	Appn. Appn.		3 4	Sev. Eff.	306	$\frac{1}{2}$	56-1013A 56-1017

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herei
306	3	Emer.	314	43	30-3-126	336	8	66-130
107	1	49-1212		44	30-3-127		9	66-130
	2	49-2417		45	30-3-128		10	66-131
808	$\frac{1}{2}$.	20-520		46 47	Rplg. 53-2-201		11 12	66-131
	3	20-508 20-509		48	53-2-201		13	66-131 66-131
	4	20-532		49	53-2-202	337	1-4	Appn
309	1	16-2403		50	53-2-208	338	1, 2	Appn
103	2	16-2404		51	53-2-210	339	1, 2	49-290
	3	16-2404A		52	53-2-304	000	2	49-290
	4	20-511A		53	53-2-407		3	49-290
310	1	19-2524		54	53-2-807		4	49-290
311	1	67-7901 —		55	53-2-902		5	49-290
		67-7903		56	53-2-906	340	1	31-480
	2	Sev.		57	53-2-1104		2	31-480
312	1	51-107		58	53-2-1105		3	31-480
	2	51-109		59	53-2-1108		4	31-481
	3	55-712A		60	53-2-1109	341	1	15-8-10
	4	55-712B		61	53-3-101		2	56-209
313	1	34-625		62	53-3-1001		3	56-209
	2	34-625A		63	53-3-1003		4	56-22
314	1	30-401 —		64	53-3-1102		5	56-22
	0	30-418		65	Rplg.		6	56-227]
	2	30-1-120		66	53-608		7	56-2270
	3	30-1-122		67	53-613		8	56-2271
	4 5	30-1-125 30-1-141		68 69	53-643A 53-643B		9 10	56-227]
	6	30-1-202		70	53-651	342	10	67-140 67-872
	7	Rplg.		71	53-655	343	1	33-430
	8	30-1-703		72	53-655A	040	2	33-430
	9	30-1-720		73	53-655B		3	33-430
	10	30-1-809		74	53-710	344	1-6	Appr
	11	30-1-1005	315	1	56-1005	0.1.1	7	Sev
	12	30-1-1107	316	1	18-8503		8	Emer
	13	30-1-1330	317	1	28-9-502	345	1-6	Appr
	14	30-1-1407		2	28-9-518		7	Eme
	15	30-1-1408	318	1	33-107A	346	1-3	Appr
	16	30-1-1420	319	1	54-1751 —	347	1-5	Appn
	17	30-1-1421			54-1759	348	1-5	Appn
	18	30-1-1431		2	Sev.	349	1-5	Appn
	19	30-1-1503	320	1	7-1026		6	Emer
	20	30-1-1504		2	7-1044	350	1-3	Appn
	21	Rplg.	321	1-5	Appn.		4	Legis. Int
	22	30-1-1530	322	1-8	Appn.		5	33-10041
	23	30-1-1604	323	1-5	Appn.		6	33-100
	24	30-1-1605	324	1-6	Appn.		7	33-520
	25	30-1-1622	325	1-5	Appn.	351	1-7	Appn
	26	30-3-2	326	1	Appn.		8	33-1004]
	27	30-3-4	327	1-6	Appn.	352	1-8	Appn
	28	30-3-7	328	1-4	Appn.		9	33-1004]
	29	30-3-8	329	1-7	Appn.		10	33-100
	30	30-3-17	330	1-4	Appn.	353	1-5	Appr
	31	Rplg.	331	1-5	Appn.		6	Legis. Int
	32	30-3-48		6	Emer.		7	Appn
	33	30-3-54	332	1-9	Appn.		8	Legis. In
	34	30-3-90	333	1-5	Appn.		9, 10	Appn
	35	30-3-104	334	1	Appn.		11	33-100
	36	30-3-115	335	1	67-2002		12	33-102
	37	30-3-115A	336	2	66-1301		13	33-550
	38	30-3-115B		3	66-1302		14	33-5508, r
	39	30-3-115C		4	66-1303		15	Appn
	40	30-3-118		5	66-1304	354	1-4	Appn
	41	30-3-119		6	66-1305		5	33-90
	42	Rplg.	1	7	66-1306		6	33-101

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
355	1	Appn.	360	10	72-1347B	360	26	67-2402
	2	Emer.		11	9-340C		27	67-2406
356	1-6	Appn.		12	23-950	361	1, 2	Appn.
357	1	44-1502		13	39-3018	362	1, 2	Appn.
	2	Emer.		14	49-416C	363	1-12	Appn.
358	1	33-1103		15	49-2901		13	40-315
	2	Emer.		16	49-2902	364	1	63-802C
359	1-8	Appn.		17	49-2903	365	ı 1	72-1101 —
360	2	67-4701		18	49-2904	000	*	72-1105
	3	67-4702		19	49-2905		2	Eff.
	4	67-4703		20	59-904	366	1-4	
	5	67-4711		21	63-2902	367	1-4	Appn.
	6	67-4726		22	63-3029J			Appn.
	7	72-1318		23	63-3077A	368	1	Appn.
	8	72-1333		24	63-3622TT	000	2	Emer.
	9	72-1347A		25	63-4402	369	1-8	Appn.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	Appn.	23	1	18-2406	36	9	30-18-306
	2	Emer.	24	1	1-2404		10	30-18-403
2	1	Appn.	25	1	4-101		11	30-18-405
	2	Emer.		2	Emer.		12	30-18-406
3	1	Appn.	26	1	54-2510		13	30-18-503
	2	Emer.	27	2	9-335		14	30-18-505
4	1, 2	Appn.		3	9-340D		15	30-18-506
	3	Emer.		4	15-5-405		16	30-18-702
5	1	63-218		5	18-8105	37	1	31-819
	2	63-3071		7	31-2202		2	31-2307
6	1	63-3004		8	33-1002	38	1	1-2203
	2	Emer.		9	33-2106		2	1-2204
7	1	63-215		10	46-206		3	1-2205
8	1	63-3083		11	49-2902		4	31-879
	2	Emer.		12	49-2903	39	1	46-1009
9	1	63-3035		13	49-2905		2	31-2229
	2	Eff.		14	58-304	40	1	67-7410
10	1	63-3068		16	59-1356	41	1	20-520
11	1	14-532		17	67-2601	42	1	20-239A
12	1	Appn.		18	67-4727	43	1	67-7702
	2	Emer.		19	67-7903		2	67-7709
13	1	49-418A	28	1-4	Appn.		3	67-7711
	2	67-5205		5	Emer.	44	1	72-1315
	3	Emer.	29	1-3	Appn.	**	$\overline{2}$	72-1316
14	1	49-420A		4	Emer.		3	72-1351
15	1	54-3204	30	1	Appn.		4	72-1351A
16	1	54-604		2	Emer.		5	72-1372
17	1	63-3067B	31	1	63-2408	45	1	49-326A
	2	65-209	32	1	25-3507	46	1	32-303
18	1	49-102	33	1	1-1102	47	1	25-3504
10	2	49-304	34	1	1-215	41	2	Emer.
	3	49-327	35	1	9-801 —	48	1	67-3010
	4	49-328			9-814	49	1	63-3611
	5	49-1222	0.0	2	Sev.	49	2	63-3615A
19	1	63-3622N	36	1	Rplg.	50	1	63-602B
20	1	63-2503		2	30-18-102	50	2	63-602C
20	2	63-2551		3	30-18-104		3	Emer.
	3	63-2552B		4	30-18-109	51		54-1705
0.1				5	30-18-203	51	1	
21	1, 2	Appn.		6	30-18-205	52	1	63-105A
00	3	Emer.		7	30-18-206	53	1	63-201
22	1	19-2126	1	8	30-18-303		2	63-901

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
53	3	63-510	74	1	15-5-308	95	1	Appn
	4	63-1703		2	15-5-311		2	Emer.
54	1	67-5711F		3	15-5-312	96	1	Appn.
==	$\frac{2}{1}$	Emer. 49-202		4 5	15-5-316 66-405	97	2 1	Emer.
55 56	1	18-5701	75	1	15-3-403	91	$\frac{1}{2}$	72-1333 72-1345A
90	2	18-5702	10	2	15-3-412	98	1	72-1343A 36-404
	3	18-5703	76	1	15-2-502	30	2	36-406
	4	Rplg.	"	2	15-2-504		3	36-407
	5	19-403	77	1	55-111	99	1	72-1342
	6	Emer.		2	55-111A		2	72-1366
57	1	11-605	78	1	18-3126		3	72-1372
58	1	36-409A	79	1-3	Appn.		4	72-1374
59	1	36-407		4	Emer.		5	9-3400
60	1	18-101A	80	1	20-208	100	1	54-2702
	2	18-6110		2	59-509		2	54-2703
61	1	20-237B	81	1	33-2505	101	1	36-1109
62	1	34-2503		2	33-2505A,		2	Emer
63	1	49-303			33-2505B,	102	1	Eff
	2	49-306	90	1.0	33-2505C	103	1	58-307
	3	49-319	82	1-3 4	Appn. Emer.	104	1	33-317
	4	49-2443	83	1	6-1601		2	Emer
	5	49-2444	00	2	6-1605	105	1	33-5202A
64	1	54-2908	84	1	49-123		2	33-5205
65	1	20-219	04	2	49-524	106	1	49-105
66	1	33-2110B		3	49-525		2	49-121
	2	33-3717B	85	1	67-1605		3	49-501
67	1	54-1401	00	2	67-2901		4	49-1606
00	2	54-1413	86	1	54-802		5	49-1608
88	1	54-3101		2	54-805		6	63-3622HH
	2	54-3102		3	54-808	107	7 1	Eff
	3 4	54-3103 54-3104		4	54-809	107 108	1	54-5206 54-4107
	5	54-3104		5	54-810	100	2	54-4113
	6	54-3106		6	54-815	109	1	54-1109
	7	54-3107		7	54-816	110	1	54-506
	8	54-3108		8	54-817	110	2	54-510
	9	54-3109		9	54-818		3	54-518
	10	54-3110		10	54-822		4	54-521
	11	54-3111		11	54-831	111	1	31-3205
	12	54-3112		12	54-832	112	1	36-2104
	13	54-3113		13	54-834		2	36-2107
	14	54-3114		14	54-835		3	36-2117
	15	54-3115	87	1	54-3401	113	1	54-411
	16	Rplg.		2	54-3402	114	1	58-411
	17	54-3117		3	54-3405B	115	1	58-307
	18	54-3118		4	54-3408	116	1	67-9101 —
69	1	41-2502	00	5	54-3414			67-9105
	2	41-2503	88	1	18-915	117	1	63-701
	3	Eff.		2	19-3947	118	1	50-222
70	1	33-906		3	19-5101	119	1	33-5502
71	1	39-114		4	19-5109		2	33-5503
	2	9-340D		5	31-878		3	33-5504
	3	22-4804, n.	00	6	31-3201D		4	33-5504A
	4	Rplg.	89	1	67-5303		5 6	33-5504E 33-5505
	5	39-6717	90	1	63-4402		7	33-5506
70	6	Emer.	91	1	56-1401 — 56-1410		8	33-5507
72	1	36-123		2	Exp.		9	59-1374
73	1	15-9-101	92	1	63-3622UU	120	1, 2	59-1372 Appn
	2	15-9-201	93	1	54-4207	120	1, 2	Appn
	3	15-10-101	1	1	39-302	121	1, 2	
	4	15-10-201	94	2	39-302	123	1, 2	Appn 67-6531
	5 6	15-11-101 66-415, 66-416,		3	39-304 39-306	123	2	67-6532

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
124	1	18-8331	136	28	19-4531	168	3	Emer.
	2	Emer.		29	19-4528	169	1, 2	Appn.
125	1	46-804		30	Rplg.	170	1	54-936
126	1	46-102		31	19-4529	171	1	33-5205A
	2	Rplg.	107	32	19-4530	172	1	63-36220
107	3	46-216	137	1	41-114A	173	1-4	Appn.
127	$\frac{1}{2}$	46-1202 46-1203	138	$\frac{1}{2}$	67-5302 Emer.	174	5 1-3	Emer.
	3	46-1204	139	1	41-1847	175	1-5	Appn. 15-6-401,
	4	46-1204	140	1	Rplg.	170	1	15-6-402
	5	46-1207	140	2	19-5304	176	1	30-6-101 —
128	1	54-204		3	26-201			30-6-114,
	2	54-206		4	26-203			30-6-201 —
	3	54-208		5	26-601			30-6-210,
	4	54-209		6	26-602			30-6-301 —
	5	54-210		7	26-702			30-6-304, 30-6-401 —
	6	54-211		8	26-708			30-6-410,
	7	54-212	141	1	Appn.			30-6-501 —
	8	54-213		2	Emer.			30-6-504,
	9	54-214	142	1	54-2016			30-6-601 —
	10	54-227		2	54-2022			30-6-603,
129	1	37-2726		3	54-2023			30-6-701 — 30-6-708,
130	1	22-2305		4	54-2027			30-6-801 —
131	$\frac{1}{2}$	22-603 22-605	143	5 1	54-2033 30-14-202			30-6-809,
	3	22-607	145	2	30-14-202			30-6-901
	4	22-608		3	30-14-611			30-6-906,
	5	22-609	144	1	54-2004			30-6-1001 —
	6	22-610	1	2	54-2020			30-6-1002, 30-6-1101 —
	7	22-620	145	1	15-5-410			30-6-1101 —
132	1	22-2208	146	1	56-214		2	30-1-401
133	1	33-2110	147	1	41-318		3	63-3006A
134	1	Rplg.	148	1	42-1414		4	63-3622K
	2	42-1779	149	1	42-1406B		5	Rplg.
135	1	54-2104		2	Emer.		6	Eff.
100	2	54-2105	150	1	49-402	177	1	Rplg.
136	1	19-4501		2	49-417D		2	28-52-101 — 28-52-109
	2	19-4502	151	3	Eff.	178	1	23-904
	3 4	19-4503	151 152	1 1	18-915	170	2	23-957
	5	Rplg. 19-4506	153	1	19-5304 49-2902	179	1	41-5801 —
	6	19-4507	154	1	49-2902		-	41-5821
	7	19-4508	155	1	67-2901B		2	Eff.
	8	19-4509	100	2	Eff.	180	1	23-1325
	9	19-4510	156	1	49-1004		2	Emer.
	10	19-4511	157	1	33-5205	181	1	23-1303
	11	19-4512	158	1	33-1004A	100	2	Emer.
	12	Rplg.		2	Emer.	182	$\frac{1}{2}$	15-2-401 15-2-402
	13	19-4513	159	1	42-1406B		3	
	14	19-4514		2	Emer.		4	15-2-403 Rplg.
	15	Rplg.	160	1	33-701		5	15-2-405
	16	19-4515	161	1	Rplg.		6	15-2-406
	17	19-4516	162	1, 2	Appn.		7	56-218
	18	19-4517	· 163	1, 2	Appn.	183	1	67-5202
	19	19-4518	164	1, 2	Appn.		2	67-5223
	20	19-4519		3	Emer.		3	Emer.
	21	19-4520	165	1, 2	Appn.	184	1-3	Appn.
	22	19-4521		3	Emer.	185	1	33-4302
	23	19-4522	166	1	Appn.	186	1	Rplg.
	24	19-4523		2	Emer.		2	15-12-101 —
	25	19-4524	167	1	Appn.			15-12-123,
	26	19-4525		2	Emer.			15-12-201 —
	27	19-4526	168	1, 2	Appn.			15-12-217,

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
186	2	15-12-301 —	214	1	63-713	249	10	Rplg.
		15-12-302,		2	63-714	250	1	18-8329
		15-12-401 —	215	1	40-513C	251	1	33-5209
		15-12-403	216	1	41-2401	252	1	23-404
107	3	54-1142	217	1	33-118A	253	1	50-2908
187	1	56-209h	218	1	36-111		2	63-803
188	1	56-227		2	57-823		3	63-811
189	1	31-3506		3	63-3067A		4	Emer
100	2	31-3510A		4	63-3067B	254	1	54-1904
190	1	54-1753	219	1	16-2403	255	1	54-5001
101	2	Emer.	220	1	59-502		2	54-5002
191	1	33-601	221	1-5	Appn.	256	1	39-3401 <i>A</i>
.00	2	Emer.	222	1, 2	Appn.	257	1	48-608
192	1	45-1601 — 45-1605	223	1, 2	Appn.	258	1	40-1305A
	2	45-1505	224	1, 2	Appn.		2	Rplg
109	1	49-402		3	Emer.	259	1	18-920
193	2	49-415E	225	1, 2	Appn.	260	1	33-125, n
			226	1	33-3717B		1	67-5745D, n
0.4	3	Emer.	227	1	63-3501		2	33-125
194	1	49-110		2	63-3502B		3	67-5745D
	2	49-302		3	63-3503B	261	1	63-3022
	3	49-303		4	63-3504		2	Emer
	4	49-305		5	63-3505	262	1, 2	Appn
	5	49-307		6	63-3506	263	1-4	Appn
195	1-3	Appn.		7	63-3622QQ	264	1, 2	Appn
196	1	59-1607		8	63-602JJ	265	1-3	Appn
	2	67-5302		9	Emer.	266	1-3	Appn
	3	67-5328	228	1-3	Appn.	267	1	Appn
	4	67-5333	229	1, 2	Appn.	201	2	Emer
	5	67-5334	230	1, 2		268	1-7	
197	1-5	Appn.		,	Appn.	1	1-9	Appn
198	1	49-105	231	1	39-414	269		Appn
	2	49-107	232	1	19-5701 — 19-5708	270	1-4	Appn
	3	49-114		2	9-340C	271	1, 2	Appn
	4	49-123	233	1	63-3622D	272	1-3	Appn
	5	49-402	234	1	63-4501, 63-4502	070	4	Emer
	6	49-501	234	2	63-4502, n.	273	1-3	Appn
	7	49-1606		3	Emer.	274	1	39-2901, 39-2902 39-2903, 39-2904
	8	49-1608	005	1-3			2	
199	1	33-2308	235		Appn.	975		Exp
200	1	38-1203	000	4	Emer.	275	1	33-5401
	2	38-808	236	1	Appn.		2	33-5402
	3	38-809	237	1, 2	Appn.		3	33-5404
	4	38-1202	238	1	18-5702		4	33-5407
	5	38-1207		2	Emer.	050	5	33-5410
	6	38-1220A	239	1	42-223	276	1-3	Appn
201	1	38-1204		2	Emer.	277	1-3	Appn
202	1	41-1940	240	1	18-1506	278	1	39-8901 —
203	1	41-3905	241	1, 2	Appn.		2	39-8911
200		41-3910	242	1, 2	Appn.			39-8911, n
	2		243	1-3	Appn.	070	3	Eff
	3	41-3914	244	1	Appn.	279	1-4	Appn
20.4	4	41-3918	245	1-3	Appn.	280	1-3	Appn
204	1	54-2105	246	1-3	Appn.	281	1, 2	Appn
205	1	57-815	247	1-5	Appn.	282	1-3	Appn
206	1	57-1306	248	1	23-307		4	Emer
207	1	43-208		2	23-927	283	1, 2	Appn
208	1	67-8302	249	1	54-2801	284	1-3	Appn
209	1	18-1505		2	54-2806		4, 5	Legis. Int
	2	39-5302		3	54-2807	285	1	61-218
210	1	49-402		4	54-2809		2	63-102
	2	49-417E	1	5	54-2812		3	72-503
	3	Eff.		6	54-2813		4-6	Appn
211	1	36-406A		7	54-2814	286	1, 2	Appn
		43-201		8	54-2815	200	3, 4	Legis. Int
212	1	43.701						

Herei	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
26-222	4	347	Emer.	2	315	Emer.	7	286
Rplg	5		Rplg.	1	316	Appn.	1, 2	287
26-222	6		63-3024A	2		Appn.	1-3	288
26-222	7		Emer.	3	017	Legis. Int.	4	
26-222	8		41-3932	1 1	317	Appn.	5, 6	000
26-222 26-2229	9 10		55-2601 55-2603	2	318	Appn.	1, 2 1, 2	289 290
26-223	11		55-2604	3		Appn. Legis. Int.	3	290
26-223	12		55-2605	4		Appn.	4-7	
26-223	13		55-2606	5		Emer.	8	
Rplg	14		63-3004	1	319	Appn.	1, 2	291
26-2232	15		63-3022O	2		Appn.	1-4	292
26-223	16		63-3029B	3		Appn.	1-3	293
26-223	17		Emer.	4		Other	4-6	
Rplg	18		42-201	1	320	36-1107	1	294
26-223	19		Appn.	1, 2	321	Emer.	2	
26-223	20		42-1780	3		44-2701 —	1	295
26-223	21		Appn.	4		44-2704		000
26-223	22		Appn.	1, 2	322	41-2210	1	296
26-224	23		Appn.	1, 2	323	41-3216 41-3436	2 3	
26-224	24		Appn.	1-6	324	41-4023	4	
26-224	25		Appn.	1, 2	325	41-4124	5	
26-224	26		Legis. Int. Emer.	3 4		41-5501	1	297
Rplg 26-224	27 28			1-7	326	41-5510	2	201
26-224	29		Appn. 63-602NN	1	327	54-2083	1	298
Rplg	30		Emer.	2	521	5-337	1	299
26-225	31		32-706	1	328	18-4004A	1	300
1-2301	32		32-1214A	2		43-2504	1	301
18-60	1	348	32-1214B	3		43-2506	2	
Exp	2		34-618	1	329	43-2507	3	
33-13	1	349	49-104	1	330	43-2511	4	
33-51	2		49-123	2		31-2219	1	302
67-472	1	350	49-948	3		55-2708	1	303
Ef	2		Emer.	4		Emer.	2	004
33-31	1	351	66-317	1	331	Rplg.	1	304
33-50	2		66-328	2		18-3302J	2 3	
33-50	3		66-329	3		Emer. 33-520	1	305
Appr	1-4	352	Rplg.	4	332	67-6602	1	306
Legis. In	5		Rplg. 67-5779 —	2	332	Rplg.	2	000
Appr	1-3	353	67-5782	2		67-6619	3	
Legis. Int	4		18-3325	1	333	18-1356	4	
Appr	1-6	354	58-1308	1	334	33-601	1	307
Legis. In	7	0.55	23-903	1	335	Emer.	2	
Appr	1-12	355	49-432	1	336	Appn.	1, 2	308
Appr	1-3	356	Appn.	1, 2	337	Appn.	1-3	309
Appr	1-5 1-9	357	Appn.	1	338	Emer.	4	
Appr	10	358	Legis. Int.	2		Appn.	1, 2	310
Legis. Int	1-4	359	Appn.	3-6		30-907	1	311
Appr	1, 2	360	Emer.	7	000	30-914	2	
Legis. Int	3	300	Appn.	1-3	339	30-920	3	
Appr	4-6		Legis. Int.	4	240	Rplg.	4	312
Appr	1, 2	361	Appn. Legis. Int.	$\frac{1, 2}{3}$	340	28-46-301 28-46-302	$\frac{1}{2}$	312
Appn	1-3	362	Appn.	1-5	341	26-3102	1	313
Legis. Int	4		Appn.	1, 2	342	26-3102	2	310
33-10041	5		Appn.	1-5	343	26-3108	3	
Appn	1-7	363	Appn.	1, 2	344	26-3108A	4	
33-10041	8		Appn.	1	345	26-3110	5	
Appn	9		Appn.	1-5	346	26-3111	6	
56-105	1	364	Emer.	6		Rplg.	7	
Rplg	2		26-2222	1	347	63-3022H	1	314
Eff	3		26-2223	2		Emer.	2	
45-150	1	365	26-2223A	3		63-3029	1	315

Hereir	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section
63-1703	5	400	55-1608	28	378	67-5711D	1
33-1103	6		55-1612	29		Appn.	1, 2
63-313	7		55-1613	30		Other	3, 4
63-802	8		31-4818	1	379	Appn.	5
Sev	9		31-4819	2		Legis. Int.	6, 7
Eff	10		44-2101A	1	380	Appn.	8
33-1002F	1	401	54-2602	1	381	Legis. Int.	9
41-253	1	402	58-335A	1	382	Appn.	10, 11
41-255	2		22-2721	1	383	39-116B	1
41-256	3		33-910, n.	1	384	72-102	1
41-259	4		33-910	2		72-205	2
41-260	5		Exp.	3		72-419	3
41-261	6		40-322, n.	1	385	Appn.	1-3
41-262	7		40-322	2		Emer.	4
41-263	8		Eff.	3		58-119A	1
Appn	1	403	67-5201, n.	1-5	386	49-658	1
Appn	1	404	22-1901 —	1	387	Appn.	1, 2
23-903	1	405	22-1917			Appn.	1
54-5108	1	406	Emer.	2		Appn.	1-4
54-5103, n	2	100	18-615	1	388	Appn.	1, 2
54-5104, n	2		18-616	2		Appn.	1-3
54-5112, n	2		67-8203	1	389	50-1303	1
54-5112, n	2		Emer.	2		54-1201	2
Emer	3		Rplg.	1	390	54-1202	3
67-8716	1	407	63-3067	2		54-1203	4
67-8725	2	407	63-4401	3		54-1204	5
	3		63-4406	4		54-1208	6
67-8727			Appn.	1-7	391	54-1210	7
Rplg	4	400	33-1004E	8		54-1211	8
34-903A, n	1	408	Appn.	9, 10		54-1212	9, 10
34-903A	2		Appn.	1-5	392	54-1213	11
Emer	3		Legis. Int.	6		54-1214	12
49-102	1	409	Appn.	7-13		54-1215	13
49-114	2		Appn.	1-4	393	54-1216	14
49-402	3		Appn.	1	394	54-1218	15
49-426	4		Appn.	1-4	395	54-1219	16
49-428	5		Emer.	5		54-1220	17
49-443	6		Appn.	1	396	54-1221	18
49-456	7		31-808	1	397	54-1222	19
49-666	8		Emer.	2		54-1223	20
63-3622F	9		Appn.	1-3	398	54-1225	21
67-7101	10		Emer.	4		54-1227	22
67-7114	11		41-732	1	399	54-1228	23
67-7122	12		63-201	1	400	54-1234	24
67-7123	13		63-602KK	2		54-1235	25
50-3101 —	1	410	63-3638	3		54-1236	26
50-3121			63-510	4		55-1603	27

Herein 18-915
18-915
18-8005
Rplg.
33-2739
33-2815
33-3701
33-5205
Rplg.
39-2903
47-306

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
11	16	47-307	23	35	23-409	33	9	54-2312A
	17	49-114		36	23-502		10	54-2315
	18	49-123		37	23-506	34	1	56-102
	19	49-402		38	23-507		2	56-113
	20	50-909		39	23-508		3	56-136
	21 22	54-2702 63-201		40 41	23-510 23-512		4 5	56-255 56-1401
	23	63-510		41	23-512		6	56-1401
	24	63-1703		43	23-514		7	56-1404
12	1	Appn.		44	23-515		8	56-1406
-	2	Emer.		45	23-517		9	56-1410
13	1	Appn.		46	23-518		10	Emer.
	2	Emer.		47	23-519	35	1	63-3004
4	1	Appn.		48	23-601		2	Emer.
	2	Emer.		49	23-607	36	1	38-111
15	1	56-1004		50	23-608	37	1	69-503
16	1	Appn.		51	23-610	38	1	22-435
	2	Emer.		52	23-805		2	22-436
17	1	Appn.		53	23-914	39	1	69-262
	2	Emer.		54	23-1303		2	69-264
18	1-9	Appn.		55	23-1305	40	1	63-3022J
4.0	10	Emer.		56	23-1311		2	Emer.
19	1	57-719		57	33-2105	41	1	33-5205
20	1	54-1213		58	57-1113	42	1	63-602KK
21	2	54-1214		59	63-3622M		2	63-802
21	1 2	41-4903		60	63-3638A		3	63-803
	3	63-2421 63-2427A	24	61 1	67-802	43	4	Emer. 31-857
22	1	33-2109B	24	2	Appn. Emer.	44	1	46-216
22	2	67-5333A	25	1, 2	Appn.	45	1	20-209G
	3	Emer.	20	3	Emer.	46	1	22-4909A
23	2	23-102	26	2	33-2401	47	1	54-803
20	3	23-201	20	3	33-2402	1	2	54-827
	4	23-202		4	33-2403	48	1	72-517
	5	23-203		5	33-2404	49	1	41-728
	6	23-204		6	33-2405	50	1	50-2908
	7	23-205		7	33-2406		2	Emer.
	8	23-206		8	Rplg.	51	1	54-1139
	9	23-207	27	1	Rplg.		2	54-1141
	10	23-208		2	33-4804		3	54-1142
	11	23-209		3	33-4805	52	1	Rplg.
	12	23-211		4	Rplg.		2	Rplg.
	13	23-212	28	1	33-123		3	67-401
	14	23-214	29	1	67-805		4	67-406
	15	23-215		2	67-809		5 6	67-428
	16	23-216	30	1	33-4901		7	67-429 67-431
	17	23-217		2	33-4902		8	67-435
	18	23-301		3	33-4903		9	67-450B
	19	23-302		4	33-4904		10	67-450C
	20	23-305		5	33-4905		11	67-701 —
	21	23-306		6	33-4906			67-704
	22	23-307		7	49-304		12	72-1507
	23	23-308		8	49-313		13	34-301
	24	23-308B		9	49-314	53	1	50-222
	25	23-309	31	1	Rplg.	54	1	41-4303
	26	23-311	32	1	22-103		2	41-4305
	27	23-312	33	1	54-2302		3	41-4308
	28	23-313		2	54-2303		4	41-4319
	29	23-402		3	54-2304	55	1	33-1228
	30	23-403		4	54-2305		2	33-5503
	31	23-404		5	Rplg.		3	33-5504A
	32	23-406		6	54-2307	EC	4	Emer.
	33	23-407		7	54-2309	56	1	19-5307
	34	23-408		8	54-2312	57	1	33-501

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Hereir
57	2	33-504	86	3	66-405	106	15	39-6113
8	1	67-4223	87	1	41-5901 —		16	39-611
59	1	54-3607		2	41-5917		17	39-6114
30	1	54-3610	88	1	Eff. 33-309		18	39-6110
31	1	19-3004A	89	1	54-5210	107	19	39-611
32	1	63-3638	90	1	Rplg.	107	1	33-30
	2 3	Rplg. 63-3641	00	2	19-2901 —		2 3	33-308
	4	Emer.		_	19-2923		4	33-31 33-31
3	1	63-3067A	91	1	63-3621		5	33-40
,0	2	63-3067B		2	63-3622GG	108	1	18-800
	3	57-824	92	1	49-2904	100	2	18-800
64	1	68-10-409	93	1	54-402		3	37-273
	2	68-10-505		2	54-405		4	37-2735
35	1	54-5501 —		3	54-406		5	37-274
		54-5513		4	54-407		6	37-280
	2	67-2601		5	54-408		7	37-280
	3	67-2602		6	54-410		8	57-81
	4	54-5503, n.		7	54-411		9	63-3067
	5	Other		8	54-414		10	63-3067
66	1	41-4201		9	54-415	109	1	56-100
	2	41-4202		10 11	54-416 54-417	110	1	18-331
	3	41-4203		12	54-418		2	18-331
	4	41-4204		13	54-420	111	1	54-261
	5	41-4205		14	54-421		2	54-2614
-7	6 1	Rplg.		15	54-422	112	1	54-100
57	2	54-1407 54-1408	94	1	54-607		2	54-100
88	1	18-8310	95	1	54-2205		3	54-101
9	1	41-1950 —		2	54-2212	110	4	54-101
10	1	41-1965	96	1	Rplg.	113	1	54-5013
	2	41-1965, n.	97	1	Rplg.	114	1	49-417]
70	1	72-1316A		2	26-31-101 —		2 3	57-81
71	1	18-1505			26-31-114, 26-31-	115	1	Emer 19-510
2	1	54-1112			201 — 26-31-212, 26-31-301 —	113	2	19-510
'3	1	54-504			26-31-321	116	1	18-611
74	1	54-5308		3	Eff.	117	1	36-40
75	1	54-2804	98	1	40-1305B	111	2	36-40
	2	54-2808	99	1	40-1325	118	1	54-150
	3	Rplg.		2	Rplg.	110	2	54-150
	4	54-2818	100	1	18-1505B		3	54-1502
	5	54-2819	101	1	19-5307		4	54-150
	6	54-2821	102	1	19-4708		5	Rplg
76	1	54-302		2	19-5305		6	54-150
77	1	25-2907		3	20-520		7	54-151
78	2 1	Rplg.	103	1	16-1602		8	54-151
10	2	31-3201G, n. 15-5-404		2	33-202	119	1	39-590
	3	15-5-418		3	33-207	110	2	39-590
	4	15-5-419	104	1	18-2507		3	39-590
79	1	32-1402	105	1	54-1752	120	1	63-10
	2	32-1407		2	54-1755		2	63-304
	3	32-1408	100	3	Emer.		3	63-304
	4	32-1409	106	2	39-6101	121	1	11-60
	5	32-1410		3 4	39-6102 39-6104	122	1	39-313
30	1	1-2303		5	39-6105		2	39-313
	2	31-3201A		6	39-6106	123	1	22-10
	3	18-918		7	39-6107	124	1	19-252
81	1	19-2716	111	8	39-6108	125	1	41-210
82	1	54-2103		9	39-6109		2	41-221
83	1	54-2108		10	39-6109A		3	41-321
84	1	54-2101		11	39-6110		4	41-343
85	1	49-2427		12	39-6111		5	41-392
36	1	15-5-301		13	39-6111A		6	41-402
	2	66-404		14	39-6112		7	41-412

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
125	8	41-4703	157	11	67-7101	173	4	Emer.
	9	41-5203		12	67-7105	174	1	67-2803
	10	41-5501		13	67-7114	175	1	28-46-402
126	1	54-2606		14	67-7122	176	1	39-3422
	2	54-2617		15	67-7124	177	1	20-605
127	1	39-4304A		16	67-7126		2	31-3302
128	1	22-3507		17	Emer.		3	31-3501
129	1	22-3506	158	1	59-1352A		4	31-3502
130	1	39-3902	159	1	49-1223		5	31-3503
131	1	33-125A		2	Emer.		6	31-3503A
	2	67-5745D	160	1	33-5205		7	31-3503C —
132	1	33-2728		2	33-5209		0	31-3503F
133	1	54-2087	161	1	67-2343		8	31-3504
134	1	54-2041		2	67-2344		9	31-3505
135	1	54-2050		3	67-2345		10	31-3505B
136	1	45-1505		4	67-2347		11	31-3507
	2	45-1602	162	1	49-416C		12	31-3508
137	1	67-7008A		2	67-4725		13	31-3509
	2	Emer.		3	67-4726		14	31-3510
138	1	67-7112	163	1	63-201		15	31-3511
139	1	72-1025	164	1	67-5333		16	31-3517
140	1	63-205A, n.		2	67-5761		17	31-3518
	2	63-205A		3	Emer.		18	31-3519
	3	Emer.	165	1	Appn.		19	31-3553
141	1	49-401B		2	Emer.		20	67-7903
	2	49-504	166	1	18-4006		21	Legis. Int.
142	1	40-210		2	18-4007	178	1	67-2601
143	1	54-1760	167	1	67-2601	1=0	2	33-2502
	2	54-1761		2	67-4111	179	1, 2	Appn.
	3	54-1762		3	67-4112		3	Legis. Int.
	4	54-1763		4	67-4123		4, 5	Appn.
	5	54-1764		5	67-4124	100	6	Emer.
	6	54-1765		6	33-3901	180	1, 2	Appn.
	7	54 - 1752		7	33-3902		3	Legis. Int.
144	1	59-1351		8	33-3904		4	Appn.
	2	59-1352	168	1	Rplg.	101	5	Emer.
	3	59-1355		2	33-905	181	1, 2	Appn.
145	1	61-541		3	33-1228		3	Legis. Int.
146	1	Rplg.		4	33-3401 —		4	Appn.
147	1	49-1210, n.			33-3414		5	Emer.
148	1	67-5767		5	33-4802	182	1-3	Appn.
149	1	54-3209		6	33-4803		4, 5	Legis. Int.
150	1	63-2425		7	33-4806		6	Appn.
151	1	41-2002		8	67-7304		7	Emer.
152	1	Rplg.	169	1	33-356, n.	183	1, 2	Appn.
	2	54-2701 —		2	33-356		3	Legis. Int.
		54-2708		3	33-1019		4	Appn.
153	1	49-1614		4	33-356, n.		5	Emer.
	2	Emer.		4	33-1019, n.	184	1	18-8002
154	1	20-511	170	1	Other		2	18-8002A
	2	20-520		2	Leg.		3	18-8004A
	3	31-3201C		3-15	Appn.		4	18-8004C
155	1	49-335		16	Emer.		5	18-8005
	2	49-337	171	1	33-402	185	1	33-5303
156	1	18-8308		2	33-515		2	33-5304
157	1	41-2502		3	33-522		3	33-5305
	2	49-102		4	33-601		4	33-5307
	3	49-120		5	33-801		5	33-5310
	4	49-122		6	33-1510		6	57-728
	5	49-123		7	Emer.		7	Emer.
	6	49-302	172	1	39-7003	186	1	36-116
	7	49-402		2	Emer.		2	Emer.
	8	49-426	173	1	39-4106	187	1	36-1304
	9	49-456		2	39-4109	188	1	36-1407
	10	49-948	1	3	39-4116	189	1	56-1011

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
189	2	56-1012	209	1-4	Appn.	226	8	Emer.
	3	56-1013		5	Legis. Int.	227	1	33-317
	4	56-1013A		6	Appn.		2	33-601
	5	56-1014		7	Emer.	228	1	63-3004
	6	56-1015	210	1-4	Appn.		2	Emer.
	7	56-1016		5	Emer.	229	1-5	Appn.
	8	56-1020 —	211	1-3	Appn.		6	Legis. Int.
		56-1022	212	1, 2	Appn.		7	Appn.
	9	56-1023		3	Legis. Int.		8	Emer.
190	1	39-1602		4-6	Appn.	230	1, 2	Appn.
	2	39-1607	0	7	Emer.		3	Legis. Int.
191	1	63-4402	213	1	49-403B		4	Appn.
	2	63-4403		2	65-209		5	Emer.
	3	63-4404	214	1	39-3303	231	1-5	Appn.
	4	63-4405		2	Emer.		6	Legis. Int.
	5	63-4408	215	1	46-601		7	Appn.
192	1	49-1421A	216	1	63-3029		8	Emer.
193	1	59-514		2	Emer.	232	1, 2 •	Appn.
194	1	49-663	217	1	36-115		3	Legis. Int.
	2	Emer.	218	1	50-2904		4	Appn.
195	1	6-2701, 6-2702	219	1	. 39-4113		5	Emer.
	2	6-904B		2	39-4116	233	1, 2	Appn.
196	1	49-402		3	39-8007		3, 4	Legis. Int.
	2	49-420I	220	1	33-317		5	Appn.
	3	Eff.		2	33-317A		6	Emer.
197	1	67-7903	221	1	56-1501 —	234	1-3	Appn.
198	1	49-2902		-	56-1511		4, 5	Legis. Int.
199	1	49-402	222	1	54-3701		6	Other
	2	49-420H		2	54-3702		7	Legis. Int.
	3	Eff.		3	54-3703		8-10	Appn.
200	1	33-5205		4	54-3704		11	Emer.
201	1	36-104		5	54-3705	235	1, 2	Appn.
	2	36-416		6	54-3706	200	3	Legis. Int.
	3	Rplg.		7	54-3707		4, 5	Appn.
	4	36-1104A		8	54-3708		6	Emer.
	5	Legis. Int.		9	54-3709	000		
	6	Emer.		10	54-3710	236	1-8	Appn.
202	1	18-3302H		11	54-3711		9	Legis. Int.
	2	9-340B		12	54-3712		10-12	Appn.
203	1-5	Appn.		13	54-3713	000	13	Emer.
	6	Legis. Int.		14	54-3714	237	1	59-1342
	7, 8	Appn.		15	54-3715		2	59-1346
	9	Legis. Int.		16	54-3716	238	1	72-1306
	10	Appn.		17	54-3717		2	72-1366
204	1-5	Appn.		18	54-3718		3	Eff.
	6	Legis. Int.		19	54-3719	239	1	33-319
	7	Appn.		20	54-3720	240	1	42-1737
	8	Emer.		21	54-3721	241	1-4	Other
205	1, 2	Appn.		22	54-3722	242	1	42-234
200	3	Legis. Int.	223	1	42-1734A, n.		2	Rplg.
	4	Appn.	220	1	42-1734B, n.		3	42-4223
	5	Emer.		2	42-1734A, n.		4	42-5225
206	1-3	Appn.		2	42-1734B, n.		5	43-343
200	4	Legis. Int.		3	42-1734A, n.	243	1-7	Appn.
	5	Appn.		3	42-1734B, n.		8, 9	Legis. Int.
	6	Emer.		4	42-1734A, n.		10	Appn.
207	1-5	Appn.		4	42-1734B, n.		11	Emer.
201	6	Legis. Int.		5	42-1734B, n. 42-1734A, n.	244	1	37-3201
	7	_		5	42-1734B, n.	211	2	54-1704
	8	Appn.	224	1	67-704		3	54-1705
202		Emer.		1-4			4	
208	1	Appn.	225		Other			54-1723A
	2	Legis. Int.	000	5	Sev.		5	54-1729
	3, 4	Appn.	226	1-4	Appn.		6	54-4702
	5	Legis. Int.		5	Legis. Int.	0	7	54-5110
	6	Emer.	1	6, 7	Appn.	245	1, 2	Appn.

245	3							
	0	Legis. Int.	263	16	Emer.	282	1	23-207
	4	Appn.	264	1-8	Appn.		2	23-311
0.40	5	Emer.		9	Legis. Int.	000	3	23-610
246	1-3 4	Appn. Emer.		10 11	Appn. Emer.	283	1	67-6902 33-1006
247	1, 2	Appn.	265	1	5-341	204	2	33-1006 33-1006A
211	3	Legis. Int.	266	1-3	Appn.		3	33-5208
	4	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.		4	Emer.
	5	Emer.		5	Appn.	285	1	33-1004A
248	1, 2	Appn.		6	Emer.		2	Legis. Int.
	3	Legis. Int.	267	1, 2	Appn.	286	1	33-107C
	4, 5	Appn.		3	Legis. Int.	287	1	49-402
249	6 1	Emer.		4 5	Appn.	288	2 1-4	49-428
250	1	Appn. 18-8303	268	1-3	Emer. Appn.	200	5	Appn. Legis. Int.
200	2	18-8304	200	4	Legis. Int.		6	Appn.
	3	18-8308		5	Appn.		7	Emer.
251	1	Rplg.		6	Emer.	289	1-3	Appn.
	2	54-5401 —	269	1, 2	Appn.	290	1, 2	Appn.
		54-5409		3	Legis. Int.		3	Legis. Int.
0.50	3	67-2601		4-7	Appn.		4, 5	Appn.
252	1	72-1502		8	Legis. Int.		6	Emer.
	2 3	72-1506 72-1502, n.		9, 10	Appn.	291	1, 2	Appn.
	3	72-1502, n. 72-1506, n.	970	11	Emer.		3	Legis. Int.
253	1-3	Appn.	270	1-3 4	Appn. Legis. Int.		4 5	Appn. Emer.
	4	Legis. Int.		5	33-1004E	292	1, 2	Appn.
	5	Appn.	271	1-7	Appn.	202	3	Legis. Int.
	6	Emer.		8	33-1004E		4	Appn.
254	1, 2	Appn.	272	18	Appn.		5	Emer.
255	1-4	Appn.		9	33-1004E	293	1-4	Appn.
	5	Legis. Int.	273	1-5	Appn.		5	Legis. Int.
	6 7	Appn.		6	Legis. Int.		6, 7	Appn.
256	1-5	Emer. Appn.		7-10	Appn.	004	8	Emer.
200	6	Legis. Int.		11	Other	294	1	Legis. Int.
	7	Appn.	274	12-14 1-3	Appn.		2 3	Rplg. Emer.
	8	Emer.	275	1-3	Appn. Legis. Int.	295	1	39-1101
257	1-9	Appn.	210	4	Appn.	250	2	39-1101
	10	Legis. Int.		5	Legis. Int.		3	39-1103
	11	Appn.		6-10	Appn.		4	39-1104
050	12	Emer.		11	Legis. Int.		5	39-1105
258	1-8	Appn.		12	Appn.		6	39-1106
	9 10	Legis. Int. Appn.		13	Legis. Int.		7	39-1107
	11	Emer.		14	Appn.		8	39-1108
259	1-6	Appn.		15	Legis. Int.		9	39-1109
	7	Legis. Int.		16-20	Appn.		10	39-1110
	8	Appn.	0.50	21	Emer.		11	39-1111
	9	Emer.	276	1	49-2805A		12	39-1112A
260	1-10	Appn.		2 3	49-2806		13 14	39-1113
	11	Legis. Int.		4	49-2811 Eff.		15	39-1114 39-1115
	12 13	Appn. Emer.	277	1	65-101		16	39-1116
261	1-6	Appn.	2	2	65-602		17	39-1117
201	7	Legis. Int.	278	1	67-2323		18	39-1118
	8-10	Appn.	279	1	39-4109		19	39-1120
	11	Emer.		2	39-4116		20	Eff.
262	1-8	Appn.	280	1	54-5003	296	1, 2	Appn.
	9	Legis. Int.	281	1	39-7102		3	Legis. Int.
	10	Appn.		2	39-7103		4	Appn.
0.00	11	Emer.		3	39-7104	06=	5	Emer.
263	1-10	Appn.		4	39-7109	297	1-4	Appn.
	11 12-15	Legis. Int. Appn.		5 6	39-7111 39-7112		5 6	Legis. Int. Appn.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
297	7	Emer.	316	1-3	Appn.	332	5	41-4910
298	1-3	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.		6	Legis. Int.
	4	Legis. Int.		5	Appn.		7	Emer.
	5	Appn.		6	Emer.	333	1	Legis. Int.
	6	Emer.	317	1, 2	Appn.		2	40-701
299	1-4	Appn.		3	Legis. Int.		3	41-4910
	5	Legis. Int.		4	Appn.		4	63-2412
	6	Appn.		5	Emer.		5	67-2914
	7	Emer.	318	1, 2	Appn.		6	Sev
300	1	72-1367A		3	Legis. Int.		7	Emer.
	2	Emer.		4	Appn.	334	1	Appn.
301	1, 2	Appn.		5	Emer.	335	1-5	Appn
	3	Legis. Int.	319	1-3	Appn.		6	Emer
	4	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.	336	1	49-432
	5	Emer.		5, 6	Appn.	337	1, 2	Appn
302	1, 2	Appn.		7	Emer.		3	Legis. Int.
	3	Legis. Int.	320	1, 2	Appn.		4	Appn
	4	Appn.		3, 4	Legis. Int.		5	Emer.
	5	Emer.		5	Appn.	338	1, 2	Appn
303	1, 2	Appn.		6	Emer.		3	Legis. Int.
	3	Legis. Int.	321	1, 2	Appn.		4	Appn
	4, 5	Appn.		3	Legis. Int.		5	Emer.
	6	Emer.		4	Appn.	339	1	Appn
304	1, 2	Appn.		5	Emer.	000	2	Legis. Int.
JO 1	3	Legis. Int.	322	1, 2	Appn.		3	Appn
	4	Appn.	022	3	Legis. Int.		4	Emer.
	5	Emer.		4	Appn.	340	1	33-1004
305	1-3	Appn.		5	Emer.	340	2	33-1619
300	4		323	1-4	Appn.		3	33-1019, n.
	5	Legis. Int.	323	5			4	
		Appn.			Legis. Int.			Rplg.
200	6	Emer.		6	Appn.		5	33-1004
306	1, 2	Appn.	004	7	Emer.	0.41	6	Eff.
	3, 4	Legis. Int.	324	1-6	Appn.	341	1	Appn.
	5	Appn.		7	Legis. Int.		2	21-805
	6	Emer.		8, 9	Appn.		3	21-806
307	1, 2	Appn.		10	Emer.		4	22-2721
	3	Legis. Int.	325	1-6	Appn.		5	22-2725
	4	Appn.		7	Emer.		6	22-4301
	5	Emer.	326	1	Appn.		7	23-917
308	1, 2	Appn.	327	1, 2	Appn.		8	23-918
	3	Legis. Int.		3	Legis. Int.		9	23-919
	4	Appn.		4	Appn.		10	27-107
	5	Emer.		5	Emer.		11	27-111
309	1, 2	Appn.	328	1, 2	Appn.		12	31-402
	3	Legis. Int.		3	Legis. Int.		13	31-403
	4	Appn.		4	Appn.		14	31-407
	5	Emer.		5	Emer.		15	31-408
310	1	Appn.	329	1	33-3717B		16	31-809A
110	2	Legis. Int.	020	2	Emer.		17	31-1406
	3		330	1	49-415G		18	31-1410
		Appn.	330	2			19	
011	4	Emer.	001		49-434	1		31-4306
311	1, 2	Appn.	331	1	Legis. Int.		20	31-4323
312	1	72-802		2	49-202		21	31-4325
313	1, 2	Appn.		3	49-306		22	31-4510
	3	Legis. Int.		4	49-328		23	31-4701
	4	Appn.		5	49-523		24	33-308
	5	Emer.		6	49-1224		25	33-311
314	1	36-106		7	49-1607		26	33-312
	2	36-408		8	49-2444		27	33-313
	3	Emer.		9	Eff.		28	33-317
315	1, 2	Appn.	332	1	63-2407		29	33-351
	3	Legis. Int.		2	63-2412		30	33-354
	4	Appn.		3	63-2418		31	33-401
		PP	1	-			32	

Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
50-612	123	341	40-1409	78	341	Rplg.	33	341
50-707H	124		40-1416	79		33-404	34	
50-803	125		40-1418	80		33-405	35	
50-806	126		40-1506	81		Rplg.	36	
50-1026	127		40-1507	82		33-501	37	
50-103	128		40-1508	83		Rplg.	38	
50-210	129		40-1511	84		33-502B	39	
50-210	130		40-1519	85		33-503	40	
50-210	131		40-1605	86		33-503A	41	
50-210'	132		40-1606	87		33-504	42	
50-2114	133		40-1607	88		33-505	43	
50-220	134		40-1624	89		33-601	44	
50-2202	135		40-1625	90		33-802	45	
50-220	136		40-1626	91		33-803	46	
50-2204	137		40-1630	92		33-804	47	
50-230	138		40-1702	93		33-1103	48	
50-2303	139		40-1714	94		33-1510	49	
50-2308	140		40-1805	95		33-2106	50	
63-310	141		40-1806	96		33-2111	51	
63-80	142		40-1808	97		33-2715	52	
63-8020	143		40-1809	98		33-2716	53	
63-1309	144		40-1810	99		33-2718	54	
63-3638	145		42-3211	100		34-106	55	
63-3641, n	146		Rplg.	101		34-304	56	
63-410	147		50-402	102		34-601	57	
67-490'	148		50-403	103		34-602	58	
67-491	149		Rplg.	104		34-1401	59	
67-492	150		50-404	105		34-1404	60	
67-492	151		Rplg.	106		34-1405	61	
67-492	152		50-405	107		34-1406	62	
67-4929	153		50-406	108		34-1411	63	
67-4930	154		50-407	109		34-2301	64	
70-1210	155		50-410	110		39-1324	65	
70-121	156		50-411	111		39-1325A	66	
70-121	157		Rplg.	112		39-1330	67	
70-121	158		50-412	113		39-1339	68	
70-1213	159		50-413	114		39-1340	69	
70-1220 Sev	160		50-414	115		39-1341	70	
Eff	161		50-415	116		40-206	71	
Othe	1-3	342	50-416	117		40-819	72	
67-510, n			50-417	118		40-1101	73	
	1	343	50-418	119		40-1304	74	
Emer	2	244	Rplg.	120		40-1305	75 76	
67-743	1	344	50-419	121		40-1305A	76	
63-2520	2		50-420	122		Rplg.	77	

Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1-4	Appn.
	5	Emer.
2	1	Appn.
	2	Emer.
3	1	Rplg.
	2	Rplg.
	3	Other
	4	Emer.
4	1	Appn.
	2	Emer.
5	1	63-3022H
	2	63-3029E

Herein	Section	Ch.
Emer.	3	5
Appn.	1	6
Emer.	2	
Appn.	1	7
Emer.	2	
Appn.	1	8
Emer.	2	
Appn.	1, 2	9
Emer.	3	
63-3037	1	10
63-3021	1	11
Emer.	2	

Ch.	Section	Herein
12	1	Appn.
	2	Emer.
13	1, 2	Appn.
	3	Emer.
14	1	63-2401
	2	63-2412
	3	63-2418
	4	Emer.
15	1	14-113
	2	14-517
	3	14-522
	4	14-533

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
16	1	49-110	46	1	39-9001	79	21	54-5501
	2	49-305			39-9004		22	54-5502
	3	49-306		2	67-1401		23	54-5503
	4	49-307	47	1, 2	Appn.		24	54-5504
17	1, 2	Appn.	48	1	22-3404		25	54-5505
	3	Emer.	49	1	49-104		26	54-5506
18	1	Appn.		2	49-240		27	54-5507
	2	Emer.		3	49-335		28	54-5508
19	1	23-207		4	49-1416		29	54-5509
	2	23-311	50	1	36-404		30	54-5510
	3	23-610		2	36-406		31	54-5511
20	1	67-5201		3	36-407		32	54-5512
	2	67-5204	51	1	36-412A		33	54-5513
	3	67-5205	52	1	36-411		34	56-1011
	4	67-5226	53	1	36-202		35	57-202
21	1	67-5203	54	1	33-212		36	67-2601
22	1	67-6607	55	1	25-3514		37	Other
	2	67-6619	56	1	56-1054	80	1	67-5309
	3	67-6623		2	Other	81	1	63-3622FF
	4	Emer.	57	1	54-1403		2	Emer
23	1	39-103	58	1	Rplg.	82	1	36-201
24	1	39-416	59	1	Rplg.	83	1	36-502
2 4 25			60	1	Rplg.	84	î	39-1301
	1	39-3627	61	1	58-307	0.	2	39-13010
26	1	16-1512	62	1	31-871	85	1	36-603
	2	16-1625	63	1	54-1718	86	1	41-1037
	3	16-2014	64	1	54-1734	00	2	41-1038
27	1	3-405	65	î	54-1508		3	41-1039
28	1	18-2505	66	1	38-111		4	41-1039A
	2	18-2506	67	î	47-201		5	41-10397
29	1	12-117	68	î	15-1-501		6	19-2918
	2	Emer.	00	2	Emer.	07		
30	1	Rplg.	69	1	33-3726	87	1	23-1307
31	1	Rplg.	70	1, 2	Appn.	88	1	54-1806
	2	41-901 —	71	1	67-7027	89	1	54-1803
		41-917	72	1	67-5334		2	54-1807
	3	Emer.	12	2	Emer.		3	54-1807A
32	1	41-6001 —	73	1-3	Appn.	90	1	55-819
		41-6008	74	1-3	Appn.		2	56-228
	2	41-6008, n.	14	4	Legis. Int.	91	1	38-1209
	3	Emer.	75	1, 2	Appn.	92	1	36-410
	4	Other	76	1, 2	Appn.	93	1	36-1202
33	1	67-3004	10	3		94	1	36-416
34	1	11-101	77	1	Legis, Int. 33-3717B	95	1	Rplg
35	1	Rplg.	78	1		96	1	41-2710
	2	Rplg.	10	2	39-250 39-267		2	41-401
	3	50-2207		3		1	3	41-4111
36	1	49-502	70		39-278	97	1	18-3302
37	1	63-3006C	79	1	6-1904	98	1	49-509
	2	63-3022L		2	18-8308	99	1	39-7208
	3	63-3036B	-	4	22-103		2	39-7418
	4	Eff.		5	23-207	100	1	22-5102
90				6	23-311	100	2	22-5103
38	1	36-2110		7	23-610			22-5104
39	1	36-2109		8	33-109		3	
40	1	3-409		9	33-2406		4	22-5121
	2	Eff.		10	33-5205		5	22-5125
41	1	45-810		11	Rplg.	101	1	59-1354A
42	1	33-601		12	Rplg.	102	1	36-409
	2	Eff.		13	37-2744B	103	1	54-2107
43	1	54-1142		14	39-4109	104	1	54-2118
44	1	63-3022		15	39-4116		2	9-3400
	2	63-3029G		16	45-1302	105	1	54-2103
	3	63-4403		17	49-420I		2	54-2104
	4	Emer.		18	50-334		3	54-2115
45	1	67-5221		19	54-1761	106	1	54-3714

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
106	2	54-3719	137	1	31-3205	171	7	49-1807
	3	Rplg.	138	1	6-2801, 6-2802		8	49-1807A
107	1	54-2212		2	49-623		9	49-1808
108	1	63-3026A		3	56-1014		10	49-1809
100	2	Emer.	120	4	Emer.		11	49-1811
109	1 1	54-2604 54-2630	139 140	1	72-528 49-402		12 13	49-1812
110 111	1	54-2030	140	2	49-420J		14	49-1813 49-1814
111	2	54-1213		3	Eff.		15	49-1815
	3	54-1214	141	1	46-1021		16	49-1816
	4	54-1216		2	46-1022		17	49-1819
	5	54-1220	142	1	43-119	172	1	59-1007
	6	54-1223	143	1	59-1302		2	Emer.
112	1	54-1733	144	1	5-246	173	1	67-5342A
113	1	54-1732	145	1	54-5403	174	1	39-4113
114	1	72-1318A		2	54-5405		2	39-8007
	2	72-1318B		3	54-5406	175	1	67-6519
	3	72-1346		4	54-5408		2	67-6520
	4	72-1349		5	Emer.		3	67-6521
	5	72-1368	146	1	39-7421		4	67-6535
	6	72-1369	147	1	16-1602		5	Emer.
	7	Emer.		2	16-1624	176	1	31-1412
115	1	63-1013		3	16-1629	177	1	49-454
116	1	54-1723A		4	39-1202	178	1	67-450D
	2	54-1724		5	39-1211A	179	1	Legis. Int.
117	1	37-2705	148	1, 2	Appn.		2	46-1026
	2	37-2707	149	1, 2	Appn.		3	46-1027
	3	37-2709	150	1-3	Appn.	100	4	Emer.
	4	37-2711	151	4	Emer.	180	1	33-519
	5	37-2713	151	1-4	Appn.	181	1	33-131
110	6	37-2732C		5 6	Legis. Int.	182	1 1	59-1302
118	2	18-1502C 37-2701	152		Emer.	183	2	72-1312 72-1351
	3	37-2732	152	1, 2	Appn. Legis. Int.	184	1	Legis. Int.
	4	72-208	153	1-3	Appn.	104	2	Other
119	1	67-4728	154	1	28-9-310		3	Emer.
120	1	67-7008A	155	1	58-1307	185	1	31-1410
	2	Emer.	156	1	54-1105		2	33-503A
121	1	54-3308	157	1	54-2405		3	Other
	2	54-3312	158	1	54-5309		4	33-2715
122	1	54-1015	159	1	67-2608		5	33-2718
123	1	67-2803	160	1	39-4302		6	34-106
124	1	58-1305	161	1	54-3202		7	34-731
125	1	33-512C	101	2	54-3206		8	34-732
126	1	41-1848, 41-1849	162	1	34-702A		9	34-1401
	2	41-3444		2	Emer.		10	34-1404
127	1	18-611	163	1	54-309		11	34-1408
100	2	Sev.	164	1	41-1220		12	34-1410
128	1	33-107	165	1	67-2601A		13	40-1305
129	1	40-701, 41-4910, 63-2412	166	1	39-8005		14	42-3207
130	1	54-2105		2	39-8007		15	42-3211 Other
131	1	41-2653		3	39-8008		16 17	Emer.
132	1	49-402		4	39-8010	186	1	56-1401
	2	49-419E	167	1	61-113	100	2	56-1402
	3	Eff.		2	Rplg.		3	56-1403
133	1	63-602		3	61-129	_	4	56-1404
	2	63-602NN	168	1	55-2008		5	56-1406
	3	Emer.	169	1	18-1351		6	Rplg.
134	1	39-4805	170	1	28-51-105		7	56-1401 —
	2	Other	171	1	49-106			56-1406
135	1	19-2719		3	49-1802	1 -	8	Legis. Int.
	2	19-4901		4	49-1803		9	Other
	3	19-4902		5	49-1803A		10	Eff.
	1	72-1026	1	6	49-1804	1	11	Rplg.

								 Herein
187	1	41-6002	212	3	54-2026	230	1-5	Appn.
	2	Emer.	040	4	54-2033		6	Emer.
100	3	Other	213	1	54-2011	231	1, 2	Appn.
188	1-3	Appn.		2 3	54-2016	000	3	Emer.
189	1-3 1-8	Appn.		4	54-2027 54-2036	232	1, 2	Appn. Emer.
190	9	Appn. Emer.		5	54-2093	233	1-4	
191	1	33-1303	214	1	55-1801	200	5	Appn. Emer.
101	2	33-1304	214	2	55-1802	234	1-14	Appn
	3	33-3402		3	55-1803	201	15	Legis. Int.
	4	33-3407		4	55-1804		16	Appn
	5	33-3408		5	55-1804A		17	33-1004E
	6	33-3411		6	55-1805		18-24	Appn
192	1	67-1212		7	55-1806		25	Legis. Int
	2	Emer.		8	55-1807		26-31	Appn
193	1-4	Appn.		9	55-1808		32	33-1004A
	5, 6	Legis. Int.		10	55-1809		33	33-1006
	7	Emer.		11	55-1810		34-36	Appn
194	1-4	Appn.		12	55-1811		37	Emer
- 11	5	Emer.		13	55-1812	235	1	5-334
195	1-5	Appn.		14	55-1813		2	9-205
100	6	Emer.		15	55-1814		3	9-3400
196	1-3	Appn.		16	55-1815		4	10-1204
	4	Emer.		17	55-1817		5	15-5-40
197	1	40-1305		18	55-1818		6	15-5-407
100	2	Eff.		19 20	55-1819		7	18-6101 20-225
198	1-4 5	Other Sev.		21	55-1819A 55-1820		8 9	20-22
199	1-4	Appn.		22	55-1821		10	32-106
199	5	Emer.		23	55-1822		11	33-124
200	1-5	Appn.		24	55-1823		12	33-403A
	6	Emer.	215	1	33-308		13	33-1002
201	1-7	Appn.	210	2	Eff.		14	33-1007
201	8	Emer.	216	1	16-1619	0	15	33-1404
202	1	14-501	217	1	54-2013		16	33-2001
	2	14-518		2	54-2018		17	33-4407
	3	14-523		3	54-2023		18	33-4604
	4	14-532		4	54-2055		19	34-1108
	5	14-534	218	1	67-2310		20	34-242
	6	63-3077E	219	1	41-253		21	38-160
	7	Eff.	220	1	33-604		22'	39-1202
203	1-4	Appn.		2	33-605		23	39-1204
	5	Legis. Int.	001	3	33-1102		24	39-130
	6	Emer.	221	1	49-1603		25	39-1402
204	1-6	Appn.	222	1 1	9-340D		26	39-4602
	7	Emer.	223	2	11-605 Emer.		27	39-4604
205	1	1-1623	224	1	72-1504		28	39-4803
	2	1-1625	225	1	9-340C		29	39-5008
	3	31-3201H	220	2	19-5801 —		30	39-5102
	4	Emer.		2	19-5804		31	40-133
206	1-7	Appn.		3	49-306		32	41-2139
	8	Emer.		4	49-315		33	41-2203
207	1-3	Appn.		5	49-401B		34	41-3436
	4	Emer.		6	49-504		35	49-114
208	1	31-3908		7	49-2444		36	50-460
	2	Emer.		8	Eff.		37	54-903
209	1-3	Appn.	226	1-7	Appn.		38	54-935
	4	Emer.	0.5	8	Emer.		39	54-1841
210	1-3	Appn.	227	1-4	Appn.		40	54-340
244	4	Emer.	000	5	Emer.		41	56-10
211	1-7	Appn.	228	1-4	Appn.		42	56-108
010	8	Emer.	000	5	Emer.		43	56-113
212	$\frac{1}{2}$	54-2012 54-2015	229	1-3 4	Appn. Emer.		44 45	56-203 56-218A

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
235	46	56-255	254	2	63-602E	273	4	31-3503A
	48	56-701		3	Emer.		5	31-3503C
	49	56-701A	255	1	67-5243		6	31-3503E
	50	56-702		2	67-5245		7	31-3504
	51	56-703		3 4	67-5246		8	31-3505
	52	56-707		5	67-5248		9	31-3505A
	53 54	56-802 56-1004A	256	1	67-5273 50-1301		10 11	31-3505B 31-3505C
	55	63-701	200	2	50-1301		12	31-3505D
	56	66-329		3	55-1701		13	31-3505E
	57	66-401		4	55-1702		14	31-3505F
	58	66-402		5	55-1703		15	31-3505G
	59	66-408		6	55-1704		16	31-3507
	60	67-2319		7	55-1705		17	31-3508
	61	67-6530		8	Rplg.		18	31-3509
	62	67-6531		9	Rplg.		19	31-3510
	63	67-6532		10	55-1708		20	31-3510A
	64	67-6901		11	55-1907		21	31-3511
	65	67-6902	257	1	54-506		22	31-3512
	66	67-6903		2	54-507		23	31-3513
	67	68-1301		3	54-521		24	31-3514
	68	68-1405	258	1	49-401B		25	31-3515
	69	71-241		2	49-504		26	31-3515A
	70	72-430	0.50	3	Emer.		27	31-3517
	71	72-1316A	259	1	49-959		28	31-3518
	72	73-114	260	1 1	49-2203		29 30	31-3519
236	73 1	73-114A 32-1701 —	261	2	18-3318 18-3319A		31	31-3520 31-3521
200	1	32-1701 —	262	1	49-451	274	1	63-3029A
	2	15-5-207	263	1	Legis. Int.	214	2	Emer.
	3	15-5-213	200	2	33-357	275	1	Legis. Int.
237	1	18-3302		3	Eff.	1	2	33-1620
238	1	41-1941	264	1	59-501		3	33-1621
239	1	6-2801, 6-2802	265	1-5	Appn.		4	33-1622
240	1	33-3724		6	Emer.		5	33-1623
	2	33-3725	266	1-4	Appn.		6	33-1624
241	1-4	Appn.		5, 6	Legis. Int.		7	Other
	5	Emer.		7	Emer.	276	1	72-1336A
242	1-5	Appn.	267	1	66-356		2	Emer.
0.40	6	Emer.		2	67-3003	277	1	54-1770
243	1 2	49-2803 49-2805A	268	1	Appn.	278	1	33-206
244	1	Other		2	Other	279	1	22-2716
244	2	Legis. Int.		3-10	Appn.		2	22-2717
	3	18-3315A		11, 12	Legis. Int.		3	22-2718
	4	Sev.		13	Other		4	22-2719
245	1	9-340C		14	Legis. Int.		5	22-2720
	2	36-402		15	Emer.		6, 7	22-2721
	3	36-1510	269	1-3	Appn.		8	22-2723
	4	Sev.		4	Other		9	22-2724
	5	Emer.		5-8	Appn.		10, 11	22-2725
246	1	34-1106		9, 10	Legis. Int.		12	22-2727
	2	34-1113		11, 12	Appn.		13	22-2730
	3	34-1114	050	13	Emer.		14	22-2731
247	1	5-342	270	1	Appn.		15	22-2732
248	1	67-5904		2	Other Legis. Int.		16	22-2733
	2	67-5905	971	3	_		17 18	22-2734
	3	67-5907	271	1, 2	Appn.		18	22-2735 22-5201
240	4 1	72-1333		3 4	Other		20	22-5201
249 250	1	45-1510 7-803		5	Appn. Emer.		20	22-5202
251	1	7-803 41-1839	272	1, 2	Appn.		22	22-5205
252	1	20-408	273	1, 2	31-3501		23	22-5206
253	1	67-6509	210	2	31-3502		24	36-2404
200	1	63-301A		3	01-0002		24	39-3602

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Н	erein Ch.	Section	Herein
279	26	39-6407	296	4		311	6	54-413
	27	39-6609		5		209g	7	54-419
	28	42-3703	207	6 1, 2		mer.	8	54-420
	29 . 30	42-3705 42-3706	297	3		ppn. 312 Other 313	1 1-3	Appn.
	31	42-3707		4, 5		ppn.	4	Other
	32	42-3717		6	Legis		5	Emer.
	33	67-818		7		ppn. 314	1, 2	Appn.
280	1	67-5223		8	E	mer.	3	Other
	2	67-5229	298	1		ppn.	4	Emer.
281	1	63-3067D		2	Legis		1-4	Appn.
000	2	Emer.	200	3, 4		ppn.	5 6, 7	Other
282 283	1 1	33-131 63-301A	299	1, 2 3		oppn. Other	8	Appn. Legis. Int.
400	2, 3	63-802		4	Legis		9	Emer.
	4	Emer.		5		mer. 316	1, 2	Appn.
284	1	16-1633	300	1		ppn.	3	Other
285	1	43-202	301	1-3	A	ppn.	4-7	Appn.
286	1	67-5716		4	C	ther	8	Legis. Int.
	2	67-5728		5-8		ppn.	9	Emer.
	3	Other		9	Legis		1-3	Appn.
287	1	39-411		10		ppn.	4	Other
200	2 1-3	Emer.	302	11 1, 2		mer.	5-8 9-11	Appn.
288	4	Appn. Emer.	302	3		ppn. Other	12	Legis. Int. Emer.
289	1, 2	Appn.		4-7		ppn. 318	1-6	Appn.
200	3	Other		8, 9	Legis	^ ^	7	Emer.
	4	Legis. Int.		10, 11		ppn. 319	1-3	Appn.
	5	Emer.		12		mer.	4	Other
290	1, 2	Appn.	303	1, 2	A	ppn.	5	Emer.
	3	Other		3		Other 320	1-3	Appn.
	4, 5	Legis. Int.		4-7		ppn.	4	Other
	6	Emer.		8	Legis		5, 6	Appn.
291	1, 2	Appn.	204	9		mer.	7	Legis. Int.
	3 4	Other Emer.	304	1, 2		other 321	8 1	Emer.
292	1, 2	Appn.		4-7		ppn.	2	Appn. Other
202	3	Emer.		8	Legis		3, 4	Appn.
293	1	40-102		9		mer. 322	1-4	Appn.
	2	40-103	305	1-3		ppn.	5	Other
	3	40-104		4		Other	6	Emer.
	4	40-105		5-8	A	ppn. 323	1, 2	Appn.
	5	40-107		9	Legis	. Int.	3	Emer.
	6	40-108		10		mer. 324	1, 2	Appn.
	7	40-113	306	1, 2		ppn.	3	Emer.
	8	40-116		3		Other 325	1-4	Appn.
	9	40-117		4-6 7		ppn. Int. 326	5 1, 2	Emer. 33-804
	10 11	40-119 40-120		8, 9	Legis	ppn.	3	33-1004
	12	40-121		10		mer.	4, 5	Other
	13	40-902	307	1		ppn.	6	Emer.
	14	40-904	001	2		Other 327	1	Appn.
	15	40-905		3, 4		ppn.	2	Emer.
	16	Emer.		5		Other 328	1	Other
294	1	33-1620	308	1		ppn.	2	Appn.
295	1	33-5305	309	1		207A	3	Legis. Int.
	2	33-5306	310	1		0-416	4-7	Appn.
	3	33-5308		2		Other 329	1	49-1602
	4	33-5308A	011	3		lmer.	2	49-1637
	5	33-5309	311	1		1-402	3	Eff. 49-432
206	6	Emer.		2 3		I-406 330 I-408 331	1 1	18-8005
296	$\frac{1}{2}$	39-5606 56-102		4		I-408 331 I-410 332	1	34-1002
	3	56-102		5		1-411	2	34-1002

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
333	1-7	Appn.	342	4	22-1910A	352	7	18-8304
	8-11	Legis. Int.	343	1	22-4902		8	18-8314
	12	Emer.		2	22-4909A		9	19-401
334	1, 2	Appn.	344	1	5-337		10	20-509
	3, 4	Other	345	1	67-5711C	353	1	54-1821
	5	Appn.		2	Emer.	354	1	Legis. Int.
	6, 7	Legis. Int.	346	1	54-1721		2	63-3029A
	8	Appn.	347	1	39-5601		3	Rplg.
	9	Legis. Int.		2	39-5602		4	63-3029A
335	1	59-904		3	39-5603		5	Eff.
	2	Emer.		4	39-5606	355	1	39-252
336	1	39-4803	348	1	54-1761	000	2	34-622
	2	39-4804		2	54-1762		3	31-2810
337	1	31-1408		3	54-1763	356	1	42-1780
338	1-3	Appn.		4	Emer.	330	2	42-1780 Emer.
	4, 5	Legis. Int.	349	1	5-311	357	1	33-125A
	6	Emer.		2	5-327	357	2	67-5745D
339	1-4	Appn.	350	1	19-2601			
	5	Legis. Int.		2	19-2604		3	67-5745E
	6	Emer.	351	1	20-111	0.00	4	Emer.
340	1, 2	Appn.		2	20-209B	358	1-3	Appn.
	3	Other		3	20-241A		4	Other
	4	Emer.		4	Emer.		5	Legis. Int.
341	1, 2	Appn.	352	1	18-6101		6, 7	Appn.
	3	Other		2	18-6107		8	Legis. Int.
	4	Emer.		3	18-6108		9	Emer.
342	1	22-1904		4	18-609A	359	1	37-1101
	2	22-1908		5	18-609G		2	Emer.
	3	22-1910		6	18-8303			

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	63-3004	11	4	31-1410A	13	2	67-3502
	2	63-3022O		5	33-502	14	1	19-4708
	3	Emer.		6	33-503A	15	1	18-8002
2	1	63-2502		7	Rplg.		2	18-8002A
	2	63-2513		8	Rplg.		3	Emer.
	3	63-2551		9	33-2722	16	1	54-1007
3	1	63-3022L		10	34-102		2	54-1013
	2	63-3026A		11	34-106	17	1	49-624
	3	63-3036B		12	34-717	18	1	63-3621
	4	Emer.		13	34-902	19	1	31-1423
4	1	63-2430		14	34-1003	20	1	54-5004
5	1	63-1705		15	34-1401	21	1	67-450B
6	1	41-4903		16	34-1404		2	67-450D
	2	63-2404		17	34-1405A	22	1	49-402
	3	63-2411		18	34-1406		2	49-420K
	4	63-2423		19	34-1407		3	Eff.
	5	63-2424		20	34-1410	23	1	54-1006
	6	63-2429		21	34-1412	24	1	39-8610
	7	63-2431		22	39-1330	25	1	1-612
	8	63-2436		23	40-1304	26	1	5-508
7	1	20-518		24	42-3211	27	1	18-6101
8	1	19-869		25	50-1026		2	18-8304
9	1	18-915		26	67-4911		3	19-401
10	1	63-4502		27	Emer.	28	1	19-510A
	2	Emer.	12	1	67-1607		2	20-209C
11	1	Appn.		2	67-1608	29	1	20-209
	2	22-2721		3	Rplg.	30	1	67-5303
	3	22-4301	13	1	1-2102	31	1	Legis. Int.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
31	2	44-2013	58	3	Emer.	88	4	36-416
	3	Sev.	59	1	67-5732A	89	1	67-6502
32	1	44-2012	60	1	49-105		2	67-6508
	2	44-2013		2	49-301		3	67-6511
33	1	32-1215		3	49-306		4	67-6512
34	1	1-505		4	49-317	90	1	Rplg
35	1	68-106A		5	49-321	91	1	54-802
36	1	15-13-101 —		6	49-322		2	54-808
		15-13-106		7	49-2444	92	1	54-4206
		15-13-201 —		8	Eff.	93	1	54-2115A
		15-13-209	61	1	47-1601	94	1	72-1351
		15-13-301, 15-13-302	62	1	47-1605	95	1	22-103
		15-13-401 —	63	1	47-1604	96	1	33-513
		15-13-403	64	1	47-1608		2	33-514
		15-13-501 —	65	1	72-1347B		3	33-514A
		15-13-504	66	1	49-418		4	33-515
	2	Rplg.	67	1	19-869		5	33-515A
	3	Rplg.		2	Emer.		6	33-515E
	4	Rplg.	68	1-3	oth		7	33-516
37	2	70-2201		4	67-2914		8	33-521
	3	70-2202		5	oth		9	33-522
	4	70-2203	69	1	15-3-715		10	33-523
	5	70-2204		2	15-5-424		11	33-524
	6	70-2205	70	1	39-604		12	33-1003
	7	70-2206		2	Emer.		13	Rplg
	8	70-2210	71	1	49-117		14	33-1004H
	9	70-2211	72	1	49-411		15	33-1271
	10	70-2213		2	49-432		16	33-1271A
38	1	39-4403		3	49-1627		17	33-1272
39	1	Rplg.	73	1	20-225	0.1	18	33-1273
	2	33-3717A	74	1	19-2516		19	33-1273A
	3	33-2101A	75	1	54-4703		20	33-1274
	4	Emer.		2	54-4705		21	33-1274A
40	1	33-1271		3	54-4707		22	33-1275
41	1	39-8811	ļ	4	54-4708		23	33-1276
	2	Emer.		5	54-4708A		24	33-402
42	1	72-205		6	54-4710		25	Sev
43	1	33-3407		7	54-4711		26	Emer
	2	59-1374		8	54-4712	97	1	33-10041
	3	Emer.		9	54-4713		2	33-1004I, n
44	1	39-3627	76	1	54-2918		3	Sev
45	1	63-3033		2	54-2927		4	Eff
	2	63-3072	77	1	54-4204	98	1	67-5309
	3	Emer.	78	1	54-2105	99	1	67-4703
46	1	37-2705	79	1	54-2115	100	1-3	59-1302
	2	Emer.	80	1	54-2104		4	Emer
47	1	37-2705	81	1	33-1621	101	1	56-234
	2	Emer.	82	1	72-1312A	101	2	56-234A
48	1	40-513D	02	2	72-1352A		3	56-235A
49	1	33-1218		3	72-1361		4	56-235E
50	1	22-1103	83	1	54-816		5	56-2350
51	1	39-114	84	1	54-4113		6	56-235D
			85	1	63-701		7	56-235E
52	1	46-1103	00			109	1	36-401
53	1	46-112		2	63-706	102	2	56-203
54	1	22-3502		3	63-707		3	
~ ~	2	22-3506		4	63-715 63-716			56-235 56 1004
55	1	25-1122	0.0	5			4	56-1004
	2	25-1145	86	1	Rplg.		5	66-115
	3	25-1146		2	11-204		6	66-116
	4	25-3303		3	Emer.		7	66-118
56	1	Rplg.	87	1	67-8601		8	66-402
57	1	36-1407	88	1	36-404		9	66-501
58	1	21-211		2	36-407		10	66-503
	2	40-707	1	3	36-409	103	1	39-1118

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
104	1	5-245	122	3	41-5905	138	3	Legis. Int.
	2	10-1110		4	41-5906	139	1, 2	Appn
	3	10-1111		5	41-5907	140	3	Legis. Int
	4 5	Sev.		6 7	41-5908	140	1-4	Appn
105	1	Emer. 18-8001		8	41-5909 41-5915	141	5 1	Emer Rplg
106	1	15-2-801		9	41-5916	141	2	67-7431
.00	2	Emer.	123	1	39-3330		3	67-7432
107	1	55-3101 —		2	39-3331		4	67-7433
		55-3103		3	39-3332		5	67-7436
	2	Emer.		4	39-3511	142	1	67-5006
108	1	54-2004	124	1	49-326	143	1	49-524
	2	54-2038	125	1	33-511	144	1	54-1752
	3	54-2058	126	1	39-3701		2	Emer
109	1	36-104	127	1	31-2905	145	1	33-2106
	2 3	36-401	128	1 2	31-878 31-3201D	146	1-3 4	Appn
10	2	36-409 42-3902		3	19-5109	147	1, 2	Legis. Int Appn
110	3	42-3903		4	19-5116	148	1, 2	39-5606
	4	42-3904	129	i	50-1029	149	1	Rplg
	5	42-3905	120	2	50-1030	150	1-3	Appn
	6	42-3908		3	50-1035		4	Emer
	7	42-3911		4	50-1039	151	1	6-543
	8	42-3912	130	1	23-105		2	6-544
	9	42-3913		2	23-203		3	6-701
111	1	67-6205		3	23-1302		5	6-2901
	2	67-6206	101	4	23-1314		6	6-2902
	3	67-6210	131	1, 2	Appn.		7	9-3400
	4 5	72-1346B 72-1350	132	1-3 4	Appn. Emer.		8 9	19-4705 19-5803
	6	72-1350 Sev.	133	1	37-2723		10	21-115
	7	Emer.	100	2	37-2725		11	Rplg
.12	1	72-1367A		3	Emer.		12	31-855
	2	Emer.	134	1	37-2705		13	33-132
.13	1	72-1367		2	37-2707		14	33-312
14	1	67-7024	135	1	37-3201		15	33-402
15	2	37-503		2	54-1705		16	33-510
	3	37-504		3	54-1729		17	33-511
	4	37-505		4	54-1733		18	33-1510
	5	37-506		5	54-1761		19	33-1625
	6	37-507		6	54-4702		20	39-5606
	7	37-509		7	54-5110		21	40-701
	8	37-510	136	1	40-117		22	41-16
	9	37-513		2	40-119		23	41-3345
	10 11	37-515		3 4	40-120 40-124		24 25	49-402
	12	Rplg. Rplg.		5	40-209		26	Rplg 56-113
	13	Rplg.		6	50-1301		28	63-301A
	14	Rplg.		7	50-1301		29	67-2601
116	1	39-3601		8	50-1303	152	1	41-1848
	2	39-3602		9	50-1332	102	2	56-2090
	3	39-3603		10	54-1202		3	Sev
	4	39-3623		11	54-1227	153	1-4	Appn
	5	Emer.		12	54-1234		5	Legis. Int.
117	1	72-1372		13	55-1603		6	Emer
118	1	33-107B		14	55-1605	154	1-6	Appn
119	1-3	Appn.		15	55-1608	155	1-5	Appn
	4	Emer.		16	55-1613	1116	6	Emer
120	1	50-1314		17	55-1902	156	1, 2	Appn
121	1	41-6005		18	55-1904	157	1, 2	Appn
	2	41-6006		19	55-1908	158	1	49-102
122	3	41-6007	107	20	55-1911		2	49-122
	1	41-5903	137	1	14-510		3	67-7101

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
159	2	33-2402	176	3	42-615	196	2	41-4301 —
	3	33-2403		4	42-619			41-4320
	4	33-2404	177	1	42-1207	197	1	6-903
	5 6	33-2406 33-2407	178	$\frac{1}{2}$	20-519A 20-519B	198	2 1	6-926 72-301
	7	33-2407		3	20-519B 20-519C	199	1	44-1301
	8	33-2409		4	20-519D	200	1, 2	Appn.
160	1-5	Appn.	179	1	49-601	201	1-3	Appn.
	6	Emer.	180	1	67-6529C		4	Emer.
161	1-3	Appn.		2	67-6529E	202	1, 2	Appn.
	4	Emer.		3	67-6529H	203	1	Appn.
162	1-3	Appn.	101	4	Emer.	204	1-3	Appn.
163	4 1-3	Emer.	181	$\frac{1}{2}$	22-4204 $22-4205$	205	1-3 4	Appn. Emer.
103	4	Appn. Emer.		3	22-4206	206	1, 2	Appn.
164	1	Rplg.		4	67-2601	207	1, 2	Appn.
	2	Rplg.	182	1	56-209p	208	1-3	Appn.
	3	56-108		2	Legis. Int.		4	Emer.
	4	Rplg.	183	1	41-1212	209	1	67-2303
	5	56-117		2	41-1213	210	1	42-219
	6	56-118		3	41-1214	211	1	19-5501
	7	Rplg.		4	41-1223		2	19-5502
	8 9	56-209g 56-255		5 6	41-1229 Eff.		3 4	19-5506 19-5507
	10	56-257	184	2	55-2001		5	Eff.
	11	56-260	101	3	55-2003	212	1	39-4801
	12	56-261		4	55-2004	213	1	67-1226
	13	56-262		5	55-2005	214	1	67-8716
	14	56-263		6	55-2006	215	1-3	Appn.
	15	56-264		7	55-2007	216	1-3	Appn.
	16	56-265		8	55-2008	217	1, 2	Appn.
	17 18	56-266		9	55-2009 55-2009A	218	1, 2 3	Appn. Legis. Int.
	19	56-1408 56-1504		10 11	55-2009A 55-2009B		4	Appn.
	20	56-1505		12	55-2009C	219	1, 2	Appn.
	21	56-1511		13	55-2009D	220	1-3	Appn.
	22	Rplg.		14	55-2009E		4	Legis. Int.
	23	56-1504,		15	55-2009F		5	Emer.
		56-1505, 56-1511		16	55-2010	221	1	54-507
	24	56-1601 —		17	55-2012	222	1	33-102A
		56-1610		18	55-2013	223	1	20-901 —
	25	Sev.		19	55-2013A		0	20-903
105	26	Eff.		20	55-2014	004	2	Emer.
165	1-5 6	Appn.		21 22	55-2015 55-2016	224	1 2	Legis. Int. 67-4723A
	7-9	Legis. Int. Appn.		23	55-2019	225	1	18-611
	10	Emer.		24	55-2020	226	1	10-011
166	1, 2	Appn.	185	1	39-1339	227	1	25-4001 —
167	1-3	Appn.	186	1	19-5604			25-4014
	4	Emer.	187	1	19-2604		2	25-3801
168	1, 2	Appn.	188	1	18-8502		3	39-104A
	3	Legis. Int.		2	18-8503		4	Emer.
169	1, 2	Appn.	189	1	33-317A	228	1	39-4111
	3	Legis. Int.	190	1-4	Appn.	229	1	22-4502
170	1	42-203A	101	5	Emer.		2	22-4503
171 172	1	42-218a 42-221	191	1-4 5, 6	Appn. Legis. Int.		3 4	22-4504 22-4505
172	$\frac{1}{2}$	42-221	192	5, 6 1-5	Appn.		5	22-4506
173	1	22-5118	102	6	Emer.		6	50-2018
1.0	2	22-5126	193	1	56-227D	230	1	63-3613
174	1	31-3517	194	1	18-4017		2	Emer.
175	1	63-301A	195	1	41-2705	231	1-4	Appn.
176	1	42-605		2	41-2706		5	Emer.
	2	42-608	196	1	Rplg.	232	1	37-401

ı.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
2	2	Emer.	250	3	Legis. Int.	277	2	Emer.
13	1	22-101A		4, 5	Appn.	278	1	63-3621
4	1, 2	Appn.	0.51	6	Legis. Int.	0.50	2	Emer
	3	Legis. Int.	251	1-4	Appn.	279	1	67-6519
-	4-7	Appn.	252	1 2	36-603 36-606	280 281	1 1	67-7903 36-1103
5 6	1, 2 1, 2	Appn.		3	Emer.	282	1	40-2319
7	1, 2	Appn. Appn.	253	1	Appn.	283	1	9-3400
•	5	Legis. Int.	254	1-3	Appn.	200	2	9-348
8	1-4	Appn.	204	4	Legis. Int.		3	59-1101
	5	Emer.		5	Emer.		4	Emer
9	1, 2	Appn.	255	1	23-1031	284	1	65-509
.0	1-3	Appn.		2	23-1326		2	65-50
1	1-3	Appn.	256	1	42-2982		3	65-503A
	4	Emer.	257	1, 2	Appn.		4	65-50
2	1	9-337	258	1	41-5904		5	65-50
	2	9-338	259	1	41-1314		6	65-512
	3	Emer.	260	1	33-2741	285	1	31-87
.3	1	42-108B		2	Eff.		2	34-21
	2	Emer.	261	1	42-3806		3	34-408
4	1	48-107	262	1, 2	Appn.		4	34-502
	2	Emer.	263	1	Rplg.		5	34-624
5	1	9-340D		2	54-1739		6	34-708
	2	9-343		3	Rplg.		7	34-732
	3	Emer.		4	Rplg.	1	8	34-903
6	1	33-1208	004	5	54-1771		9	34-910
	2 3	33-1209	264	1	54-1704		10	34-100
	4	33-1210 33-1211	265	1 2	18-8002 18-8002A		11 12	34-120
7	1	33-1211		3	18-8002A		13	34-1402 34-1413
: 4	2	Rplg.		4	18-8005		14	34-1410
	3	33-357		5	Eff.		15	34-230
	4, 5	33-1002	266	1	18-8329		16	34-2302
	6	33-1002A	267	1	72-523		17	34-2303
	7	33-1004	268	1-4	67-5201, n.		18	34-2304
	8	33-1004A	269	1	56-211		19	34-230
	9	33-1004E	270	2	28-23-101		20	34-2306
	10	33-1004F		3	28-23-102		21	34-230
	11	33-1020		4	28-23-103		22	34-2308
	12	33-1021		5	28-23-104		23	34-2309
	13	33-1022		6	28-23-105		24	34-2313
	14	33-1626		7	28-23-107		25	Emer
	15	33-1627		8	28-23-108	286	1-3	Appn
	16	33-5216		9	28-23-110	287	1-4	Appn
	17	33-125, n.		10	28-23-112	288	1-3	Appn
		33-129, n.		11	28-23-113	289	1, 2	Appn
		33-357, n.		13	28-24-101	290	1-4	Appn
		33-1002, n.		14	28-24-102		5	Legis. Int
		33-1002A, n.		15	28-24-104B	291	1	20-608
		33-1004, n.		16	28-24-105		2	31-3302
		33-1004A, n.		17	28-24-108		3	31-350
		33-1004E, n.	271	1	63-3029B		4	31-3502
		33-1004F, n.		2	Emer.		5	31-3503
		33-1020, n.	272	1-3	Appn.		6	31-3503A
		33-1021, n.		4, 5	Legis. Int.		7	31-3503F
		33-1022, n.		6	Emer.		8	31-3504
		33-1626, n.	273	1-3	Appn.		9	31-350
		33-1627, n.	274	1	39-1107		10	31-3505
		33-5216, n.		2	39-1108		11	31-3505H
	18	Sev.		3	39-1109		12	31-35050
	19	Eff.	275	1	14-523		13	31-3505D
18	1, 2	Appn.		2	14-525		14	31-3505G
.9	1-3 1, 2	Appn.	276	1	54-2512		15	31-3507
0		Appn.	277	1	49-1004	1	16	31-3508

 Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
91	17	31-3508A	302	3	9-349A Ren.	317	9	50-2909
.01	18	31-3509	302	4	9-350 Ren.	317	10	
	19	31-3510		5	40-1306C			Sev.
	20 .	31-3510A		6	65-301	318	11	Emer.
	21	31-3511	303	1	59-105	310	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-3029F, n.
	22	31-3517	304	1	49-1807A			Rplg.
	23	31-3518	"	2	49-1812		3	63-3029F
	24	31-3519	305	1	15-1-501		4	63-4405
	25	31-3520		2	Emer.	210	5	Emer.
	26	31-3558	306	1	54-2508	319	1	Legis. Int.
	27	56-209f		2	Emer.		2	34-308
	28	67-7903	307	1	54-1503		3	34-404
92	1-3	Appn.		2	Rplg.		4	34-406
	4	Legis. Int.		3	Emer.		5	34-411
	5-7	Appn.	308	1	54-706		6	34-411A
	8	Emer.		2	Emer.		7	34-904
93	1	Appn.	309	2	25-3501		8	34-904A
	2	Legis. Int.		3	25-3502		9	34-1002
	3	Appn.	310	1	33-1021		10	34-1003
	4	Legis. Int.		2	33-5208		11	Sev.
	5	Appn.	311	1	18-8302	320	1	67-2803
	6	Legis. Int.		2	18-8303	321	1	Appn.
	7	Emer.		3	18-8304		2	Emer.
94	1, 2	Appn.		4	18-8305	322	1	46-805
	3	Emer.		5	18-8306		2	Emer.
95	1	33-513		6	18-8307	323	1	45-1506
	2	33-515		7	18-8308		2	45-1506C
	3	33-515A		8	Rplg.		3	48-603F
	4	33-1003		9	18-8309		4	Eff.
	5	33-1272		10	18-8310	324	1	18-501 —
	6	33-1273		11	18-8311			18-510
	7	33-1274		12	18-8312		2	Sev.
	8	33-1274A		13	18-8314		3	Emer.
	9	33-1275		14	18-8315	325	1	42-5240
	10	Other		15	18-8316	326	1	49-613
	11	Sev.		16	Rplg.	327	1	49-104
	12	Emer.		17	18-8318		2	49-107
96	1	33-1004I		18	Rplg.		3	49-1613
	2	Other		19	Rplg.		4	49-1626
	3	Emer.		20	Rplg.	328	1	49-1010
97	1-4	Appn.		21	Rplg.	329	1	39-116B
98	1-24	Appn.		22	18-8323	020	2	Emer.
	25	Emer.		23	18-8324	330	1	49-302
99	1	33-1019, n.		24	9-340B	331	1	Other
	2	33-801		25	19-2520G	551	2	Emer.
	3	33-804		26	67-2345	332	1-10	Appn.
	4	Sev.	312	1	62-606	002	11	33-1004E
	5	Emer.		2	62-622			
00	1	33-1002A	313	1	72-803		12-21 22	Appn.
	2	33-1004	314	1, 2	Appn.		23	Legis. Int.
	3	33-1004A	315	1-4	Appn.	222		Appn.
	4	33-1004E		5	Emer.	333	1	Legis. Int.
	5	33-1020	316	1, 2	Appn.		2	Appn.
	6	33-1021		3	Legis. Int.		3	Legis. Int.
	7	33-1626	317	1	50-2006		4-6	Appn.
	8	33-5216		2	50-2007	004	7	Emer.
	9	Other		3	50-2008	334	1	67-5805
	10	Sev.		4	50-2018		2	67-5806
	11	Emer.		5	50-2033		3	67-5807
01	1	54-1804		6	50-2903	007	4	Emer.
02	1	9-349		7	50-2904	335	1	Rplg.
	2	9-349 Ren.		8	50-2905		2	33-1003

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
l	1	33-514	19	20	20-524A	32	2	49-319
	2	Emer.		21	20-525		3	49-2444
	3	33-514, n.		22	20-525A	33	1	34-102
2	1	63-3004		23	20-526		2	34-601
	2	Emer.	1	24	20-528		3	34-713
3 4	1 1	63-3622R 63-501		25 26	20-530 20-531		4 5	Rplg. Rplg.
+	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-501A		27	20-532		6	Rplg.
	3	63-602		28	20-532A		7	Rplg.
5	1	63-117		29	20-533		8	Rplg.
6	1	63-3045		30	20-533A		9	Rplg.
	2	63-3061A		31	20-535		10	Rplg.
	3	Rplg.		32	20-539A		11	Rplg.
	4	63-3045		33	20-542		12	Rplg.
	5	63-3061A		34	20-547		13	34-1205
	6	Eff.		35	20-548		14	34-1208
7	1-3	Appn.		36	20-549		15	Emer.
	4	Emer.	20	1	5-306	34	1	33-5608
8	1	Appn.		2	7-602	35	1	33-2139
	2	Emer.		3	18-4309		2	33-2141
9	1	63-1705		4	18-4907	36	1	39-4106
- 0	2	Emer.		5	19-224		2	54-1908
10	1	63-3022		6	19-227	37	1	33-4104
	2	Emer.		7	19-503	38	1	27-120
11	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn.		8 9	19-512		2 3	39-1332
12	1	Emer. Appn.		10	19-3006 Rplg.		4	40-802 63-810
12	2	Emer.		11	19-3602		5	63-1312
13	1	63-3022A		12	19-3903		6	Emer.
10	2	Emer.		13	19-3904	39	1	39-5702
14	1	63-3022		14	19-4304	00	2	39-5703
	2	63-30220		15	19-4407		3	39-5705
	3	63-3029I		16	32-401		4	39-5706
	4	63-3067		17	32-402		5	39-5708
	5	Emer.		18	35-106		6	39-5710
15	1	33-601		19	38-804		7	39-5713
16	1	33-1002A		20	38-805		8	39-5714
17	1	Legis. Int.		21	39-258		9	39-5715
	2	67-1613		22	48-406		10	39-5717
	3	67-1613A		23	55-702		11	39-5717A
	4	Sev.		24	Rplg.	40	1	63-3029B
10	5	Emer.		25	55-1101	4.	2	Emer.
18	1	19-3945	01	26	55-1103	41	$\frac{1}{2}$	49-401B
19	2	20-501 20-502	21 22	1 1	33-3717B 40-528		3	49-402 67-4223A
	3	20-503	23	1	46-806	42	1	39-8606
	4	20-504	24	1	54-1212	12	2	Emer.
	5	20-504A	2.	2	54-1213	43	1	71-409
	6	20-505		3	54-1219	44	î	33-3723
	7	20-507	25	1	50-1332		$\overline{2}$	Rplg.
	8	20-508	26	1	33-3721		3	Rplg.
	9	20-509	27	1	25-1103		4	39-5902
	10	20-511		2	25-1106		5	39-5903
	11	20-511A	28	1	39-4115		6	39-5904
	12	20-515		2	67-2601A		7	39-5905
	13	20-516	29	1	54-1006		8	39-5906
	14	20-517	30	1	54-3603		9	39-5907
	15	20-518		2	54-3605		10	39-5908
	16	20-520		3	54-3606		11	39-5909
	17	20-521		4	54-3606A		12	39-5910
	18	20-522	31	1	59-1302		13	Rplg.
	19	20-524	32	1	49-313		14	39-5912

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
45	1	32-717D	70	1	33-1004I	95	1-3	Appn
46	1	19-2601		2	Eff.	96	1, 2	Appn.
4.77	2	19-3921	71	$\frac{1}{2}$	47-320	97	1-3	Appn.
17	1 .	63-3622GG	72	1	Emer. 61-114	98	1 2	5-508 Emer
10	1	Emer. 54-4107	12	2	61-114	99	1	49-1807E
18	2	54-4115		3	61-1004	100	1	36-407
19	1	54-5003		4	Emer.	100	2	36-416
0	1	44-2201	73	1	47-318	101	1	36-408
,,	2	44-2202	'	2	47-319	102	1	36-409
51	1	57-113		3	47-325	103	1	49-1234
52	1	33-1006		4	47-326		2	9-3400
	2	Emer.		5	47-328		3	Eff
53	1	54-2601		6	Emer.	104	1	36-1508
54	1	15-5-602	74	1	54-2013	105	1	33-1217
55	1	63-3621	75	1	54-2023	106	1	42-2914
	2	Emer.	76	1	54-2012		2	42-2961
66	1	Appn.		2	54-2093		3	42-2962
	2	Emer.	77	1	22-3301	107	1	8-5070
57	1	34-904		2	22-3302		2	36-1401
	2	Emer.		3	22-3304		3	39-302
58	1	Appn.		4 5	22-3305		4 5	39-303A
	2	Emer. 63-3022O		6	22-3308 22-3309		6	39-304 41-1941
59	2	Emer.		7	22-3310		7	56-234
30	1	67-4725		8	22-3311		8	56-234A
,0	2	67-4726		9	22-3312		9	56-235A
	3	67-4729		10	Rplg.		10	56-235I
	4	67-4730		11	22-3315		11	56-2350
	5	67-4731		12	22-3318		12	56-264
31	1	31-3503	78	1	19-616		13	57-813
	2	31-3503A		2	Rplg.		14	67-821
	3	Emer.		3	Rplg.	108	1	33-3727
32	1	54-1610	79	1	47-330		2	67-2620
33	1	54-1904		2	Rplg.	109	1	19-2608
34	1	49-402		3	Rplg.		2	19-3922
	2	49-420L		4	Emer.		3	20-225
35	1	30-14-102	80	1	47-325		4	31-3201
	2	30-14-202	81	1	3-409	110	1-4	Appn
	3	30-14-302	82	1	Rplg.	111	1	47-318
	4	30-14-402		2	18-2510		2	47-317
	5	30-14-412		3	19-5506		3	47-319
	6	30-14-501		4	32-1410		4	42-23
	7	30-14-502	00	5	Emer.		5	42-3903
	8	30-14-603	83	1	19-2718		6	42-4003
20	9	30-14-611	0.4	2	Emer.	110	7	Emer
66	1	33-1019	84	1 2	19-2715 Emer.	112 113	1	33-5203 23-105
277	2	39-8006A	0.5			113		23-203
37	1	54-3711	85	1 2	19-2716A		2 3	Rplg
38	1	54-3400	0.6	1	Emer. 49-426		4	Rplg
	2 3	54-3401	86 87	1	49-426 54-2014		5	23-207
	4	54-3402 54-3403	88	1	68-514		6	23-21
	5	54-3404	89	1	25-2703		7	23-214
	6	54-3405A	03	2	25-2704		8	23-30
	7	54-3405A		3	25-2705		9	23-304
	8	54-3406		4	Rplg.	1,	10	23-308
	9	54-3407		5	25-2707		11	23-300
	10	54-3408		6	25-2709		12	23-309
	11	54-3410	90	1	41-2511		13	23-400
	12	54-3410A	91	1	54-3504		14	23-40'
	13	54-3412	92	1	54-3914		15	23-607
	14	54-3414	93	1	33-512		16	Rplg
69	1	33-118A	94	1	12-120		17	23-611

Hereir	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
12-117	1	149	Legis. Int.	4	125	23-914	18	113
Emer	2	4.50	Appn.	1-3	126	31-3204	1	114
67-8907	1	150	Appn.	1, 2	127	67-2912	2	115
25-1174 Eff	$\frac{1}{2}$	151	Legis. Int. Appn.	3 4		59-1354 59-1354A	1 2	115
Appn	1-4	152	Appn.	1, 2	128	67-5404	3	
Legis. Int	5, 6	102	Appn.	1-3	129	Emer.	4	
Appn	7		Appn.	1-3	130	Appn.	1-3	116
Emer	8		Appn.	1-3	131	Legis. Int.	4	
Appn	1-4	153	Appn.	1-5	132	25-126	1	117
Emer	5	154	Emer.	6	100	25-127	2	
Appn	1-3 1	154 155	Appn. Appn.	1-4 1-4	133 134	25-128 25-129	3 4	
Appn Legis. Int	2	199	Emer.	5	104	25-130	5	
41-91	1	156	Appn.	1-4	135	25-131	6	
41-914	2		Emer.	5		25-136	7	
41-212	1	157	Appn.	1-3	136	25-141B	8	
41-406	1	158	Appn.	1-4	137	25-141C	9	
31-3201F	1	159	67-5333A	1	138	25-141D	10	
23-940	1	160	67-5333B	2		25-143	11	
23-1025	2		Emer.	3 1	139	25-144 25-145	12	
23-1324 36-408	ა 1	161	54-1404 54-1404	1	140	25-146	13 14	
31-2012	1	162	54-1406A	1	141	25-148	15	
50-420	2	102	54-1401	î	142	25-150	16	
67-6602	3		54-1402	2		25-155	17	
67-6610	4		54-1403	3		25-156	18	
54-1733	1	163	54-1408	4		Rplg.	19	
21-515	1	164	54-1409	5		25-158	20	
72-1352	1	165	54-1410	6		25-160	21	
Emer	2	100	54-1411	7		25-201	22	
19-5118 39-1392	1 1	166 167	54-1417 Eff.	8		25-218 25-232	23 24	
54-421	1	168	43-1106	1	143	25-2612A	25	
33-1004H, n	1	169	43-1118	2	140	67-5303	26	
59-1356	2	200	38-715	1	144	42-1734A, n.	1	118
Appn	1-3	170	38-716	2		42-1734B, n.	1	
Appn	1-4	171	Sev.	3		42-1779, n.	1	
Appn	1-4	172	28-9-102	1	145	43-201A	1	119
Appn	1-3	173	28-9-105	2		42-202	1	120
Appn	1-4	174	28-9-307	3		42-3809	1	121
Emer	5	100	28-9-311	4		43-1122	1	122
Appn	1-3 1-3	175	28-9-316	5 6		43-1123	2 3	
Appn Appn	1-3	176 177	28-9-317 28-9-326	7		43-1124 43-1125	4	
33-4302	1	178	28-9-406	8		43-1126	5	
33-4302A	2	1,0	28-9-408	9		43-1127	6	
34-2409	1	179	28-9-502	10		43-1128	7	
19-4902	1	180	28-9-503	11		43-1129	8	
Emer	2		28-9-507	12		43-1130	9	
37-2705	1	181	28-9-515	13		43-1131	10	
37-2709	2		28-9-516	14		22-2802		123
37-2711	3		28-9-516A	15		22-2803	2	
37-2713	4	100	28-9-518	16		22-2807	3	
56-227F	1	182	Rplg.	17		22-2808		
45-1910 Emer	1 2	183	28-9-521	18 19		22-2809 Ren. 22-2810	5 6	
30-6-108	1	184	28-9-607 28-9-801 —	20		22-2810	7	
53-2-108	2	101	28-9-809	20		22-2812	8	
Emer	3		28-12-103	21		22-2813 Ren.	9	
37-2726	1	185	Eff.	22		22-2814 Ren.	10	
72-1104	1	186	33-5004	1	146	22-2815 Ren.	11	
Eff	2		49-1232	1	147	Appn.	1-3	124
63-3022I	1	187	33-2716	1	148	Appn.	1-3	125

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
187	2	63-3036B	206	4	39-8423	226	3	41-1081
	3	63-3082		5	39-8424		4	41-1082
	4	Emer.		6	39-8425		5	41-1083
188	1	33-5205	207	1	50-3001		6	41-1084
	2	33-5205A			50-3011		7	41-1085
	3	33-5206		2	Sev.		8	41-1086
	4	33-5207	208	1	31-2802		9	41-1087
	5	33-5208		2	31-3412		10	41-1088
	6	33-5209		3	54-1143		11	41-1089
	7	33-5210		4	14-107		12	41-1102
	8	33-5211	209	1	59-901		13	41-1103
	9	33-5213	210	1	33-1209		14	41-1104
	10	33-1619		2	33-1210		15	Sev.
	11	33-5202A	211	1	22-2721		16	Eff.
189	1	33-118		2	34-217	227	1	63-217
190	1	56-255		3	34-411		2	Emer.
	2	56-264		4	34-411A	228	1-3	Appn.
191	1	23-1033		5	34-704		4	Legis. Int.
192	1	63-602W		6	34-903		5	Appn.
	2	63-301A		7	34-1206		6	Emer.
	3	Emer.		8	34-1702	229	1-4	Appn.
193	1	30-1901 —		9	34-1707	230	1-8	Appn.
		30-1907		10	34-2305	231	1	Appn.
194	1	67-5709		11	34-2307		2	Emer.
	2	67-5709, n.		12	34-2412	232	1-3	Appn.
	3	Emer.		13	34-2413		4-6	Legis. Int.
195	1	46-1201, n.		14	34-2424		7	Appn.
	1	46-1202, n.		15	Emer.	233	1	63-3029E
	1	46-1203, n.	212	1	34-439A		2	63-3029EE
	1	46-1204, n.	213	1	67-8803		3	Emer.
	1	46-1205, n.	214	1	63-602G	234	1	46-805
	1	46-1206, n.		2	Emer.		2	Eff.
	1	46-1207, n.	215	1	14-113	235	1-4	Appn.
	1	46-1208, n.		2	14-522		5	Legis. Int.
	1	46-1209, n.		3	14-523		6	Emer.
	1	46-1210, n.		4	15-3-914	236	1-3	Appn.
	1			5	66-503	237	1-3	Appn.
	1	46-1211, n.	216	1	67-4126	238	1-3	Appn.
	2	46-1212, n.	210	2		239	1-3	
		46-1204		3	67-4129C			Appn.
	3	46-1207			67-4131	240	1-3	Appn.
	4	46-1211		4	Rplg.	241	1-4	Appn.
	5	46-1212		5	Rplg.	242	1-4	Appn.
196	1	47-718		6	Rplg.		5	Emer.
197	1	33-1628, n.		7	Rplg.	243	1-6	Appn.
	2	33-1628	217	1	59-1302		7	Emer.
198	1	37-2726		2	Emer.	244	1	Appn.
	2	37-2730A	218	1	42-201	245	1-5	Appn.
199	1	67-2303		2	42-221	246	1-4	Appn.
	2	67-2303A	219	1	67-4712		5	Emer.
200	1	Rplg.		2	67-4713	247	1-12	Appn.
	2	34-439	220	1	33-1022		13	Emer.
	3	Emer.		2	Eff.	248	1-4	Appn.
201	1	36-406	221	1	33-909	249	1-3	Appn.
	2	36-416	222	1	63-3029	250	1-5	Appn.
202	1	63-3022B		2	Emer.		6	Emer.
	2	Emer.	223	1-5	67-5201, n.	251	1	33-1004E
203	1	66-327	224	1	61-215		2	Eff.
204	1	38-1203		2	63-102	252	1	39-116B
201	2	Emer.		3	72-503	253	1	49-402
205	1	67-1903	225	1	19-2522	200	2	49-402D
200			220	2, 3	19-2524	254	1	36-408
200	2	67-1904				1	1	
206	1	39-8420	200	4	Eff.	255		Legis. Int.
	2	39-8421	226	1	41-1003		2	Rplg.
	3	39-8422	I	2	41-1004		3	$R_{\rm I}$

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
255	4	Rplg.	273	1	Appn.	302	11	39-4512A
	5	49-1901		2	Legis. Int.		12	39-4512B
0 11 0	6	Emer.		3-5	Appn.		13	39-4513
256	1	58-302		6 7	Legis. Int.		14	39-4514
257	1 2	8-705 16-1628		8	Appn. Emer.	303	15 1	66-405 37-3303
	3	18-6711A	274	1	42-1203	303	2	37-3303A
	4	18-8409	214	2	42-1204	304	1	39-4432
	5	20-501	275	1-4	Appn.	305	1	39-4509
	6	20-520	2.0	5	Emer.	000	2	39-4513
	7	20-524	276	1-5	Appn.		3	39-4514
	8	32-1301		6	Legis. Int.	306	1	40-1309
	9	33-1406		7	Emer.	307	1	63-1014
	10	39-2611	277	1-3	Appn.	308	1	14-524
	11	39-7504	278	1-3	Appn.	309	1	9-340C
	12	48-702	279	1-3	Appn.		2	9-340F
258	1	54-4313		4	Legis. Int.	310	1	67-5220
259	1	67-7709		5-9	Appn.		2	67-5221
	2	67-7710	280	1	72-901		3	Emer.
260	1	56-227D	281	1-6	Appn.	311	1	39-601
261	1	54-4001 —	000	7	Emer.	0.40	2	39-604
	0	54-4015	282	1-3	Appn.	312	1	44-2007
0.00	2	Eff.	283	1-3	Appn.		2	44-2008
262	$1 \\ 2$	25-3504	284	1, 2	Appn.	313	3 1	67-2809
	3	25-3506 25-3520A		4, 5, 6	Legis. Int.	314	1	39-7114A 41-1850
263	1	22-4002	285	1, 2	Appn. Appn.	314	2	41-1823
200	2	22-4002	286	1-3	Appn.		3	Sev.
	3	22-4005	287	1-3	Appn.	315	1-6	Appn.
264	1	33-2307	288	1-8	Appn.	316	1-6	Appn.
201	2	33-2308	289	1-10	Appn.	317	1-15	Appn.
	3	Rplg.	290	1-7	Appn.		16	Emer.
	4	Rplg.		8	Emer.	318	1	49-2905
	5	Emer.	291	1	67-4702	319	1	66-908
265	1	33-513		2	Emer.	320	1	54-2512
	2	33-514	292	1-3	Appn.	321	1	63-3024
	3	33-515		4	Legis. Int.		2	63-3025
	4	33-1271	293	1-12	Appn.		3	Emer.
	5	33-1272		13	33-1004E	322	1	48-504
	6	Emer.		14-24	Appn.		2	48-510
	7	Eff.		25	Legis. Int.	323	1	40-102
266	1	33-1021		26, 27	Appn.		2	Emer.
	2	33-1626		28	Emer.	324	1	50-3102
	3	33-1627	294	1-3	Appn.		2	50-3103
	4	Emer.	295	1	Appn.		3	50-3104
	5	Eff.	296	1-7	Appn.		4	50-3108
267	1	33-1004F	297	1	Appn.		5	50-3109
	2	33-1004I		2	Emer.		6	50-3119
	3	Emer.	298	1-5	Appn.	325	1	49-105
000	4	Eff.	299	1	Rplg.		2	49-201
268	1	21-401	000	2	33-1625		3	49-202
269	1	18-1505B	300	1	9-340B		4	49-207
	2	18-1507	201	2	Emer.		5	49-208
	3	Rplg.	301	1	49-1401A	206	6	49-654
	4 5	18-8304 19-3004A	302	$\frac{1}{2}$	39-4501 39-4502	326	$\frac{1}{2}$	45-1506 Emer.
	6	19-5506		3	39-4502	327	1	56-1603
	7	33-1208		4	39-4504	021	2	56-1603 Eff.
	8	39-1113		5	39-4504	328	1	29-110
270	1	18-1509A		6	39-4508	329	1	59-502
271	1	18-8304		7	39-4509	330	1	1-2001
2.1	2	18-8310A		8	39-4510	000	2	1-2001 1-2001b
272	1	67-2901		9	39-4511A Ren.		3, 4	1-20015
	2	Emer.		10	39-4511B		5	1-2003

	G 41	TT	CIL	04:	TT*.	- CI	a .:	** .
Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
330	6	1-2004	335	2	67-451A, n.	339	9	Rplg.
	7	1-2004A	336	1	Appn.		10	Rplg.
	8	1-2004B	337	1	Legis. Int.		11	50-2908
	9	1-2008		2-4	Appn.		12	63-802
	10	1-2009		5	Emer.		13	63-803
	11	1-2010	338	1-6	Appn.		14	63-811
	12	1-2012		7	Emer.		15	31-1901
	13	Eff.	339	1	63-1305A		16	Sev.
331	1	33-1019, n.		2	63-1305B		17	Emer.
332	1-4	Appn.		3	50-2908	340	1	33-1002
333	1	63-3077E		4	63-802	010	2	33-1003
	2	Emer.		5	63-803		3	33-1009
334	1, 2	67-6512		6	63-811	341	1	45-805
	3	Emer.		7	31-1901	342	1	36-111
335	1	67-451A		8	Rplg.	042	1	20-111

SESSION LAWS OF 2013

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	63-3004	22	2	63-714	35	3	33-1623, n.
	2	Emer.		3	63-718		3	33-1624, n.
	2	63-3072, n.		4	63-720	36	1	41-1039
2	1	63-3022R		5	Emer.		2	19-2918
	2	63-3022	23	1	23-1319	37	1	Rplg.
	3	Emer.	24	1	63-511	38	1	1-804
3	1	63-3077F	25	1	56-255		2	1-805
4	1	63-3022	26	1	Appn.		3	1-808
	2	63-3022A		2	Emer.		4	Eff.
	3	63-3022B	27	1, 2	Appn.	39	1	49-114
	4	63-3022J		3	Emer.		2	Emer.
	5	63-3072	28	1	37-3201	40	1, 2	63-3029A
	6	Emer.		2	54-1701		3	Emer.
5	1	63-2503		3	54-1702	41	1	31-126
6	1	37-2730A		4	54-1704		2	31-129
7	1	63-205A		5	54-1705		3	31-134
8	1	63-3607A		6	54-1720		4	31-136
9	1	63-3035		7	54-1721		5	Emer.
	2	Eff.		8	54-1723A	42	1	42-605
10	1	23-1008	1	9	54-1726	43	1	42-3908
11	1	Rplg.		10	54-1728	44	1	42-3902
12	1	21-105		11	54-1729		2	42-3905
13	1	54-2305		12	54-1730	45	1	42-203B
14	1	54-3705		13	54-1732	46	1	72-102
15	1	54-3204		14	Rplg.		2	72-205
	2	54-3211		15	54-1761	47	1	54-1807A
16	1	39-173		16	54-4702		2	Emer.
	2	39-174		17	54-5110	48	1	Appn.
17	1	49-445	29	1	Rplg.		2	Emer.
	2	Emer.	30	1	67-5716, n.	49	1	Appn.
18	1	40-1404B		1	67-5728, n.		2	Emer.
19	1	63-2423	31	1	33-2406	50	1, 2	Appn.
	2	63-2424	32	1	33-909		3	Emer.
20	1	63-3638	33	1-3	Appn.	51	1	Appn.
	2	Emer.		4	Emer.		2	Emer.
21	1	63-215	34	1	63-3622FF	52	1	Appn.
	2	63-317		2	63-3621		2	Legis. Int.
	3	63-509	35	1	33-1620		3	Emer.
	4	63-602G		2	33-1621	53	1	67-2754
	5	63-706		3	33-1620, n.	54	1	28-41-201
	6	63-810		3	33-1621, n.		2	28-41-301
22	1	63-713		3	33-1622, n.		3	28-46-301

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
54	4	28-46-302	70	2	36-406	101	1	54-5015
	5	28-46-404		3	36-407	102	1	67-4704
	6	28-46-413		4	36-416	103	1	72-1351B
	7	28-46-508	71	1	36-406		2	72-1369
	8	26-2239		2	36-407		3	72-1603
	9	28-41-107	72	1	Rplg.		4	Eff.
	10	28-41-108		2	33-4301	104	1	Rplg.
	11	28-44-102		3	33-4302		2	Rplg.
	12	28-44-107		4	Rplg.		3	Rplg.
	13	28-46-102		5	Rplg.		4	Rplg.
	14	28-46-402		6	33-4303	105	1	67-8703
	15	45-1604		7	33-4304	106	1	67-4732
	16	48-603		8	Rplg.			67-4736
	17	67-2751		9	Rplg.	107	1	21-101
55	1	26-705		10	33-2101A		2	21-104
	2	Emer.		11	Eff.		3	21-114
56	1	41-2507	73	1	28-4-608	108	1	21-114
57	1	44-2101	74	1	Appn.	109	1	63-60200
	2	44-2101A		2	Emer.		2	Emer.
	3	44-2103	75	1	Appn.	110	1	33-5401
	4	44-2106		2	Emer.		2	33-5402
	5	44-2107	76	1	Appn.		3	33-5403
58	1	63-3045, n.		2	Emer.		4	33-5404
	1	63-3061A, n.	77	1	Appn.		5	33-5409
	2	Eff.		2	Emer.	111	1	67-1610
59	1	38-104B	78	1	49-2905		2	67-1610A
60	1	42-2205	79	1	54-2103		3	67-1611
61	1	43-712	80	1	31-3201H		4	49-420A
62	1	Legis. Int.	81	1	22-2804	112	1	63-3022
	2	6-202	82	1	42-204		2	63-3072
	3	38-107		2	Emer.		3	Emer.
	4	Sev.	83	1	63-3026A	113	1	63-3621
	5	Emer.		2	Emer.		2	Emer.
63	1	50-2007	84	1	49-1014	114	1	39-1394
64	1	26-31-102	85	1	49-402D	115	1	54-1806
	2	26-31-103	86	1	45-805	116	1-3	Appn.
	3	26-31-201	87	1	Appn.	117	1, 2	Appn.
	4	26-31-202		2	Emer.		3	Legis. Int.
	5	26-31-204	88	1	49-402		4	Appn.
	6	26-31-206		2	49-402A	118	1, 2	Appn.
	7	26-31-207		3	49-434		3	Legis. Int.
	8	26-31-208		4	Eff.		4	Appn.
	9	26-31-209	89	1	49-524	119	1, 2	Appn.
	10	26-31-211	90	1	41-406		3	Legis. Int.
	11	Rplg.	00	2	Other		4	Appn.
	12	26-31-301	91	1	25-127	120	1-3	Appn.
	13	26-31-303	31	2	25-131	121	1-3	Appn.
	14	26-31-304	92	1	49-315	122	1-3	Appn.
	15	26-31-305	34	2	49-2444	123	1-3	Appn.
						124	1-3	Appn.
	16	26-31-306	00	3	Eff.	125	1-3	Appn.
	17	26-31-308	93	1	49-1637		4, 5	Legis. Int.
	18	26-31-309	94	1	33-357		6	Appn.
	19	26-31-310	95	1	23-1007	126	1-4	Appn.
	20	26-31-317		2	23-1018		5	Emer.
	21	26-31-320	96	1	49-443	127	1-4	Appn.
65	1	54-1707		2	Emer.		5	Emer.
66	1	2-215	97	1	Rplg.	128	1-6	Appn.
67	1	33-513		2	33-1004H	129	1	49-326
	2	Emer.		3	Emer.	130	1	48-1003A
68	1	Appn.	98	1	33-1002	131	1	18-8307
	2	Legis. Int.		2	33-1021	132	1	34-1012
	3	Emer.	99	1	Appn.		2	34-1013
69	1	36-107		2	Emer.	133	1	43-201
70	1	36-404	100	1-4	Appn.	1	2	43-202

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
133	3	43-208	157	1-3	Appn.	181	18	41-4019
134	1	63-602A	158	1-3	Appn.		19	41-4020
135	1	33-2709	159	1-4	Appn.		20	41-4021
	2 .	33-2717A	160	1-3	Appn.		21	41-4022
	3	34-106		4	Legis. Int.		22	41-4023
	4	34-1002		5	Appn.	182	1	21-515A
	5	34-1703	161	1-3	Appn.	183	1	33-1107
	6	34-1704	162	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn.		2 3	Rplg.
	7 8	34-1706 34-1707	163	1-3	67-2504, n. Appn.		4	33-1109 33-1111
	9	34-1711	164	1-3	Appn.		5	Rplg.
	10	34-1712	165	1-3	Appn.		6	33-1117
	11	34-1713	166	1-4	Appn.		7	33-1121
	12	50-501	167	1	23-903		8	Rplg.
	13	50-1044	168	1	33-1006		9	Rplg.
	14	Emer.	169	1	33-1002B		10	Rplg.
136	1	49-1603	170	1	41-6101 —		11	Rplg.
	2	49-1608B		0	41-6109		12	33-354
	3	49-1608C	151	2	Emer.	184	1	Rplg.
	4	49-1608D	171	1-6	Appn.		2	33-1003
	5	49-1608E		7 8	Legis. Int. Emer.	10"	3	Emer.
107	6	49-1608F	172	1-3	Appn.	185	1	31-1413
137	1	54-4105	112	4	Legis. Int.	186	2 1	31-1423 56-901
138	$\frac{1}{2}$	7-1107 7-1126		5, 6	Appn.	100	2	56-902
	3	16-1501	173	1	67-5745	187	1	23-404
	4	16-1504		2	67-5745B	10.	2	23-1003
	5	16-1513		3	67-5745C		3	28-9-801
	6	16-2007	174	1	45-1510		4	33-2144
	7	16-2008	175	1	67-2901		5	39-4514
139	1	54-2502	176	1	25-3101		6	39-6311
	2	54-2505		2	25-3103		7	47-330
	3	54-2512A		3	25-3107		8	48-406
140	1	33-513A		4	25-3109		9	54-1908
	2	Emer.		5 6	25-3111 25-3113		10	54-3503
141	1	40-310		7	25-3114		11	54-3504
	2	40-203B		8	25-3115		12	54-3508
142	1	23-1327		9	25-3116		13	59-1302
143	1	56-227		10	25-3121		14	59-1324
144	1	15-12-108		11	25-3122		15 16	59-1391 65-501
1.45	2 1	Eff.	177	1	6-3001 —		17	65-503A
145 146	1	Rplg. 20-603			6-3006	188	1	65-502
147	1	49-1505	178	1	67-2609	189	1	47-317
147	2	Emer.	179	1	54-802 E	100	2	47-319
148	1	33-1004	100	2 1	Emer. 54-2407		3	47-321
149	1	67-818	180	2	Emer.	190	1	33-107D
150	1	18-7008	181	1	41-4001	191	1	63-308
100	2	36-1603	101	2	41-4002	192	1	55-1505
151	1	39-4514		3	41-4003	193	1	61-622
152	1	49-1004		4	41-4004		2	Rplg.
	2	Legis. Int.		5	41-4005	194	1-4	Appn.
153	1	49-434		6	41-4006	195	1	Appn.
154	1	33-1002		7	41-4007		2	Emer.
	2	33-1020		8	41-4008	196	1-3	Appn.
	3	33-1024		9	41-4009	197	1-3	Appn.
	4	33-1626		10	41-4010	198	1-3	Appn.
	5	33-1628	1	11	41-4011	199	1-5	Appn.
155	1	33-1272		12	41-4012	200	1-3	Appn.
	2	33-1273A		13	41-4013	201	1-4	Appn.
	3	Emer.		14	41-4014		5	Emer.
156	1	Sev.		15	41-4015	202	1-3	Appn.
	2	41-121		16	41-4017	203	1-3	Appn.
	3	Sev.	1	17	41-4018	204	1-3	Appn.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
205	1, 2	Appn.	235	1-3	Appn.	255	1	33-522
	3	Legis. Int.	236	1-4	Appn.	256	1	19-2604
	4	Appn.	237	1-3	Appn.	257	1	41-1839
206	1	49-1004A	238	1-9	Appn.	258	1	40-317
207	1	2-208	239	1	Legis. Int.	0.50	2	49-244
100	2	7-610		2 3	40-114	259	$\frac{1}{2}$	9-1406
808	1 1	54-1413 39-604		4	40-202 40-203	260	1	18-5402
209 210	1	21-515A		5	40-208	261	1	31-4819 72-1316 <i>A</i>
211	1	67-2620		6	40-2312	201	2	Emer
212	1	Rplg.		7	Emer.	262	1	15-5-308
112	2	67-429D	240	i	18-5610	202	2	15-5-311
213	1	Legis. Int.		$\overset{-}{2}$	18-5612		3	15-5-316
	2	67-5761B		3	18-8304		4	56-1004A
214	1	34-1801A	241	1	20-223		5	66-404
	2	34-1804	242	1	18-3302		6	66-408
	3	34-1805		2	18-3302K	263	1	50-1310
	4	34-1807		3	9-340B		2	Emer
215	1	32-720		4	Sev.	264	1	40-2319
216	1	67-6511	243	1	63-602KK	265	1	41-3616
	2	67-6522		2, 3	63-803		2	41-4313
	3	67-6535		4	63-3638	266	1	Rplg
	4	31-1425		5	Emer.		2	41-3801 —
	5	31-3908A	244	1	63-3060A		0	41-3825
217	1	49-1212		2	63-3068		3	41-706
218	1-3	Appn.	245	1	67-1401		4 5	41-714 41-715
219	1	67-1224		2	67-1410		6	41-713
200	2	67-1225	0.40	3	67-1411		7	41-733
220	$\frac{1}{2}$	19-851	246	1 1	41-1836		8	41-901
	3	19-852 19-853	247	1	39-3413 32-1206		9	41-1702
	4	19-854	240	2	32-1210		10	41-1951
	5	19-855	249	1	18-5618		11	41-2857
	6	Rplg.	243	2	18-5619		12	41-3312
	7	19-857		3	18-5620	1	13	41-4703
	8	19-858		4	18-5621		14	41-5203
	9	19-859		5	18-5622	267	1	33-1004A
	10	19-860		6	18-5623	268	1	33-10020
	11	19-863		7	18-5624	269	1	41-1851
	12	19-864		8	18-5625	270	1	54-1705
	13	19-865		9	18-5626	1	2	54-1719
221	1	16-1614		10	18-5627		3	54-1752
222	1	20-511		11	18-5628		4	37-3201
	2	20-514		12	18-5629		5	54-1761
223	1	50-308		13	18-5630		6 7	54-4702
224	1	31-4809		14	18-5631	271		54-5110
	2	31-4813	250	1	56-203E	2/1	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-3616 Emer
	3	31-4818		2	67-7437	272	1	33-522A
	4	Eff.	251	1	67-7701	212	2	Sev
225	1	18-3302		2	67-7702		3	Emer
	2	Emer.		3	67-7704	273	1-5	Appn
226	1-6	Appn.		4	67-7706	274	1-4	Appn
227	1-3	Appn.		5	67-7707	275	1-3	Appn
228	1-3	Appn.		6	67-7708	276	1	63-602W
229	1-6	Appn.		7	67-7709		2	Emer
	7	Legis. Int.		8	67-7710	277	1	Legis. Int
	8-11	Appn.		9	67-7711		2	65-701 —
230	1-3	Appn.		10	67-7712			65-706
231	1-3	Appn.		11	67-7713	278	1	23-903
	4	Legis. Int.		12	67-7715	279	1	31-3501
	5-9	Appn.	252	1	54-1814		2	31-3502
232	1-3	Appn.	253	1	37-2705		3	31-3504
233	1-3	Appn.		2	Emer.		4	31-3505
234	1-4	Appn.	254	1	72-523		5	31-3505A

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Hereir
279	6	31-3508A	301	2	33-5701	332	12	43-1324
280	1	31-3205	302	1-10	Appn.	302	13	43-132
281	1	54-924	303	1-7			14	
282	1 .	31-1506	304	1	Appn.		15	Sev
		41-6001, n.	304	2	Appn.	222		Emei
283	1		205		Emer.	333	1	43-119
	1	41-6002, n.	305	1, 2	Appn.	334	1	59-110
	1	41-6003, n.	306	1	Appn.	005	2	Emei
	1	41-6004, n.	005	2	Legis. Int.	335	1	73-113
	1	41-6005, n.	307	1-4	Appn.	336	1	34-1801
	1	41-6006, n.	308	1	Appn.		2	34-180
	1	41-6007, n.	000	2	Emer.		3	34-180
	1	41-6008, n.	309	1-3	Appn.		4	Othe
284	1	67-5221	310	1-4	Appn.	337	1	67-57091
	2	67-5224		5	Legis. Int.		2	Eme
	3	Other		6	Appn.	338	1, 2	33-100
285	1	34-217	311	1-4	Appn.		3, 4	33-1004
	2	34-901	312	1-3	Appn.	1	5	33-1004
	3	34-908	313	1	Appn.	1	6	33-481
	4	34-910	314	1-3	Appn.		7	Rplg
	5	34-1103	315	1-6	Appn.		8	Othe
	6	34-1106	316	1-3	Appn.		9	Efi
286	1	54-2701	317	1-13	Appn.	339	1	54-120
	2	54-2702	318	1-6	Appn.		2	54-122
	3	54-2707		7	Emer.		3	54-122
287	1	16-1602	319	1-5	Appn.		4	54-122
	2	16-1610	320	1-3	Appn.	340	1	33-100
	3	16-1619		4-7	Legis. Int.		2	33-100
	4	16-1620		8	Appn.		3	33-1004
	5	16-1621	321	1-4	Appn.		4	33-10041
	6	16-1622	322	1, 2	Appn.		5	33-102
	7	16-1623	323	1-11	Appn.		6	33-102
	8	16-1624	324	1-3	Appn.		7	33-1626
	9	16-1625		4	Emer.		8	Emer
	10	16-1629	325	1, 2	Appn.	341	1	67-7408
	11	16-2002	326	1-10	Appn.	342	1	33-100
	12	16-2005		11	33-1004A		2	33-520
288	1	23-1031		12	33-1004E		3	33-521
	2	23-1326		13	33-5508, n.		4	33-161
289	1	54-1218		14-28	Appn.	343	1	33-100
290	1	54-2103		29	33-1626, n.		2	33-5202
291	1	49-1234		30	33-1002, n.		3	33-520
	2	9-340C, n.		31	33-1022, n.		4	33-520
	2	49-1234, n.		32	Appn.		5	33-5205
292	1	50-336	327	1	42-605		6	33-52051
232	2		1	1	21-213		7	
000		Eff.	328					33-520
293	1	16-2411	329	1, 2	33-1275		8	33-520
	2	66-326		3	Emer.		9	33-520
	3	66-329	330	1	33-1271		10	Rple
294	1	33-1002		2	33-1272		11	33-5209
	2	Emer.		3	33-1273		12	33-52091
295	1-5	Appn.		4	Sev.		13	33-52090
	6	Emer.		5	Emer.		14	33-521
296	1	Appn.	331	1	33-513		15	33-521
297	1, 2	Appn.	332	1	Legis. Int.		16	33-521
	3	Legis. Int.		2	43-1314		17	Eff
	4-7	Appn.		3	43-1315	344	1	44-100
298	1	33-513		4	43-1316		2	67-280
	2	33-514		5	43-1317	345	1	54-40
	3	33-515		6	43-1318		2	54-41
299	1	33-118		7	43-1319		3	Eme
300	1	33-801, n.		8	43-1320	346	1	18-330
500	1	33-804, n.		9	43-1321	347	1	33-51
	1	33-1019, n.		10	43-1321	348	1	39-3602
	1	00-1019, 11.		11	43-1323	0.10	2	39-3604

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
348	3	39-3605	350	1	49-1011	353	6	Sev.
	4	39-3606	351	1	49-1004A		7	Emer.
	5	39-3607	352	1-5	67-5201, n.	354	1	49-2447
	6	39-3609	353	1	33-514		2	49-306
349	1	33-1004		2	33-515		3	49-402
	2	Rplg.		3	Rplg.		4	49-401B
	3	33-1004		4	33-514		5	67-4223A
	4	Eff.		5	33-515		6	Eff.

SESSION LAWS OF 2014

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1-3	Appn.	27	1	33-5102	38	20	67-7040
	4	Emer.		2	33-5103		21	67-7101
2	1, 2	Appn.		3	33-5104	39	1	25-3704A
	3	Emer.		4	33-5105		2	25-3705
3	1, 2	Appn.		5	33-5106		3	25-3708
	3	Emer.		6	33-5107		4	Emer.
4	1-3	Appn.		7	Rplg.	40	1	39-108
	4	Emer.	28	1	18-7008		2	39-117
5	1	Appn.		2	18-7011		3	39-175A
	2	Emer.		3	36-1603		4	39-175C
6	1	Appn.	29	1	33-5213	41	1, 2	Appn.
	2	Emer.	30	1	18-7042		3	Emer.
7	1	Appn.		2	Sev.	42	1	54-2004
	2	Emer.		3	Emer.		2	54-2022
8	1, 2	Appn.	31	1	Legis. Int.		3	54-2023
	3	Emer.		2	73-108C		4	54-2025
9	1	63-3022	32	1	37-2726		5	54-2026
	2	63-3025B	33	1	37-2709		6	54-2028
	3	63-3033		2	37-2711		7	54-2059
	4	Emer.	34	1	54-1723A	43	2	39-3121
10	_ 1	63-3004		2	54-1729		3	39-3122
	2	Sev.		3	54-1752		4	Rplg.
	3	Emer.		4	54-1754		5	39-3123
11	1	33-909	35	1	33-2406		6	39-3124
	2	Emer.	36	1	63-3022L		7	39-3125
12	1	Appn.	00	2	63-3036B		8	39-3126
12	2	Emer.		3	63-3082		9	39-3127
13	1	49-402		4	Emer.		10	39-3128
19	2	49-401B	37	1, 2	63-803		11	
	3	67-4223A	31	3	Emer.		12	Rplg. 39-3129
1.4	1		38	1	49-114		13	39-3129
14		63-902	38					
15	1	63-1007		2	49-121		14	Rplg.
16	1	33-2139		3	49-123		15	39-3131
17	1	63-3067A		4	49-205		16	39-3132
	2	65-209		5	49-401B		17	Rplg.
18	1	63-3022S		6	49-402C		18	39-3133
	2	Eff.		7	49-437		19	Rplg.
19	1	40-513E		8	49-443		20	39-3134
20	1	63-602		9	49-443B		21	Rplg.
	2	Emer.		10	49-502		22	Rplg.
21	1	50-1306A		11	49-504		23	39-3135
	2	50-1321		12	49-504A		24	39-3136
22	1	19-3915		13	49-511		25	39-3137
23	1	16-1622		14	49-523		26	39-3138
24	1, 2	Appn.		15	49-524		27	39-3139
	3	Emer.		16	49-525		28	39-3140
25	1	63-3015		17	49-811	44	1	54-1401
26	1	65-504		18	49-948	45	1	39-241
	2	67-5309		19	49-1818		2	39-260

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
45	3	39-268	74	1	63-3021A	97	4	19-865
16	1	22-2510		2	63-3027		5	23-1003
	2	22-2809		3	Emer.		6	25-3201
17	1 .	57-818	75	$\frac{1}{2}$	33-2110B		7	25-3203
8	1	Emer. 25-207C	76	1	33-3717B 63-205B		8	28-4-613
19	1	54-903	10	2	Emer.		10	28-5-120 28-41-201
60	1	Other	77	1	63-110		11	28-44-107
1	1	49-403A	1 ''	2	63-317		12	28-49-101
-	2	49-403C		3	63-1706		13	28-51-105
2	1	39-6001		4	Emer.		14	30-1-630
	2	39-6002	78	1	37-2744		15	30-1-1302
	3	39-6003	79	1	37-2701		16	30-3-20
	4	39-6007		2	37-2716		17	30-1604
3	1	54-3404		3	37-2726		18	30-1705
4	1	54-3204	80	1	56-237		19	31-3403
5	1	46-605		2	56-238		20	31-3505
6	1	47-317		3	56-239		21	31-4819
7	1	47-703A		4	Rplg.		22	33-1602
	2	47-718		5	56-241		23	38-1019
8	1	50-1301		6	56-242		24	40-312
9	1	39-3626	81	1	36-404		25	40-317
	2	39-3629		2	36-407		26	41-716
	3	39-7603	82	1	49-402		27	41-3813
0	1	39-3603		2	49-420M		28	Rplg
	2	Emer.		3	Eff.		29	49-244
1	1	39-6105	83	1	Legis. Int.		30	49-420
	2	39-6111		2	33-1002		32	54-1218
0	3	39-6111A		3	33-1004C		33	54-2706
2	1	56-255		4	33-1004F		34	58-310
3	$\frac{1}{2}$	18-101A	0.4	5	33-1004J		35	Rplg
	3	18-8002	84	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn.	00	36	67-3002
	4	18-8002A		3	Legis. Int.	98	1	58-138
		18-8004C	85	1	Emer. 63-3022S	99 100	1 1-8	18-8503
	5 6	18-8005	69	2	63-30225 Emer.	100		Appn.
		18-8008	0.0				9	Legis. Int
54 55	1	43-201A	86 87	1	56-1012 59-1306	101	10	Emer. 54-604
6 6	1	42-612	87	2		101	1 2	
00	2	67-4513 Emer.	88	1	Sev. 14-105		3	54-605 54-606
7	1	54-2004	89	1	41-3608		4	54-607
1	2	54-2018	90	1	Other		5	54-608
8	1	33-2606	91	1	49-105		6	54-610
i9	1	49-1422	91	2	49-123	102	1	38-1503
0	1	43-209		3	Eff.	102	2	38-1504
1	1	43-116	92	1	49-307		3	38-1515
1	2	43-206	32	2	49-310		4	Emer
	3	43-209		3	49-313	103	1	42-1701
	4	43-401	93	1	21-502	104	1	36-104
	5	43-602	00	2	Rplg.	104	2	36-106
	6	43-730		3	67-6502	105	1	54-2909
	7	43-1404		4	67-6508	106	1	54-2411
	8	43-2203		5	67-6509	107	1	42-3902
2	1	42-3103		6	67-6512	108	1	61-114
_	2	42-3114		7	67-6515A	100	2	61-129
	3	42-3115		8	67-6516		3	Emer
	4	42-3116	94	1	67-5761C	109	1	56-255
	5	46-1021	95	1	72-904	110	1	25-3108
	6	46-1022		2	Rplg.	-10	2	25-3112
3	1	Legis. Int.	96	1	72-301	111	1	66-345
	2	18-3302B		2	72-301A	112	1	33-320
	3	18-3302J	97	1	16-1501	113	1	63-3621
	4	18-3309		2	18-5623		2	Emer.
	5	5-343		3	18-5624	114	1	63-36220

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section		Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
114	2	Emer.	143	1	1	Other	157	1	54-5403
115	1	40-718	144	1		33-515		2	54-5406
	2	63-2506		2		Other		3	Emer
	3	63-2520		3		Emer.	158	1	54-302
	4	57-1702	145	1		33-133		2	54-303
116	1	33-1004, n.	146	1		33-520A		3	54-305
117	2	33-1004		2		54-1705		4	54-307
117	1 1, 2	31-836		3		54-1732		5 6	54-308
118		Appn.		4		54-1733	159		Rplg
	3 4	Legis. Int.		5 6		54-1734 37-3201	159	1 2	54-804 54-807
119	1-3	Appn.		7		54-1761		3	54-829
120	1-3	Appn. 16-1602		8		54-4702	160	1	54-2513
120	2	16-1617		9		54-5110	161	1	54-5502
	3	16-1618	147	1		56-1024	101	2	54-550
	4	16-2002	171	2		56-1025		3, 4	55-5501 -
121	1	54-911		3		56-1026		0, 1	55-5513
121	2	54-912		4		56-1027	162	1	31-4306
	3	54-915		5		56-1028	102	2	33-313
	4	54-916A		6		56-1029		3	34-1404
	5	54-916B		7		56-1030		4	34-1413
	6	54-918		9		57-2001		5	50-410
	7	54-920		10		57-2002		6	50-413
	8	54-924		11		57-2003	163	1	32-717I
122	1	23-1331		12		57-2004	164	1	15-5-209
123	1	67-427		13		57-2005		2	15-5-313
	2	67-428		14		57-2006		3	15-5-31
124	1	33-1629		15		57-2007		4	Rplg
125	1	43-318	148	1		Other	1111	5	15-5-419
126	1	49-654		2		Legis. Int.		6	31-32010
	2	49-201		3		18-3315B		7	66-408
	3	49-207		4		Sev.	165	1	18-6608
127	1	65-513		5		Emer.	166	1	33-51
128	1	5-337	149	1		44-1001	167	1	14-518
129	1	67-4201	150	1		19-2517	168	1	Appn
	2	Emer.		2		19-2521		2	Legis. Int
130	1	67-1203,		3		19-2524		3	Emer
		67-1203A, 67-1203B		4		19-2601	169	1-3	Appn
	2	67-1210A		5		19-2606		4	Legis. Int
	3	67-1210B		6		20-209H		5	Appn
	4	- Sev.		7		20-210A	170	1-3	Appn
131	1	39-9101 —		8		20-216	171	1-3	Appn
.01	-	39-9109		9		20-219	172	1-4	Appn
132	1	54-4503		10		20-221		5	Emer
	2	54-4511		11		20-222	173	1	18-6609
	3	54-4512		12		20-223	174	1	23-1019
133	1	Rplg.		13		20-224	175	1-5	Appn
134	1	15-3-101		14		20-227	176	1-3	Appn
	2	15-3-1006		15		20-228	177	1-5	Appn
	3	15-3-1203		16		20-229A		6	Legis. Int
	4	15-3-1204		17		20-229B		7	Appn
135	1	15-5-318		18		20-233	178	1-4	Appn
136	1	Rplg.		19		19-2513	179	1, 2	Appn
	2	67-7016		20		20-250		3	Legis. Int
137	1	40-203		21		Eff.		4	Appn
	2	50-1306A	151	1-3		Appn.	180	1-3	Appn
	3	50-1317	152	1, 2		Appn.	181	1-3	Appn
138	1	33-102		3		Legis. Int.	182	1-3	Appn
139	1	54-1413		4		Appn.	183	1-4	Appn
	2	Emer.	153	1-7		Appn.	184	1-3	Appn
140	1	16-1504		8		Emer.	185	1	Appn
	2	16-1513	154	1		33-118	186	1	Appn
141	1	55-115	155	1		54-3002	187	1	6-1102
		Other		1		54-4106		2	

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
187	3	6-1106	215	1-8	Appn.	247	6	19-860
188	1	Legis. Int.	216	1-3	Appn.		7	19-861
	2	22-5301 —	217	1-4	Appn.		8	19-862
		22-5307	218	1-3	Appn.		9	67-2601
	3 4	36-125 25-130	219	1-8 9	Appn. Emer.	248	10	Emer
	5	25-131	220	1-3		240	$\frac{1}{2}$	39-4109 39-4116
	6	25-1145	221	1-3	Appn. Appn.	249	1	67-450E
	7	Sev.	221	4	33-1004E	240	2	Eff
	8	Emer.	222	1-3	Appn.	250	1	56-1403
189	1	Other		4	33-1004E		2	56-1404
190	1	1-1623		5-10	Appn.		3	56-1406
	2	1-2303		11	Legis. Int.		4	Emer
	3	1-2311		12, 13	Appn.	251	1	Rplg
	4	10-1305	223	1-7	Appn.		2	Rplg
	5	31-3201		8	Legis. Int.		3	Rplg
	6	31-3201A		9, 10	Appn.		4	57-235
	7	31-3201H	224	1	Appn.	252	1	33-507
	8	31-3221		2	Legis. Int.		2	33-514A
191	1	67-5224	225	1, 2	Appn.		3	33-5204
	2	67-5226	226	1	Appn.		4	Rplg
	3	67-5291	227	1-11	Appn.		5	Rplg
	4	67-5292	228	1	Appn.		6	Rplg
192	1-5	67-5201, n.	229	1-6	Appn.		7	33-507
193	1-3	Appn.	230	1	63-2511		8	33-514A
194	1, 2	Appn.		2	63-2516		9	33-5204
195	1-3	Appn.	201	3	63-2563	0.00	10	Eff
196	1-3	Appn.	231	1	Rplg.	253	1	33-1002
197	1, 2	Appn.	232	1	36-415	254	1	33-1706
	3	Legis. Int.	000	2	Emer.	255	1	33-1627
100	4	Appn.	233	1	67-5717	256	$\frac{1}{2}$	36-2101
198	1, 2	Appn.	234	1	Rplg.		3	36-2102
	3 4	Legis. Int.	235	1	54-1202	057		36-2103
100		Appn.	236	$\frac{1}{2}$	18-111	257 258	1	33-1019, n 31-3502
199	1-3	Appn.		3	18-113A	1	1	63-3022S, n.
200	1, 2 3	Appn.		4	19-1902 49-110	259	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-30225, n. Emer.
	4	Legis. Int.		5	49-1503	260	1	33-354
201	1-4	Appn.	237	1		261	1	
201	5	Appn. Emer.	201	2	Rplg. Rplg.	262	1	Appn. 33-1623
202	1-3			3	Rplg.	202	$\overset{1}{2}$	33-1626
202	1, 2	Appn.		4	31-871A		3	33-1628
200	3	Appn. Legis. Int.	238	1	33-1217		4	Emer.
	4-9		200	2	67-5333	263	1	19-5506
204	1-5	Appn. Appn.	239	1	20-237A	264	1	15-3-108
205	1-5	Appn.	240	1	Other	265	1	39-4403
200	6	Emer.	240	2	Legis. Int.	266	1	36-408
206	1-4	Appn.		3	67-1401	267	1	36-407
207	1	Appn.		4	67-1412 —	201	2	36-416
201	2	Other		-	67-1416		3	Emer
	3	Appn.	241	1	41-6105	268	1	7-711A
208	1-3	Appn.	242	1	67-7101	269	1	58-1104
209	1, 2	Appn.	272	2	67-7112	270	1	28-46-401
200	3	Legis. Int.	243	1	19-854	2.0	2	28-46-412
	4	Appn.	244	1	23-1032		3	28-46-413
210	1-3	Appn.	211	2	Emer.		4	28-46-414
10	4, 5	Legis. Int.	245	1	42-401		5	28-46-415
	6	Appn.	246	1	58-138	271	1	33-1004D
211	1-4	Appn. Appn.	240	2	67-702	272	1	33-512
212	1-4	Appn.	247	1	19-848	273	1	33-1009
212	1-5	Appn.	241	2	19-849	274	1	23-509A
214	1-5	40-709		3	19-850	275	1	37-301
-1-t	$\frac{1}{2}$	40-709A		4	19-853	210	2	37-302
		10-1001		- 1	10 000		-	0, 002

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
275	4	37-304	285	2	69-262	319	9	Eff.
	5	37-305	286	1	33-1509	320	1	6-1608
	6	Rplg.	287	1	15-5-202		2	49-673
	7	37-306		2	15-5-203	321	1	54-2701
	8	37-307	288	1	18-915C		2	54-2702
	9	Rplg.	289	1-10	Appn.		3	54-2704
	10	37-308	290	1	Rplg.		4	54-2705
	11	37-309	291	2	67-456		5 6	54-2706
	12 13	Rplg. 37-310	291	$\frac{1}{2}$	1-201 1-703		7	54-2707 54-2708
	14	Rplg.		3	Rplg.	322	1	56-205
	15	Rplg.		4	1-2404	022	2	50-205 Eff.
	16	Rplg.		5	1-2404	323	1-3	Appn.
	17	Rplg.		6	59-502	324	1	63-602G
	18	Rplg.	292	1-3	Appn.	325	1	33-512
	19	Rplg.	293	1	Appn.		2	63-2552A
	20	Rplg.	294	1-6	Appn.	326	1-3	Appn.
	21	37-311		7	Legis. Int.	327	1	63-3022K
	22	Rplg.		8-10	Appn.		2	Emer.
	23	Rplg.	295	1-5	Appn.	328	1-9	Appn.
	24	37-312		6	Legis. Int.	329	1-3	Appn.
	25	37-313		7	Appn.		4	33-1004E
	26	37-314	296	1-3	Appn.		5, 6	Legis. Int.
	27	Rplg.	297	1-3	Appn.	330	1-4	Appn.
	28	Rplg.	298	1-3	Appn.	331	1-3	Appn.
	29	Rplg.	299	1-3	Appn.	332	1-13	Appn.
	30	Rplg.	300	1-3	Appn.	333	1	Appn.
	31	Rplg.	301	1-3	Appn.		2	Legis. Int.
	32	Rplg.	302	1 1-3	57-814	334	1-15	Appn.
	33 34	Rplg. 37-315	303	1-3	Appn.	335	1	31-3204
	35	37-316	305	1-3	Appn. Appn.	336	1	67-4737 —
	36	37-317	303	4	Legis. Int.	0.05		67-4744
	37	37-318	306	1-4	Appn.	337	1 2	67-7434
	38	37-319	500	5	Legis. Int.		3	63-2520
	39	Rplg.	307	1-3	Appn.		4	Rplg.
	40	Rplg.	00.	4, 5	Legis. Int.		5	Rplg. 67-7434
	41	Rplg.		6	Appn.		6	63-2520
	42	Rplg.	308	1-3	Appn.		7	Eff.
	43	Rplg.	309	1-3	Appn.	338	1	49-426
	44	Rplg.		4	Legis. Int.	330	2	67-7008
276	1	33-513		5	Appn.		3	67-7101
277	1	48-1701	310	1-3	Appn.		4	67-7103
		48-1708	311	1	Appn.		5	67-7104
	2	Sev.	312	1-10	Appn.		6	67-7106
278	1	60-301 —	313	1-5	Appn.		7	67-7108
		60-311	314	1, 2	Appn.		8	67-7112
	2	Eff.	315	1	Appn.		9	67-7113
279	1	67-5339	316	1	61-215		10	67-7122
280	1	31-2002		2	63-102	- 44	11	67-7123
	2	31-2227		3	72-503		12	67-7124
	3	67-1401		4-6	Appn.		13	67-7125
281	1	33-133, n.		7	Other		14	67-7126
	2	Legis. Int.	317	1, 2	Appn.		15	49-426 n.,
	3	33-133	318	1-5	Appn.			67-7003 n.,
000	4	Emer.	0.70	6, 7	Legis. Int.			67-7008 n.,
282	1	20-413A	319	1	41-5401			67-7008A n., 67-7010 n.,
283	1	19-2604		2	41-5402			67-7010 n.,
284	1	37-601 —		3	41-5403			67-7014 n.,
	0	37-609		4	41-5406			67-7029 n.,
	2 3	Rplg.		5 6	41-5408			67-7040 n.,
	4	Rplg. Rplg.		7	41-5409 41-3239			67-7101 n.,
	*±	rtpig.		8	41-3434			67-7103 n.,

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
338	15	67-7106 n., 338 67-7108 n., 339 67-7112 n., 67-7113 n., 340 67-7123 n., 67-7124 n., 67-7125 n., 342 67-7126 n. 343	23 1 2 1 1 2 1-3 1, 2	Sev. 57-811 63-3638 63-3616 63-30220 Emer. Appn. Appn.	350 351 352 353 354 355 356	351 1-7 352 1 353 1-4 5 354 1-3 355 1-7	33-133 Appn. 33-1025 Appn. Legis. Int. Appn. Appn. 59-501	
	16 17 18 19 20 21	67-7003 67-7008A 67-7010 67-7013 67-7014 67-7029 67-7040	344 345 346 347 348 349 350	1 1 2 1 1 1 1	67-2323 20-511 20-520A 6-1014 72-212 67-412 37-2705 Legis. Int.	357	1 2 3 4 5, 6 7 8	33-1103 63-201 63-309 63-602KK 63-803 63-3638 Emer.





